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PREFACE

For several years, we have seen reports on the evening television news of shelling of hospitals across the former Yugoslavia. From the comfort of our homes, we repeatedly watched health workers dodge snipers or mortar fire as they attempted to rescue wounded civilians in Sarajevo and other cities. We read in our morning newspapers of the shelling and blocking and, in some cases, looting, of relief convoys carrying medical supplies.

International law provides that civilian and military leaders who wage war must consider medical personnel and their facilities and vehicles neutral and thus immune from attack. Similarly, physicians and nurses who attend to the victims of war must perform their professional duties without discrimination and uphold the fundamental precept of medical ethics primum non nocere—above all do no harm.

As long as human beings have waged war, there have been attempts to temper its consequences. In the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, at a time when there were virtually no limits to the barbarity of warfare, there was one unwritten law that all sides abided to; the water wells must not be poisoned. Even then there was an understanding that all sides in a conflict had to protect and limit the damage to structures necessary for the survival of civilian populations. Five hundred years later, that same understanding guides our rights and responsibilities as health professionals to ensure the health and survival of affected populations during times of conflict.

In World War I, civilian casualties accounted for no more than five percent of all deaths. But, in World War II, that figure climbed to fifty percent. In recent decades, civilian casualties have accounted for as many as eighty or ninety percent of all deaths in war. The principles enshrined in international law to protect civilians in times of war seem to have been unraveling in the latter half of the twentieth century.

ix
By convening an International Criminal Tribunal with the responsibility to investigate and prosecute war crimes, crimes against humanity, and genocide in the former Yugoslavia, the United Nations has, in effect, said that the world community can no longer ignore the enforcement of international and humanitarian law. We at PHR feel this is a historic opportunity that promises justice for victims and their families, deterrence against further abuse, and an essential part of the basis for eventual peace and reconciliation. It is only through individual accountability rather than collective condemnation that we can hope to diffuse the ethnic violence that has characterized the conflicts of the region.

In November 1995, the International Criminal Tribunal indicted three high-ranking Serbian officers of the Yugoslav Peoples’ Army for war crimes committed in the Croatian town of Ovca, near Vukovar. Soldiers under the command of those officers had led 155 male prisoners from a hospital in Vukovar, executed them at an abandoned farm in Ovca, and buried evidence of their crime in a mass grave. Medical and forensic evidence—unearthed from this grave a year later by Physicians for Human Rights—led to the indictments.

This report presents evidence of such “violations of medical neutrality” committed by the warring factions in the former Yugoslavia since 1991. The report’s findings are based upon several PHR medical and forensic missions to the region. Evidence from several of these missions has been presented to the International Criminal Tribunal.

As health professionals, we have a responsibility to insure that the laws of war remain bulwarks against the behavior they seek to discourage rather than historical artifacts of idealists. Since the beginning of the conflict in the former Yugoslavia there have been courageous efforts by both health workers and human rights activists to focus attention on violations of the laws of war.

Our professional societies and associations, such as the World Medical Association, the World Council of Nurses, and the many national affiliates which give them legitimacy, neglected the citizens of the former Yugoslavia when they failed to speak out against atrocities. Health professionals from throughout the region have complained, often bitterly, to PHR representatives about their sense of abandonment by their foreign colleagues.

We urge you not only to read this report, but to use it. Whether reading this report leads to classroom sessions, discussions amongst colleagues or resolutions within professional societies, education of policy makers is largely dependent on what we as health professionals choose to do with the knowledge that we have gained. It is our hope that this information can be used to help us develop better strategies to protect the sick and wounded, as well as those who care for them, in future conflicts.

Above all, it can help to insure that the “forgetting” Milan Kundera refers to in the quote on the coverleaf does not happen yet again. We owe this to our colleagues in the former Yugoslavia and elsewhere, who have too often felt abandoned and whose voices need to be heard.

Charles Clements, M.D.
President
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I. INTRODUCTION

No one of conscience can ignore the moral dimension of the Yugoslav crisis. Since the summer of 1991, when war broke out in Slovenia and Croatia, tens—and possibly hundreds—of thousands of people have been killed. Rape and torture have been turned into weapons of war and used to terrorize communities. Hundreds of thousands of people have been deported or forced to flee their homes. The region now holds over 2.7 million refugees and internally displaced persons. And there is evidence of over 150 mass graves of civilians who were summarily executed by military and paramilitary forces.¹

Tens of thousands of NATO troops have arrived in Bosnia-Herzegovina to enforce a peace accord brokered in December 1995 at the Wright-Patterson Air Force Base in Dayton, Ohio. At the same time, the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia ("International Criminal Tribunal") has announced the indictments of fifty-seven individuals, including charges of genocide against Bosnian Serb leader Radovan Karadžić and the head of the Bosnian Serb military, Ratko Mladić.²

Physicians for Human Rights (PHR) has been monitoring human rights abuses in the wars in the former Yugoslavia since 1992.³ In October 1992, PHR sent a research mission to the


³ Participants in the eight PHR missions to the former Yugoslavia were: (1) October 12-26, 1992: H. Jack Geiger, M.D. and Clyde Snow, Ph.D.; (2) December 17-19, 1992: Eric Slover, Clyde
former federation to investigate war-related trauma among refugees. The team also collected evidence of mass killings of civilians. Seven other PHR missions have followed to document violations of medical neutrality, assess reports of widespread rape, and carry out exhumations of mass graves. Many of these missions have gathered physical evidence of war crimes for the International Criminal Tribunal.

Evidence collected by a PHR team in November 1992 from a mass grave at Vukovar, Croatia contributed to indictments issued in November 1995 by the International Criminal Tribunal. Three senior officers of the Yugoslav People’s Army (JNA) were allegedly responsible for the mass killing at Ovčara, near Vukovar (Eastern Slavonia region of Croatia), of approximately 260 captive non-Serb men who had been removed from the Vukovar Hospital on November 20, 1991.4


This report examines the wars in the former Yugoslavia through the prism of medical neutrality. Medical neutrality is a principle enshrined in medical ethics and international humanitarian and human rights law that seeks to limit injury and death to civilians and combatants who are hors de combat (prisoners of war) during times of war. Under international law, medical personnel must uphold medical ethics, respect patient confidentiality, and treat all sick and wounded without regard to their belligerent status, ethnicity, or religious and political views.

Since its founding in 1986, PHR has sought to promote the principle of medical neutrality and the rights of civilians and combatants to receive medical care, whether the conflict was

4 It is important to emphasize that “medical neutrality” is not a field of international law; it is a normative construct which draws on international humanitarian and human rights law, in combination with medical ethics, to provide standards for health professionals with respect to their rights and duties under various circumstances of war and peace. However, abuses which fall under the rubric of violations of medical neutrality can, in themselves, constitute grave breaches of international humanitarian law. (See Table 2: Classification of Violations of Medical Neutrality, p. 66)

4 The ethical responsibilities of physicians and other health professionals are the same in war and peace. For instance, Article 1 of the “Declaration of Tokyo,” adopted by the World Medical Association (WMA) in 1975, states:

The doctor shall not countenance, condone or participate in the practice of torture or other forms of cruel, inhumane or degrading procedures, whatever the offense of which the victim of such procedures is suspected, accused, or guilty, and whatever the victim’s beliefs or motives, and in all situations, including armed conflict and civil strife.
international, as in the former Yugoslavia, or non-international, as in El Salvador or Mexico. As an organization of health professionals, scientists, and concerned citizens, PHR has been concerned not only for the safety of its medical colleagues but for the thousands of actual or potential patients who could suffer because they are denied medical services.

Sources of Information

PHR gathered information for this report from several sources. Forensic experts collected medicolegal evidence from mass graves. They also interviewed witnesses and reviewed postmortem and crime scene photographs. PHR physicians interviewed, and in some cases, medically examined, victims of torture and other forms of abuse in Croatia, Serbia, and Bosnia-Herzegovina. PHR representatives also interviewed dozens of health professionals in clinics, hospitals, and medical schools throughout the countries of the former Yugoslavia and in the United States and Europe. Information was also obtained from personnel serving with the United Nations and with non-governmental organizations providing relief assistance in the former Yugoslavia, and public health officials with international, national, and local organizations.

Many of those interviewed by PHR have asked that their names be kept confidential, and some, that the place of the interview remain secret, because it might help identify them.

PHR has supplemented its interviews with information obtained from Human Rights Watch/Helsinki and from the files of the UN Commission of Experts to Investigate Grave Breaches of International Humanitarian Law in the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Commission of Experts").

PHR is concerned that the scope and brutality of abuses in the former Yugoslavia far exceed those reported here. This report does not attempt to document every violation of medical neutrality committed in the former Yugoslavia since 1991. Instead, it examines the ways in which "ethnic cleansing" and other abuses have affected the normal functioning of hospitals and clinics and the delivery of medical care throughout the former federation.

Summary of Conclusions and Recommendations

Since the outbreak of war in the former Yugoslavia in 1991, both massive human rights abuses and flagrant disregard for medical neutrality have been widespread throughout the region. All sides in the conflict have blatantly disregarded the rule of proportionality, which holds that civilian casualties and damage to civilian objects should not be out of proportion to the military advantages anticipated. Hospitals and clinics in or near conflict zones have been deliberately and often repeatedly attacked. Patients and medical staff have been shot by snipers and, in at least one case, forcibly removed from hospital wards and summarily executed. Ambulances and other medical vehicles have

---

1 The Yugoslav conflict started as an internal conflict but became international in character after international recognition of the independence of Slovenia and Croatia in 1991.

been the target of mortar and sniper fire. Relief convoys carrying medical supplies have been shelled or prevented from reaching towns and cities under siege. In some instances, the warring factions, particularly the Bosnian Serbs, have obstructed the delivery of humanitarian aid to civilians, or have allowed relief supplies to pass through check points, only after they have confiscated a percentage of foods and medicines. Bosnian Serb forces have blockaded the delivery of relief aid in an effort to starve, and thereby force, the besieged population to flee or surrender. None of these actions has served a purpose other than to terrorize the civilian population and destroy its infrastructure.

The findings in this report, and reports by other human rights organizations and independent news media, provide evidence that war crimes and acts of genocide have been committed in Bosnia-Herzegovina. Since July 1994, indictments have been issued against 57 individuals—43 Bosnian Serbs, 8 Croats, 3 Serbian JNA officers, and 3 Bosnian Muslims. In July 1995, the International Criminal Tribunal charged Radovan Karadžić, M.D., a psychiatrist and the political leader of the Bosnian Serbs, and General Ratko Mladić, the commander of the Bosnian Serb army, with several crimes including acts of genocide. The International Criminal Tribunal found that the two men were responsible for “ethnic cleansing” campaigns directed against Muslims and Croats on the basis of their religion and ethnicity. The victims of these campaigns have been expelled from their homes and villages; rounded up and held in detention camps; raped and tortured; deported; killed in indiscriminate attacks; and summarily executed.

**Recommendations**

1. **Cooperation with and Support of the International Criminal Tribunal**

- Physicians for Human Rights believes that the creation of the International Criminal Tribunal is an historic opportunity to demonstrate that genocide, war crimes, and crimes against humanity cannot be committed with impunity. By establishing individual guilt, trials such as those promised by the International Criminal Tribunal will help dispel the notion of collective blame for these crimes. Moreover, PHR does not believe a peace will ever last in the former Yugoslavia unless respect for international law and justice are made integral to the implementation of the peace agreement. To this end, the United Nations and individual governments should increase their financial and diplomatic support of the International Criminal Tribunal.

- Physicians for Human Rights calls on the parties to the conflict in the former Yugoslavia to discipline or punish those responsible for violations of human rights and humanitarian law, including violations of medical neutrality.

- The lifting of sanctions against the Serbian government should be strictly linked to full cooperation with the investigation and extradition of suspected war criminals; release of all prisoners; the closing of all forced labor camps; the assuring of the right to return for displaced civilians, as well as the right to remain; and access to humanitarian and human rights groups.

- The major powers, and particularly the U.S. government, should disclose all available information, including intelligence reports, of atrocities committed in the former Yugoslavia. The United Nations and other individual governments

---

8 Detailed and extensive documentation of massive human rights abuses in Bosnia-Herzegovina can be found in two Helsinki Watch reports: *War Crimes in Bosnia-Herzegovina* and *War Crimes in Bosnia-Herzegovina (Volume II)*, published by Human Rights Watch. Copies of the reports can be obtained by writing Human Rights Watch, 485 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10017-6104.

must insist that the International Criminal Tribunal be free to follow wherever the evidence leads.

- Given reports and other evidence that the Bosnian Serb forces may have executed thousands of Muslim men from Srebrenica and Zepa, the United Nations and individual governments should demand that the Bosnian Serbs give the International Criminal Tribunal and international humanitarian and human rights organizations immediate access to all detainees being held by Bosnian Serb forces in the region.

- The International Criminal Tribunal should have immediate access to alleged execution sites in the Srebrenica area. The NATO-led multinational Implementation Force (IFOR) and the International Civilian Police Task Force (IFTF) should provide in a timely manner the security and logistical support requested by the International Criminal Tribunal staff investigating alleged war crimes in Bosnia and Herzegovina. This should include landmine detection and clearance, as well as round-the-clock guarding of sites selected by the International Criminal Tribunal for investigation, and security escort for the equipment needed for the scientific investigation of mass graves.

- IFOR should use decisive military action to stop any future massacres of civilians in Bosnia and Herzegovina. No member of IFOR or other international staff should have any association with indicted war criminals other than to arrest them.

II. Protection of Medical Personnel, Facilities and Patients: Safeguarding of Medical Neutrality

- The United Nations should take measures to safeguard medical neutrality in its protection and peacekeeping mandates, including amending their provisions, developing monitoring systems, warning commanders of their obligations to protect civilian and medical facilities, issuing public condemnations and demanding prosecutions for war crimes when violations occur.

- Medical associations in the former Yugoslavia should ensure that their members strictly adhere to the ethical duties and obligations adopted by the World Medical Association in 1956 which state, in part, that the physician must give required care without discrimination. These associations must also prevent the publication in professional journals of articles that promote ethnic or religious hatred.

III. International Medical Response

- National medical associations worldwide must take a more active role in supporting colleagues who continue to practice medicine during armed conflicts. They, along with international associations such as the World Medical Association and the International Council of Nurses must develop strategies to support beleaguered colleagues and their patients, condemn publicly violations of medical neutrality when they occur, and educate civilian and military officials about the duties and responsibilities of medical personnel, and the basic legal safeguards intended to protect civilians and medical facilities in times of war. PHR urges professional associations to take a pro-active stance to protect patients and their colleagues at the onset of conflicts by developing rapid assessment and response capacities, creating "correspondence networks", and providing material support.
II. HISTORICAL AND LEGAL BACKGROUND

Early History

Slavic people moved into the Balkan peninsula in the sixth and seventh centuries A.D.\(^\text{11}\) The Slavs in the western region (Slovenes and Croatians) came under the influence of the Roman Catholic Church, whereas Slavs in the eastern region (Serbians) adopted the Orthodox faith. Bosnians came under the influence of both.\(^\text{12}\)

From the fourteenth through sixteenth centuries, the Turks advanced through the Balkans. The regions closer to Turkey (Serbia and Macedonia) were conquered first and liberated last, while those closer to central Europe (Croatia) were conquered last and liberated first. Serbia, for instance, was conquered in 1389 and achieved autonomous rule in 1833, while Croatia was conquered in the early 1500s but was liberated from Turkey in the late 1600s.

The ethnogenesis of the Bosnian Muslims began after the Kingdom of Bosnia (1463) and the Duky of Herzegovina fell to the Ottoman Empire.\(^\text{13}\) The Turkish yoke prevailed for 400 years, during which time "there were wholesale conversions to Islam, unlike any other area of the Ottoman Empire except Albania."\(^\text{14}\) The acceptance of Islam by Bosnians and Slavicized immigrants has many explanations and is consistent with a tradition of shifting religions in pre-Ottoman Bosnia-Herzegovina.

During the eighteenth century, Croatia fell under the influence of Hungary and subsequently of Austria, in part to ward off the Turkish threat. The Austro-Hungarian influence spread southward and Bosnia was occupied in the late nineteenth century. This was a period notable for a marked rise in nationalism throughout the Balkans. In both Croatia and Serbia, the struggle for political independence was accelerated by strong nationalistic sentiments. The Serbs sought independence from the Turks, and the Croats from the Austro-Hungarian Empire. At the same time, calls for the unity of all southern Slavs increased (Yugoslavia, in literal translation, means "Land of the South Slavs").

Rise of Modern Nationalism

Nationalistic impulses in the nineteenth century prompted acts of expansionism and intolerance on all sides. After Croatia annexed Slavonia (adjacent to Serbia), Serbs came to constitute twenty-five percent of the Croatian population, yet the ethnic Serbs were denied equal rights as a minority. As late as 1902, there were anti-Serb riots in Zagreb, the Croatian capital. In Bosnia, although there were no overt anti-Serb or anti-Croat activities, the Bosnian Serbs remained economically

\(^{11}\) For the purposes of this report, this brief historical review examines events in the former Yugoslavia through the lens of ethnic relations. PRH acknowledges that economies and politics have played a major role in the history of the former Yugoslavia.


disadvantaged: seventy-four percent of the Serbs in Bosnia were Serb, while only forty-three percent of the population was Serb. 15

Serbia, having driven out the Turks by 1878 and increasingly under the economic influence of the Austro-Hungarian Empire, desired the regions of Bosnia and Macedonia to gain an outlet to the sea. In the first Balkan War (1912), a Serb-Bulgarian alliance wrested Macedonia from Turkey, and in the second Balkan War (1913), Serbia obtained Macedonia from Bulgaria.

Despite the nationalistic tendencies of the individual countries, the Serb victories in the Balkan wars ignited pan-South Slavic flames throughout the region, and led to the development of the Yugoslav state shortly after World War I. The Yugoslav dream, however, soon developed into a nightmare. Both the early parliamentary government (1919-1929) and the subsequent monarchy were racked by Croatian accusations of Serbian hegemony. 16 The government founded after the death of King Alexander in 1934 was no more successful at uniting the diverse interests of the constituent states.

In World War II, relations between the ethnic groups went from bad to worse. Germany conquered Yugoslavia in less than two weeks and the Axis powers established the “Independent State of Croatia,” encompassing Croatia and Bosnia-Herzegovina. This new political entity was ruled by the Ustaše, a group of Croat fascists who had lived in exile during the 1930s. The Ustaše carried out a murderous policy of mass extermination against Serbs and Jews. In Bosnia, some Muslims joined the Ustaše ranks, and participated in atrocities and terrorist acts against the Bosnian Serbs. 17

In Serbia, the Chetniks, a Serb nationalist group ideologically committed to Serbian dominance in the expected post-war Yugoslavia, developed local strength on the basis of guerrilla activity against the Germans. The Chetniks, however, devoted most of their energies to vengeance against their fellow Yugoslavs, carrying out massacres against Croats and Muslims in ethnically-mixed areas. By the end of the war, over ten percent of the Yugoslav population had been killed, mostly as a result of interethnic warfare. 18

Yugoslavia Under Marshal Tito

In 1945, the Partisans, a communist resistance movement commanded by Marshal Tito and comprised of all ethnic groups, defeated the Axis powers and assumed control of the machinery of the state. Marshal Tito ruled from 1945 to 1980 with unquestioned authority. To reduce ethnic animosities he adopted the slogan, “Brotherhood and Unity,” signifying ethnic harmony through one-party rule.

Under Tito’s socialist regime, a well-organized and sophisticated national health care system was established, which relied on a model developed by the World Health Organization. Clinical Center Hospitals, or teaching hospitals, were at the apex of the system. Below these tertiary care/teaching facilities were conventional city or county hospitals. Specialty hospitals were


16 In his book, The Yugoslavs, Dasko Doder estimates that of the 1.7 million Yugoslavs killed in World War II, over eighty-two percent were civilians killed by fellow Yugoslavs.

also established for specific conditions such as children’s illnesses, tuberculosis, or mental health problems. At the lowest tier were small clinics, staffed by doctors and nurses who treated common ailments. Yugoslav health professionals could rightly take pride in their well-organized health care system, which was comparable to most western European countries. Under Tito, the Yugoslav health system met the country’s health needs thus serving to bolster his integrationist aspirations, which were dependent on the state’s attention to social needs. 18

Although Tito’s power was unchallenged, many Croats resented that most of the positions in the central government were in the hands of Serbs. Many Serbs, meanwhile, believed that Tito, a Croat by birth, was weakening Serbia through his administrative actions. In the immediate post-war period, Tito made large-scale transfers of industry to Croatia and Bosnia. 19

After Tito’s death in May 1980, Yugoslavia was governed by a rotation of the leadership among the constituent republics. Now, nationalist currents, quiescent during Tito’s reign, emerged rapidly. Renewed interest in national literature, poetry, and song developed in each of the republics of Yugoslavia, though primarily in Croatia, Serbia, and Slovenia. In Croatia and Slovenia, nationalism was linked to the desire for an independent state; in Serbia, nationalism was coupled with calls for a stronger central Yugoslav state. 20

Under these circumstances, nationalist leaders in each republic acquired power.19 Spurred by their leaders, the people in the Yugoslav republics rekindled the ethnic animosities which had caused pain and bloodshed in the past. In 1990, the newly-elected Croatian Democratic Alliance in Croatia ratified new amendments which provided for the adoption of traditional Croatian ethnic symbols (a coat of arms, a flag, and an anthem) similar to those used by the Croatian Ustaše. The new government also refused to guarantee minority rights to Serbs living in Croatia (about twelve percent of the Croatian population). 20

After consolidating power in 1987, Serbian President Slobodan Milošević enacted a series of “reforms” revoking autonomous status for both Kosovo and Vojvodina provinces. He appealed to Serbian pride by emphasizing the use of Cyrillic script, one of the two official alphabets. He also developed new ties to the Serbian Orthodox Church, reviving aspirations of a “Greater Serbia.”

Meanwhile, Bosnia-Herzegovina began splintering along ethnic lines. In 1991, President Alija Izetbegović, fearful of Serbia’s claim for a “Greater Serbia,” declared that if the republics of Slovenia and Croatia seceded from Yugoslavia, the Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina would also proclaim its independence. Two weeks later, Radovan Karadžić, a psychiatrist and leader of the Serbian Democratic Party in Bosnia, said that if Bosnia-Herzegovina seceded from Yugoslavia, the Bosnian Serbs would secede from Bosnia-Herzegovina. As the Bosnian Serbs did


19 Assistance for Victims of Atrocities in Croatia and Bosnia-Herzegovina, p. 27.


not inhabit any contiguous geographic unit, the stage was set for war.

**Outbreak of War**

Fighting broke out in the former Yugoslavia three days after Slovenia and Croatia declared independence on June 25, 1991. The Yugoslav Peoples’ Army (JNA) attacked Slovenia, but soon abandoned war efforts there to concentrate on Croatia.

In the meantime, a referendum on independence was held in Bosnia-Herzegovina on February 29 and March 1, 1992. Bosnian Muslims and Croats overwhelmingly voted in favor of independence, but most Bosnian Serbs boycotted the referendum and declared it invalid. The Bosnian Serbs insisted that they wanted to remain part of a federal Yugoslavia and declared that they were forming their own state within the borders of Bosnia, called the Serbian Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina, with Sarajevo as their capital.

Full scale war in Bosnia-Herzegovina commenced in April 1992, almost simultaneous with international recognition of the republic’s independence. JNA units stationed in Bosnia-Herzegovina joined Bosnian Serb irregular forces in attacks against the Bosnian government, Bosnian Croats, and Croatian Army units sent from neighboring Croatia. In the meantime, the republics of Serbia and Montenegro proclaimed the establishment of a new, truncated Yugoslavia, sworn of Macedonia, Croatia, Slovenia, and Bosnia-Herzegovina.

**International Intervention**

The United Nations dispatched peacekeeping forces to Croatia and later to Bosnia-Herzegovina in early 1992. The world body sought to achieve three goals: in the former Yugoslavia: to create conditions of peace and security required for the negotiation of an overall settlement; to protect populations in UN Protected Areas (UNPA); and to assist UN humanitarian

---

26 The European Community recognized Slovenia and Croatia as independent states on January 15, 1992.

27 The former Yugoslav republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina is a union of two provinces: Herzegovina (a region located in the southwest of the republic), and Bosnia (which encompasses all territory not part of Herzegovina). Bosnia-Herzegovina’s total population is 4.35 million. Prior to the war, 43.7 percent were Slavic Muslims, 31.3 percent Serbs, and 17.3 percent Croats. The various ethnic groups were intermingled throughout the country, although in some areas one ethnic group formed a significant majority.

28 The European Community recognized Bosnia-Herzegovina’s independence on April 6, 1992. The next day, the United States extended recognition to the new state, as did Croatia. Members of the international community, including the Arab world, soon followed suit. On May 22, 1992, Bosnia-Herzegovina was admitted as a member state to the United Nations. "Under international law, a state is an entity that has defined territory and a permanent population, under the control of its own government, and that engages in, or has the capacity to engage in, formal relations with other such entities." (See Section 201, Restatement of the Foreign Relations Law of the United States, Volume 1, Sections 1-488, as adopted and promulgated by the American Law Institute, Washington, D.C., May 14, 1986.)


agencies in the return of all displaced persons who wish to return to their homes in these UNPAs.

In Croatia, the 14,000-member force, formally referred to as the United Nations Protection Force (UNPROFOR), was to monitor and help maintain a fragile cease-fire until a political solution could be reached between the Croatian government and the Serbs who had seized control of a third of the country. The UN forces created United Nations Protected Areas (UNPAs) in eastern Slavonia, western Slavonia, and the Serbian self-proclaimed “Krajina” region—all areas where Serbs constitute a majority or substantial minority. The UN forces were also charged with responsibility for demilitarizing the UNPAs by ensuring the withdrawal of JNA troops and demobilizing all armed groups.

UN peacekeeping troops began arriving in Sarajevo in March 1992. By establishing its headquarters in the Bosnian capital, the UNPROFOR mission hoped its presence would discourage further Serbian attacks. Such a hope was soon dashed, as Bosnian Serb forces assumed military and political control over parts of Bosnia-Herzegovina and laid siege to the capital of Sarajevo. Shelling of Sarajevo was so fierce that UN troops found they could not even protect their own vehicles and supplies.

The UN evacuated Sarajevo on May 16, 1992. Two hundred UN soldiers and staff members left the city and the UNPROFOR mission’s headquarters was moved to Belgrade and Zagreb. One hundred and twenty UN troops remained in Sarajevo to assist relief convoys and to seek a lasting cease-fire in Bosnia-Herzegovina. Meanwhile, the United States began to pressure its allies to impose sanctions on Yugoslavia.

On May 30, 1992, three days after the Bosnian Serbs launched a mortar attack on a crowded marketplace in Sarajevo, killing at least twenty civilians, the UN Security Council voted to impose economic and other sanctions on the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro). The resolution required Yugoslavia "to cease all interference in Bosnia-Herzegovina and to use its influence to promote a general cease-fire, oversee the disbanding and disarming of elements of the JNA and irregular forces, and end efforts to create a purely Serbian enclave by driving out other ethnic groups." 192

If the United Nations had hoped to avert a war in Bosnia-Herzegovina, it was now apparent that it had failed. Sarajevo was under siege, and UN forces maintained only nominal control of the city's airport for the delivery of humanitarian aid.

Some of Sarajevo’s suffering was the result of actions of the Bosnian government. At the outbreak of the war, the government relied on the Sarajevo underworld to defend the city from Serb attacks. These militia forces took their toll on the city’s population, especially Serbs, by looting and killing. Bosnian government forces, whether out of individual greed or official policy, shelled the Sarajevo airport, the city’s primary lifeline for relief supplies. The shelling closed the airport for a time, driving up the price of black-market goods that entered the city via routes controlled by Bosnian army commanders and government officials. On June 16, 1992, Bosnian President Alija Izetbegović announced a military alliance with neighboring Croatia against Bosnian Serb and Yugoslav forces. Four days later, he formally declared the country to be in a state of war.

The Laws of War

Since the onset of war in the former Yugoslavia, the warring parties have been governed by the laws of war. This body of law is comprised, \textit{inter alia}, of the four 1949 Geneva

\footnote{Paul Lewis, "UN Votes 13-0 for Embargo on Trade with Yugoslavia; Air Travel and Oil Curb'd," \textit{New York Times}, May 31, 1992.}
Conventions, the two 1977 Protocols additional to those Conventions, and the customary laws of war. A parallel field of law, known as “Hague Law,” focuses less on targets and more on specific weapons; it seeks to limit the means and methods of conducting warfare, such as the deployment of poison gas and the placement of landmines, and the proportional harm they may inflict on civilians.

Since 1977, the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) has sought to merge the fields of law into a single body known as “international humanitarian law.” Humanitarian law also seeks to incorporate key aspects of international human rights law, which sets out several absolute prohibitions on certain government actions, such as torture and arbitrary executions.

International humanitarian law distinguishes between international and non-international (internal) armed conflicts occurring in the territory of a single state, and the rules governing each type of conflict vary significantly. The United Nations and international human rights organizations have categorized the major wars in the former Yugoslavia as international armed conflicts. As a result, the warring parties (Yugoslavia, Slovenia, Croatia, and Bosnia-Herzegovina) can be held accountable for any “grave breaches” of the four Geneva Conventions of 1949, the 1977 Protocols to those Conventions, and to laws and customs of war.

Grave breaches are major violations of international humanitarian law which may be punished by any State on the basis of universal jurisdiction. Grave breaches prohibit wilful killing; torture; rape or inhuman treatment of protected persons, including biological experiments; unlawful deportation or transfer; unlawful confinement; wilfully causing great suffering or serious injury to body or health; depriving a protected person of the right to a fair and regular trial; the taking of hostages; and extensive destruction and appropriation of property, not justified by military necessity and carried out unlawfully and wantonly.

The Geneva Convention recognized that “military necessity” had its limits and that combatants who were wounded

---


---

All parties to the conflict are High Contracting Parties to the Geneva Conventions and the Additional Protocols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geneva Conventions</th>
<th>Protocols I/II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yugoslavia</td>
<td>(Ratification) April 21, 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>(Succession) March 28, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>(Succession) May 11, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia-Herz.</td>
<td>(Succession) December 31, 1991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30 Grave breaches are listed in Article 5c of the First Geneva Convention (wounded and sick), Article 51 of the Second Geneva Convention (maritime), Article 130 of the Third Geneva Convention (prisoners of war), and Article 147 of the Fourth Geneva Convention (civilians) of 1949. Grave breaches are also listed in Articles 11, paragraph 4, and 85 of Additional Protocol I of 1977. The grave breaches provisions are only relevant during an international armed conflict.
or held as prisoners of war (hors de combat) should not be military targets and should be treated humanely at all times. Broadening the concept of immune targets to a notion of immune objectives, the Convention declared that medical personnel, and equipment bearing the distinctive red cross symbol (later to be joined by the red crescent) which removed the wounded from the field, should be considered immune from attack.

The Geneva Conventions and the 1977 Protocols provide that warring factions have an obligation to protect civilians, the sick and wounded, combatants who are hors de combat, and medical and religious personnel. All sides in a conflict must protect and limit damage to certain objects, including: medical facilities and ambulances; buildings designated as cultural or historical landmarks; facilities and transport used by humanitarian and relief agencies; and objects indispensable to the survival of the civilian population—such as crops, livestock, and drinking water installations.

Adherence to these conventions and protocols is largely dependent on the political will of civilian and military leaders who are parties to the conflict, the quality of military discipline these leaders maintain within their ranks, and the level of political and economic pressure outside governments and the United Nations can assert on the warring parties.

---

36 See W. M. Reisman and C.T. Antoniou, eds., The Laws of War.

III. WAR CRIMES, CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, AND GENOCIDE

United Nations personnel were aware of massive violations of human rights and humanitarian law in the former Yugoslavia soon after fighting broke out between the Yugoslav Peoples’ Army (JNA) and Croatian forces in 1991. In August 1991, JNA and Serb irregular forces began their siege of Vukovar, a city of 50,000 on Croatia’s eastern border. For three months the JNA and paramilitary forces shelled the city, killing hundreds of civilians and causing extensive physical damage. When Vukovar fell to the Serbs on November 19, 1991, the UN Secretary-General’s personal envoy to the former Yugoslavia, Cyrus Vance, intervened to help facilitate the evacuation of hundreds of patients from the city hospital. He later learned that just hours before the evacuation, JNA and Serb irregular forces had removed over 200 patients and staff from the hospital and executed them outside the city.

UN soldiers and civilian personnel, deployed in the United Nations Protected Areas (UNPAs) in March and April 1992, witnessed or heard accounts of massive expulsions of Serbs and non-Serbs from their homes and villages. Several UN field staff later told human rights investigators that they had reported these abuses to their superiors, but were told they were not empowered at the time to do anything to stop or prevent the expulsions. More intent on brokering peace in the former Yugoslavia than publicly criticizing the warring factions, UN officials presented complaints of violations of human rights in

---

36 Physicians for Human Rights representatives heard such complaints from UN staff in UNPA sectors east and west. Helsinki Watch representatives also reported similar complaints. See Helsinki Watch, War Crimes in Bosnia-Herzegovina, p. 171.
separate reports to the Croatian and Serbian governments but never made the information public, nor publicly condemned such abuses.

The world first learned of atrocities in the former Yugoslavia not through the United Nations but through the courageous efforts of print and television journalists. New York Newsday reporter Roy Gutman, won a Pulitzer Prize for his coverage, in July 1992, of the appalling conditions and treatment of Croat and Muslim detainees held in Serb-run detention camps near the towns of Banja Luka and Travnik in northwestern Bosnia. After Gutman and freelance photographer Andre Kaiser visited the Manjaca and Omarska camps, international pressure led to visits by the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) and the foreign press corps.

For weeks afterwards, television viewers around the world saw horrifying images of prison camps. The British network ITN convinced the Banja Luka authorities to provide access to Omarska camp. The guards allowed them to photograph some prisoners being washed through meals. One of the most wrenching scenes showed hundreds of emaciated men behind barbed wire, their eyes hollowed from hunger and despair. Most of the detainees were terrified and refused to speak to foreigners, although some, once out of the presence of the guards, whispered accounts of the atrocities they had suffered or witnessed.

Only after reports of conditions in the camps appeared in the press did the United Nations take seriously its obligation to investigate war crimes and crimes against humanity in the former Yugoslavia. On October 6, 1992, the Security Council established a Commission of Experts to investigate and collect evidence of "grave breaches of the Geneva Conventions and other violations of humanitarian law" in the Yugoslav conflicts. It was an extraordinary act. Not since the International Military Tribunal at Nuremberg had the world community taken collective action to provide for an international body to investigate violations of international humanitarian law, with a view to prosecuting its perpetrators before an ad hoc international tribunal.

Based in Geneva, the Commission began collecting reports of human rights violations in the former Yugoslavia from news agencies and human rights organizations. Physicians for Human Rights (PHR) entered into a contractual agreement with the Commission to send forensic teams to the former republic to investigate mass graves believed to be associated with war crimes.

Following the submission of the Commission's First Interim Report, the UN Security Council voted, on February 11, 1992, to establish an ad hoc criminal tribunal to prosecute war crimes, crimes against humanity, and acts of genocide in the

---

former Yugoslavia. The UN Security Council declared that a primary objective of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia would be to establish individual responsibility for crimes in order to avoid the attribution of collective guilt to any specific ethnic group.

The International Criminal Tribunal’s statute adopted the definitions of war crimes and crimes against humanity contained in the London Charter of the International Military Tribunal, drafted by the World War II Allied powers in 1945 to establish the Nuremberg Tribunal. The Charter categorized “war crimes” as violations that shall include, but not be limited to:

- murder; ill-treatment or deprivation to slave labour, or for any other purpose of the civilian population of or in an occupied territory; murder or ill-treatment of prisoners of war or persons on the seas; killing of hostages; plunder of public or private property; wanton destruction of cities, towns or villages; or devastation not justified by military necessity.\(^4\)

Article 6 of the London Charter defined “crimes against humanity” as:

- murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation, and other inhumane acts committed against any civilian population, before or during the war; or persecutions on political, racial, or religious grounds in execution of, or in connection with, any crime...whether or not in violation of the domestic law of the country where perpetrated.\(^4\)

The term “civilian” is defined in the London Charter as anyone who never took part in hostilities and combatants who are hors de combat.

“Genocide,” the most heinous of all the crimes in the International Criminal Tribunal’s statute, is defined in Article II of the “Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide,” adopted by the UN General Assembly on December 9, 1948 (See Appendix F):

Any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnic, racial or religious group as such: (a) Killing members of the group; (b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group; (c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; (d) Imposing measures intending to prevent births within the group; (e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.

The Commission of Experts completed its work in April 1994 and submitted 65,000 pages of documents and 300 hours of videotape to the International Criminal Tribunal. In the Commission’s records was evidence of some 150 mass graves and detailed dossiers on 900 prison camps and 50 paramilitary groups throughout the former Yugoslavia. The Commission concluded that Bosnian Serb forces were guilty of “crimes against humanity,” and charged that Bosnian Serb army and irregular forces may have committed genocide in the Prijedor region. The Commission aso


\(^4\) See W.M. Reisman and C.T. Antoniou, eds., The Laws of War, pp. 318-322.

\(^4\) Ibid.
said that the Bosnian Serb high command may have directed "a systematic rape policy."  

Prosecuting War Criminals

The International Criminal Tribunal, led by a highly respected South African judge, Richard Goldstone, needs the cooperation of the authorities in Serbia, Bosnia-Herzegovina, and Croatia (some of whom could turn out to be potential suspects themselves), in handling over defendants to stand trial in The Hague where the courtroom is located. At the time of this writing (May 1996) fifty-seven people have been indicted by the court, most of them Bosnian Serbs but also a few Bosnian Croats, Bosnian Muslims, and three senior JNA officials from Serbia. Only one of them, Dusan Tadic, a Bosnian Serb guard who worked in the notorious Omarska camp and was later arrested in Germany, has been charged in court. Two Bosnian Croats and two Bosnian Muslims are also in custody at the Tribunal's detention center. The other suspects are still at large, presumably in the territory of the former Yugoslavia.

The International Criminal Tribunal will hold no trials in absentia, but prosecutors can hold a public proceeding with witnesses to confirm evidence and issue international arrest warrants. As there are no statutes of limitation governing war crimes and the other crimes contained in the International Criminal Tribunal's statute, the arrest warrants will remain in effect as long as necessary.

---


Among the defendants are Radovan Karadžić, President of the self-declared Bosnian Serb state, and General Ratko Mladić, the commander of the Bosnian Serb army. Both men were charged on July 25, 1995 with genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes. In its indictment, the International Criminal Tribunal said that Karadžić and Mladić, individually, or in concert with others, planned, instigated, ordered, or otherwise aided and abetted, in the planning, preparation, and execution of the persecutions, on political and religious grounds, of Bosnian Muslim and Bosnian Croat civilians, or knew, or had reason to know, that subordinates were about to do the same, or had done so, and failed to take the necessary and reasonable measures to prevent such acts or to punish the perpetrators thereof.

The International Criminal Tribunal charged that acts of genocide and crimes against humanity committed by Karadžić and Mladić involved:

(1) the internment of thousands of Bosnian Muslims and Croats in detention facilities where they were subjected to widespread acts of physical and psychological abuse and to inhumane conditions;

(2) the targeting of Bosnian Muslim and Bosnian Croat communities, and in particular their political leaders, intellectuals and professionals;


(3) the deportation of thousands of Bosnian Muslim and Bosnian Croat civilians, including women, children, and elderly persons who were taken directly from their homes;

(4) the shelling of civilian gatherings in Sarajevo, Srebrenica, and Tuzla, in order to kill, terrorize, and demoralize the civilian population;

(5) the appropriation and plunder of real and personal property of Bosnian Muslim and Bosnian Croat civilians;

(6) the persecution of civilians, including the systematic destruction of Bosnian Muslim and Bosnian Croat homes and businesses in order to ensure that the inhabitants could not and would not return to their homes and communities; and,

(7) the systematic damaging or destruction of sacred sites, both Muslim and Roman Catholic.

The International Criminal Tribunal's indictment of Dr. Karadžić and General Mladić on charges of genocide is historic. Never before had an international tribunal indicted top civilian and military leaders for acts of genocide.

On November 15, 1995, the International Criminal Tribunal issued additional charges of genocide against Karadžić and Mladić for the alleged execution of 6,000 to 8,700 Bosnian government soldiers and civilians who have been missing since Bosnian Serb forces seized the UN safe haven of Srebrenica in July 1995. The indictment charged that the attack was authorized by Dr. Karadžić and carried out by forces under the command of General Mladić, who was seen at several sites of systematic mass killings.

In August 1995, the U.S. government released to the UN Security Council several spy satellite photographs showing large mounds of freshly-dug earth on farm land near Srebrenica. The mounds had not been there when spy planes and satellites surveyed the site just after Srebrenica was overrun by Bosnian Serb forces, but showed up in photographs taken several days later.46

American officials believe there may be as many as ten mass burial sites in the area of Srebrenica.47 They also suspect that Bosnian Serb soldiers have tried to destroy evidence of the executions by pouring corrosive chemicals over the bodies and scattering corpses that previously had been buried in mass graves.

The Dayton Accords

On November 21, 1995, after twenty-one days of talks, the presidents of Bosnia-Herzegovina, Croatia, and Serbia initiated a peace agreement in Dayton, Ohio, ending nearly four years of war in the former Yugoslavia.48 The American-brokered agreement took effect when it was formally signed in Paris on December 14, 1995 by Alija Izetbegović, president of Bosnia-Herzegovina, Franjo Tudjman, president of Croatia, and Slobodan


Milosevic, president of Serbia. The peace accord allowed for the deployment in Bosnia of 60,000 NATO troops.

The Dayton settlement was a compromise among conflicting aims. For the Bosnian government, it affirmed the legal integrity of the country and restored the unity of the capital, Sarajevo. The Bosnian Serbs received—if not the separate state they wanted—a semi-autonomous republic, Republika Srpska, comprising forty-nine percent of the territory of Bosnia-Herzegovina. The other fifty-one percent is comprised of the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, which is a U.S.-brokered Muslim-Croat federation.

Under the peace accords, people indicted by the International Criminal Tribunal cannot hold elected office. The governments have pledged to cooperate with the International Criminal Tribunal, but are not explicitly required to arrest indicted people. Meanwhile, the NATO force, including about 20,000 American troops, will have the responsibility to detain and turn over indicted war criminals to the International Criminal Tribunal, but only if it comes into contact with them or its deployment is obstructed by them.51

"Ethnic Cleansing"

"Ethnic cleansing" is one of the most appalling aspects of the wars in the former Yugoslavia. Originally a Chetnik term (čišćanje terena—"cleaning of the ground"), Serb leaders have used it since the onset of the war in June 1991 to describe their campaign to establish homogenous control over geographic areas by terrorizing and forcibly displacing non-Serbs. (By mid-1992, as the phrase began to take on a special horror of its own, some Serb leaders came to prefer the euphemism "ethnic shifting.") Victims of "ethnic cleansing" have been expelled from their homes, held in detention camps, deported, killed in indiscriminate attacks, raped and tortured, and summarily executed.

All parties to the conflict in the former Yugoslavia are guilty of forcibly displacing large numbers of people based on their religion and ethnicity. However, until the large displacement of Serbs from the area referred to as the Krajina region of Croatia in August 1995, the chief offenders throughout the conflict were Bosnian Serb military and paramilitary forces.

The pattern of "ethnic cleansing" by Bosnian Serb forces in several different regions of Bosnia-Herzegovina has followed a similar pattern.52 The process often begins with an attempt to terrorize civilians through direct shelling and sniper attacks.53 The attacks initially involve light and heavy artillery, which often is used indiscriminately to force the population from the besieged area. Sometimes attacks are conducted on religious holidays when people are gathered in groups. This was the case in June 1993, when a Serbian shell killed at least twelve civilians and wounded eighty others who had gathered on a Muslim holy day to watch a soccer match in Sarajevo.54


53 For a detailed account of the process of "ethnic cleansing" in Bosnia-Herzegovina, see Helsinki Watch, War Crimes in Bosnia-Herzegovina (Volume II), pp. 10-16.

54 According to Article 147 of the Fourth Geneva Convention, "extensive destruction and appropriation of property, not justified by military necessity and carried out unlawfully and wantonly" is considered a "grave breach" of the Geneva Conventions of 1949.

After Bosnian Serb forces occupy an area, the remaining residents are taken from their homes, separated by sex and age, and taken to places of detention. In some cases, residents are interrogated immediately after their arrest and shot. Women and young girls are often raped. In the detention centers and concentration camps, detainees are usually registered, interrogated, physically and psychologically abused, and, in some cases, summarily executed. Those who survive internment are usually placed on buses, trains, or cattle cars and taken to the front lines, where they are exchanged, or forced to walk, sometimes through minefields, to Muslim- or Croatian-controlled territory.

While "ethnic cleansing" has been overwhelmingly a Bosnian Serb practice, Croatian, Bosnian Croat, and Bosnian government forces have also forced people to flee from areas under their control. In June 1992, Serbs were evicted from Mostar by Bosnian Croat forces. In June 1993, Bosnian Croat forces began evicting Muslim residents from the west side of Mostar. After thousands of Muslims fled across the historic Stari Most bridge to the eastern bank, their attackers destroyed the bridge. From then on, the Bosnian Croats interfered with the delivery of food and medical aid to the civilian population on the eastern side of the city. On December 23, 1993, the Bosnian Croats refused to allow delivery of materials for a field hospital to the displaced population. Moreover, vehicles clearly marked with red cross emblems were fired upon in repeated attacks.35


Croatian government forces have been guilty of forcibly displacing tens of thousands of ethnic Serbs since the war began in 1991. The most serious incident of "ethnic cleansing" took place between May and August 1995, when 200,000 ethnic Serbs fled from the region referred to as the Krajina region of Croatia in advance of a massive Croatian military offensive. During the operation Croatian troops looted and burned Serb homes and villages. Soldiers also fired into lines of fleeing Serb civilians crossing the border between Croatia and Bosnia-Herzegovina. In the border town of Dvor, UN troops reported seeing five elderly and handicapped Serbs pulled from a school and killed.36

Bosnian government forces have forcibly displaced civilian populations, although not in a fashion or on a scale that remotely parallels the Bosnian Serb policy of "ethnic cleansing." In June 1993, after Bosnian Croat forces had "cleansed" Mostar, Vitez, and Prozor, Bosnian government troops began expelling Croat civilians from Travnik.37 At approximately the same time, 15,000 Croatian refugees fled the Karanj area, which was under Bosnian government fire. British UN forces said they saw Bosnian government troops shooting machine guns at Croatian civilians fleeing Goca Gora.38 The UN also faults the Bosnian government for deaths occurring in the winter of 1994 when it deprived residents of Banocici, Drin, Nova Bitca, and Pazaric access to medical and food supplies.39


The Scope of Forced Displacement

"Ethnic cleansing" has caused widespread displacement throughout the former Yugoslavia, but especially in Bosnia-Herzegovina. The summer of 1994 saw brutal consequences for Bosnian non-Serbs. Ninety-eight hundred non-Serbs, mostly Muslim, were displaced from areas of Bosnia under Serb control. By September 1994, 657,000 non-Serbs (eighty-nine percent) of a pre-war population of 737,000 in northern and eastern Bosnia, had fled or had been forced to flee their homes and villages.

As of December 1995, fighting and "ethnic cleansing" in all of Bosnia had displaced or affected 2,749,000 people, or sixty-three percent of the country's pre-war population of 4,350,000.  

Physical Abuse and Summary Executions

"Ethnic cleansing" is usually accompanied by physical abuse, including torture, and summary executions, as the advancing forces enter civilian areas. Many of these acts of violence are carried out with extreme brutality in order to instill terror in the civilian population and cause them to flee.

PHR representatives were able to reconstruct a composite account of "ethnic cleansing" in northwestern Bosnia, based on extensive interviews with refugees from Prijedor, a district in the area known as the Krajina region of Bosnia. The interviewees were from the towns of Sanaki Most, Prijedor, Klinj, and Ljubija. In some cases, interviewees were examined medically to confirm signs of trauma. While dates or specific incidents differed from town to town, the pattern of abuses was remarkably consistent.

Local Bosnian Serb officials began their "ethnic cleansing" campaign in the Prijedor district in early 1992. A Bosnian Serb paramilitary group took control of the district's television transmitter and blocked programs from Sarajevo and Zagreb. Residents could only receive programs from Belgrade and later Banja Luka. The television programs from Belgrade implied that non-Serbs wanted war and threatened the Serbs. Next, local Serbs took control of Radio Prijedor. Radio announcers slandered former non-Serbian leaders by criticizing everything from their alleged lack of efficiency to their private lives. They also claimed that dangerous Muslim extremists were in the area, preparing genocide against the Serbs.

By May 1992, most non-Serbs with white collar jobs, including physicians, had been removed from their positions. Special documents were issued to non-Serbs, who were permitted to travel along the roads between Banja Luka, Sanaki Most, and Prijedor. Checkpoints were set up along the roads to inspect the required documents. A former medical student at Banja Luka University described an incident in which fifteen Muslims were removed from a bus in the town of Rasavci because they did not have proper documents. They were taken to a football field and shot while the rest of the passengers watched.

When Bosnian Serb forces captured the town of Prijedor, they took special care to detain "all the prominent people of

---


36

---

45 The interviews were conducted in January 1993.

Prijedor," as one former resident of the town told PHR. This included health professionals, such as internist Osman Mahmutjan, gynaecologist Zeljko Sikora, and ear-nose-and-throat specialist Edad Sadićkovic.

According to journalist Roy Gutman, "the underlying pattern...was to round up the most educated, the most wealthy, the most successful, and the political and religious leadership from previously prepared lists." The mayor was deported to the notorious Omsarska detention camp, while his wife, a physician and medical director of the Prijedor hospital, was told not to report to work. On May 28, 1992, all hospital personnel were stopped on their way to work and divided into groups based on presumed ethnicity. Only Serbs were allowed into the hospital, while non-Serbs were either returned home or deported to detention camps.

Civilians who had not already fled the Prijedor region were often beaten or killed. A woman from Ljubija told PHR that a soldier wearing a JNA uniform hit her front teeth with the butt of a gun and broke her finger while removing her wedding ring; the gaping hole where her front teeth had been and the flexion deformity of her ring finger were consistent with her account.

A 24-year-old woman described to PHR events in Ljubija in August 1992 which she believed amounted to a mass execution:

At five o'clock in the afternoon I was sitting with some other people in the front of my house when I heard buses approaching. I saw two buses and a truck pass by filled with men who were holding their hands behind their heads. In each bus, there was a driver and three soldiers. They took the buses to the stadium. And I heard people singing

and somebody screaming "Sing! Sing!" They started singing, and then I heard screaming again—"yili, yili, yili." They were singing a Serbian song. The lyrics are: "Who is saying Serbia is a small country when it has been in the war three times." Then I heard shooting for about two minutes: Di di di di di di di di di di di di di di di di di di di di di di di di. I heard nothing after the shooting. In about fifteen minutes, I saw the buses drive away. There were no people on the buses, only the drivers.

Other former residents of Ljubija testified in separate interviews that a large number of bodies were buried in mines near the town. In January 1996, the Croatian Helsinki Committee for Human Rights estimated that as many as 8,000 bodies were in a mine and that it was likely to be the largest mass grave in Serb-held Bosnia and the central collection point for thousands of corpses that remain from the Bosnian Serbs' campaign of "ethnic cleansing" in northwestern Bosnia. At the time of this writing, PHR and the International Criminal Tribunal investigators were awaiting access to the area.46

In October and November 1995, a PHR forensic team, at the request of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia, investigated several mass graves in northwestern Bosnia. The graves, which were located in territory held by the Bosnian Serbs until September 1995, are believed to contain the remains of civilians who were summarily executed between May and August 1992.

Rape

Rape in war is an attempt to dominate, humiliate, and control the behavior of a woman and her family and community. The intent of rape is not only to defile and destroy the individual woman but to destroy a woman's sense of self and identity. It can also be used to disable an enemy by destroying the bonds of family of entire communities. In situations of ethnic conflict, rape can be both a military strategy and a nationalistic policy. Rape of "enemy" women can be explicitly ordered or tacitly condoned by military authorities to promote hatred of another ethnic group.

All sides in the wars in the former Yugoslavia have used rape as a weapon of war. Soldiers have raped women and young girls, often in front of their families and neighbors, as if they were "war booty." Women have been captured and raped during interrogation in makeshift detention centers. Women have been held in prison camps where they are placed in special rooms and gang-raped, often for several days at a time, by prison guards.

As a tool of "ethnic cleansing," rape has been used in the former Yugoslavia to intimidate and degrade women, and to humiliate their communities. The effect of rape is often to ensure that women and their families will flee and never return. A UN team that investigated allegations of rape in the former Yugoslavia found the following pattern during the siege of Vukovar in the summer of 1992:

Serb paramilitary units would enter a village. Several women would be raped in the presence of others so that word spread throughout the village and a climate of fear was created. Several days later, Yugoslav Peoples' Army officers would arrive at the village offering permission to the non-Serb population to leave the village. Those male villagers who wanted to stay then decided to leave with the women and children in order to protect them from being raped.

The rape of women on a large scale suggests that local commanders must have known that their soldiers were raping women and took no steps to stop or prevent these abuses. Some reports indicate that commanders have at times even ordered soldiers to commit rapes.

---


48 Rape and sexual abuse constitute serious violations of international human rights law. Article 27(2) of the Fourth Geneva Convention states: "Women shall be especially protected against any attack on their honor." On this basis, the same provision prohibits "in particular, rape, enforced prostitution, or any form of indecent assault." Under an agreement reached under the auspices of the International Committee of the Red Cross in Geneva on May 22, 1992, all parties to the conflict in the former Yugoslavia promised to comply with the Fourth Geneva Convention. Article 147 of that Convention specifies that "torture or inhuman treatment" and "willfully causing great suffering or serious injury to body or health" are "grave breaches," and hence judicially actionable as war crimes.


The number of rapes reported in the former Yugoslavia raises the question of whether such activity reflects policy. The UN Commission of Experts concluded that the Bosnian Serb high command may have directed “a systematic rape policy.” If this can be proven, and if the element of intent to destroy or attempt to destroy a designated group in whole or in part is involved, then those responsible could be punished for genocide.

There have been difficulties in determining the extent of rape in the former Yugoslavia. A European Community report, charged with investigating the rape of Muslim women in Bosnia-Herzegovina and released in January 1993, estimated that 20,000 Muslim women had been raped by Serbian forces. The Bosnian government places the figure between 50,000 and 60,000 women and claims to have documentation on 13,000 cases of Muslim women violated by Serbs. As this significantly smaller number of 13,000 suggests, the larger figures on rape are almost always based on extrapolations derived from a smaller number of known or reported occurrences.

The UN Commission on Human Rights has described the difficulties in obtaining accurate information on the extent of rape in the former Yugoslavia:

A persistent problem, undermining attempts to chart the extent of the incidence of rape and other forms of sexual abuse, remains the exceptional difficulty in obtaining reports of or investigating allegations. Hindrances include the continued war conditions, the distress of victims and their fear of retaliation by or on behalf of the perpetrators, the dispersal of victims among other displaced people and, not least, the refusal of the Bosnian Serb authorities to permit investigations in territories under their control. Also, reports of incidents of rape often only come to the attention of investigators months after the incident has occurred.

While the true numbers of rape in the former Yugoslavia may be very high, unsubstantiated claims risk creating questions about the credibility of the numbers themselves. In January 1993, the UN sent a team of physicians and public health specialists, including PHR physician Shana Swain,70 to the former Yugoslavia to determine the scale of rape since the onset of the war in June 1991. The medical team collected data on abortions, deliveries, known pregnancies due to rape, and sexually-transmitted diseases. From a small sample of six hospitals in Bosnia-Herzegovina, Croatia, and Serbia, they identified 119 pregnancies that resulted from rape.

According to estimates established in previous medical studies,71 a single act of unprotected intercourse will result in pregnancy between one percent and four percent of the time. Based on the assumption that one percent of acts of unprotected


71 Rape of women including minors has occurred on a large scale. While the UN team of experts has found victims among all ethnic groups involved in the conflict, the majority of the rapes they have documented have been committed by Serb forces against Muslim women from Bosnia-Herzegovina. See: United Nations Economic and Social Council, E/CN.4/1993/50, February 10, 1993, p. 73.
intercourse result in pregnancy, the 119 identified pregnancies alone could be representative of some 11,900 rapes. Such a figure, the team concluded, indicated that the incidence of rape in the wars in the former Yugoslavia was systematic and widespread.

Dr. Swiss and psychologist Joan E. Giller later cautioned, in an article in the *Journal of the American Medical Association*, that any figures on the scale of rape in armed conflicts in the former Yugoslavia or elsewhere should be examined carefully:

Under reporting, along with the reluctance of many physicians to ask women seeking abortions or perinatal care whether they had been raped during the war, would lead to an underestimate of the number of women raped. On the other hand, multiple and repeated rapes of the same women were frequently reported and could lead to an overestimate of the number of women (as opposed to the number of incidents of rape) involved. The goal is not to come up with an exact number, which is impossible, but rather to use medical data to suggest a scale of violations that cannot be determined from individual testimonies.77

Based on interviews with 223 female and male victims and witnesses of rape and other forms of sexual assault residing in Croatia, Slovenia, and Bosnia-Herzegovina, the UN Commission of Experts found five patterns of rape in the former Yugoslavia. The first pattern involved individuals or small groups committing sexual assault in conjunction with looting and intimidation of the target ethnic group. The second pattern involved sexual assaults committed in conjunction with fighting in an area, often including the rape of women in public. The third pattern involved sexual assaults in detention centers. The fourth pattern involved sexual assaults against women for the purpose of terrorizing and humiliating them, often as part of an “ethnic cleansing” campaign. The fifth pattern involved detention of women in hotels and similar facilities for sexual entertainment of soldiers. These women were more often killed than exchanged, unlike women in other camps.78

Rape carries with it traumatic social repercussions, which may be affected by a woman’s cultural origins or social status. Relief and human rights organizations report that women who have survived rape are frequently reluctant to report the incidents, even after reaching places where they are safe, because they fear social stigmatization.79 Some Bosnian rape victims have been harshly judged and even ostracized by members of their communities and families.

The UN Commission of Experts concluded that in Bosnia-Herzegovina:

some of the reported rape and sexual assault cases committed by Serbs, mostly against Muslims, are clearly the result of individual or small group conduct without evidence of command direction or an overall policy. However, many more seem to be part of an overall pattern whose characteristics include...maximizing shame and humiliation to not only the victim, but also the victim’s community...One factor in particular that leads to this conclusion is the large number of rapes which occurred in places of detention. These rapes do not appear to be random, and they indicate at least


a policy of encouraging rape supported by the deliberate failure of camp commanders and local authorities to exercise command and control over the personnel under their authority.  

Destruction of Cultural Property

Another feature of "ethnic cleansing" in the former Yugoslavia has been the deliberate destruction or damaging of cultural property. Bosnian Serb and Bosnian Croat forces have been especially guilty of targeting historical, religious, and ethnic landmarks not justified by military necessity.

During the 1991 siege of Dubrovnik in Croatia, JNA and Serbian paramilitary forces shelled the historic Old Town, hitting three out every five buildings. Included in the destruction was the ancient Franciscan Cathedral and Convent, St. Blaise's Church, and the historic foundation of Onofrio. In another incident, on May 7, 1993, in Banja Luka, Bosnian Serbs destroyed the Armendija Mosque, built in 1587, and the Perhad-Pasha Mosque, built in 1583.

The Institute for the Protection of the Historic and Natural Cultural Heritage of Bosnia-Herzegovina has accused the Croatian Defence Council and the Croatian Army of destroying the Mostar bridge on November 9, 1993. Built between 1557 and 1566, the bridge was a symbol of Bosnia-Herzegovina which closed the gap between the Muslim and Croat communities. It embodied the links which united both peoples in spite of their religious differences.

Two HR representatives witnessed massive destruction of property, including cultural monuments, during a tour of Vukovar in March 1993. The city had been subject to a three-month siege by JNA and Serbian paramilitary forces from August to November 1991. Inside the city's Roman Catholic cathedral, which was pitted with shell holes, HR representatives found religious statuary, bibles, and vestments still strewn across the floor. Orthodox churches had also been damaged in Vukovar but were undergoing repairs.

Detention Centers and Camps

Since the spring of 1992, all of the warring factions have operated a variety of detention centers and prison camps throughout the former Yugoslavia. The UN Commission of Experts gathered information on 715 camps but believes that the real number is much higher. Most of these camps are now closed.

To varying degrees, all sides in the wars in the former Yugoslavia have mistreated prisoners of war and civilians held in detention facilities under their control. Prisoners have been summarily executed, tortured, raped, and held in appalling living conditions.
PHR has provided medical and forensic expertise to the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia to analyze medical reports and photographic evidence of torture and other abuses in prison camps. PHR has also gathered physical and testimonial evidence on the treatment of detainees in the former Yugoslavia. In October 1993, a PHR forensic team discovered several unmarked graves near an abandoned building once used as a changing room for soccer players in the Croatian village of Pakraska Poljana (see Appendix B). According to UN investigators, a local Croatian army commander used the building as a detention center between October 1991 and April 1992. One former detainee, a Serb, described his treatment at the facility:

On December 22, 1991, I was arrested in the afternoon around 3 pm in Deresaz...The Croatian army had attacked Deresaz about 10 am and we were defending against them in the woods and ran out of bullets...They took us to the changing rooms in Pakraska Poljana...I was beaten outside. They used knives, but they didn’t use the sharp side. They put water in my rubber boots and made sure they were always full. When I got to Bjelovar prison, my feet were frozen and a lot of skin came off of my feet and legs...They beat me all night at Pakraska Poljana. I was there nine days...On the third day I saw a man killed. It was about 9 am. I could see through a hole in the bricks where the cement was missing. I saw one man with a rubber baton or blackjack. He hit one man and he fell down in a hole that the prisoners dug. They thought it was for a toilet. But it was a grave.

---

or from third parties.* Moreover, protected persons must not be exposed to "murder, torture, or corporal punishment" (Article 32), nor "punished for an offense he or she has not personally committed" (Article 33).

---

The PHR team uncovered nineteen bodies buried in shallow graves in a wooded area 200 yards from the alleged detention center. Most of the bodies bore gunshot wounds consistent with execution-style killings, and some of the bodies had their hands tied with rope. This evidence has been turned over to the International Criminal Tribunal.

Among the largest and most notorious detention camps have been the Serb-run facilities in northwestern Bosnia. Serbian military and police opened the camps during the "ethnic cleansing" campaigns in the Prijedor region in May and June 1992. Thousands of Muslim and Croat men, women, and children were held at the camps in deplorable conditions. The Osmarka and Keratorm camps in the municipality of Prijedor were closed in August 1992, and most of the prisoners were transferred to the Trmopolje and Manjača camps, which were emptied of prisoners in November and December 1992, respectively.

In most camps in the Prijedor region, prisoners were killed on a daily basis. Sick and wounded prisoners often were buried alive in mass graves along with the bodies of killed prisoners. The camps were overcrowded and highly unsanitary. Rape was also prevalent. Guards killed women who resisted being raped, often in front of other prisoners. Rapes were also committed in the presence of other prisoners. Mothers of young children were raped in front of their children. Young women were separated from older women and taken to special camps where they were raped several times a day. Many of these women later disappeared or were returned to the camps and replaced by other women. Men were castrated or had their sexual organs mutilated. 46

---


47 Ibid.
Reports indicate that these camps may have been used as detention centers later by Bosnian Serb authorities.

The most notorious of the Bosnian Serb-run camps was at Omarska, an open-pit iron mine north of Banja Luka. Omarska was a death factory. Of the 13,000 people held there, thousands reportedly died of starvation or were killed by Bosnian Serb guards. Many prisoners were jammed into a warehouse packed so tightly that no one could lie down to sleep. Others were held outside in an open pit, without shelter of any kind. There were no toilets or beds, and prisoners were fed like animals.

Bosnian government forces have abused detainees, although not on the scale of the Bosnian Serbs and Croats. One of the worst government-run detention facilities was a former JNA army barracks in the village of Celebicci, in central Bosnia-Herzegovina. After the JNA withdrew from the barracks in May 1992, Bosnian government forces assumed control and used the facility to house Serbian prisoners. Former detainees claim that they saw fellow prisoners beaten to death by guards.

The UN Commission on Human Rights reported in February 1993 that conditions in the Celebicci camp were deplorable:

Prisoners were kept in three buildings in the camp. One is reported to have been a ventilation tunnel about 120 centimeters wide, 30 meters long and 2.3 meters high. Air entered through a small glass window in the door and there was no light. Prisoners in the tunnel used a bucket as a toilet but were not allowed to empty it regularly. Thus, as the tunnel inclined, up to ten centimeters of human waste accumulated at the bottom. For the first twenty days, the detainees were not allowed to wash...For the next one and a half months [the detainees] were given stale pieces of bread the size of a matchbox, with some vegetables, three times a day.66

Physical and Psychological Effects

History teaches that acts of violence, whether localized—such as murder or terrorist attack—or more widespread—such as mass killings and war—not only maim or destroy their intended victims but also undermine the emotional stability of survivors and their families and communities.

In the former Yugoslavia, four years of war and massive human rights abuses have physically and psychologically scarred hundreds of thousands of Croats, Muslims,塞斯, and members of other ethnic groups. In Croatia and Bosnia-Herzegovina alone, European community psychologists estimate that 700,000 people suffer from severe psychological trauma and could benefit from professional assistance. However, the number of local professionals is only sufficient to cover less than one percent of those in need of care.
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Mental health professionals have identified seven basic psycho-social stress factors which can undermine the mental well-being of victims of war and human rights abuses:

- Economic hardship
- Social disruption (separation from family, disappearance of family members, downward change in social role)
- Physical/psychological violence
- Ethnic persecution
- Reception at arrival after flight
- Settlement in collective centers or private accommodation
- Uncertainty about future

A 1994 study of 1,754 refugees and internally displaced persons who sought medical care at a clinic in Zagreb, found that sixty-two percent had witnessed combat with all its terror and horror. Ninety-three percent reported the partial or total destruction of their homes; forty-one percent reported that a close family member had been killed; twenty percent reported being subjected to torture; and almost sixty percent felt they were both physically and mentally ill.

First-hand interviews conducted by PHR in 1993, and data from a physician in the Karlovac refugee camp located outside Zagreb, indicate that former prisoners, held in detention camps in the Prijedor region and at the Manjača camp in Banja Luka, have suffered considerable physical and psychiatric after-effects as a result of their treatment in detention. The physician’s findings, far from being typical, present a kind of best-case scenario for former prisoners held in these camps for several reasons: first, an ICRC presence had been established in the prison camp some weeks before the physician’s study, with a concomitant but hardly total decrease in abuse; second, the most unhealthy prisoners were sent by the ICRC to a hospital in Banja Luka; finally, those prisoners medically examined were mostly young men, therefore likely to be the healthiest prisoners in the camp.

Karlovac transit center was administered in 1993 by the ICRC and housed former prison camp detainees and their families. There was a frequent turnover at the camp, since it was intended as a "way station" for refugees headed to permanent homes, often in other countries. Karlovac housed approximately 3,000 refugees. Exact data on the age structure of the Karlovac population was not available to PHR at the time of our investigation, although it appeared that the majority of refugees at Karlovac were between twenty and fifty years old, approximately ten to twenty percent were children, and about ten percent appeared to be over sixty-five years of age.

The building was dilapidated yet structurally intact. Refugees lived in rooms approximately twenty-five by thirty meters, each housing about seventy-five people. While the hallways of the building were heated, the rooms were furnished with small wooden stoves able to warm the room to about seventy degrees Fahrenheit.

At the time of the PHR investigation in January 1993, medical care was provided on-site in a makeshift examining suite which included two beds. During the day, the center was staffed by two nurses and a physician. After-hours emergencies were transported via ambulance to the local hospital in Karlovac. The center had a small pharmacy with essential drugs. Medications which were not carried by the center were supplied free of charge to the refugees by pharmacies in the town of Karlovac.

The profile of diagnoses seen by the camp physicians was similar in most respects to that seen by a typical United States family practitioner: minor infectious diseases (upper respiratory infections, gastroenteritis) and musculoskeletal aches and pains made up a large percentage of the visits. However, the profile

---

differs in one major respect: a significant number of physician visits were due to physical and psychological sequelae of the trauma inflicted in the prison camps. An internal January 1993 report by a physician (requesting anonymity) who systematically examined 1,611 prisoners released from a north Bosnian prison camp in the fall of 1992, indicates that a large proportion suffered physical abuse in the camps.

The symptoms reported by patients and signs determined by physical exam are presented in Table 1.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom or Sign</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sign of physical abuse</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>49 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>34 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>12 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lice or scabies</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasites</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehydration</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While this sample represented the healthiest prisoners from a camp which had been watched by the ICRC for three weeks prior to the prisoners' release, approximately two out of five showed scars of physical abuse, and many of them were also otherwise sick or wounded.

The overall findings were grim. About forty percent of the 1,611 persons examined showed scars of physical abuse, and eight percent showed signs of recent physical abuse. The latter group presumably suffered their abuse before the ICRC's arrival, and had yet to heal. (Those who were not victims of abuse were hardly in good health; thirty-four percent reported pain, and six percent were anemic.)

The psychological sequelae were profound. The nursing staff at the Karlovac refugee camp estimated at least one suicide attempt every two weeks, primarily through drug overdose. Assuming a steady camp population of 3,000, the crude cumulative incidence of suicide in the Karlovac camp would be 78 per 1,000 per year. By comparison, a population-based survey of suicide attempts in the United States indicated a crude cumulative incidence of 3 per 1,000 per year in 1989. The cumulative incidence of suicide attempts is Karlovac refugees is thus 26 times higher than in the United States.

The list of scars and psychological sequelae suggest the type of brutality inflicted on Bosnian Serb prison camp inmates: fractures; circular burns or hypopigmentation (consistent with cigarette burns); linear wounds or scars (consistent with knife wounds); contusions, hematuria, bullet scars, dislocation of extremities or mandible, and fracture of teeth.

Many refugees and internally displaced persons, living in camps throughout the former Yugoslavia, require not only medical care but essential medications for chronic conditions, such as medicines for epilepsy and hypertension, insulin for diabetes, digoxin for heart disease, and chemotherapy for cancer. However, these medications are often difficult to obtain and very costly.

---

Health care professionals in the former Yugoslavia are particularly concerned about the mental well-being of rape victims. Rape often results in severe and long-lasting psychological aftereffects, including persistent fears, avoidance of situations that trigger memories of rape, profound feelings of shame, difficulty remembering events, intrusive thoughts, and difficulty reestablishing intimate relationships.

Rape may be compounded by an unwanted pregnancy or other forms of trauma, such as death of loved ones, loss of home and community, dislocation, and untreated illness. A UN team that investigated reports of rape in the region in 1993 found that, while some rape victims had been able to choose abortions, "others, who lived in rural areas, were held captive, or lived in communities with religious prohibitions, or laws limiting or denying access to abortion, may have had no choice but to bear an unwanted child." 66

Children have been particularly vulnerable to war-related trauma in the former Yugoslavia. The majority of war-related deaths and injuries of children have resulted from direct shelling, bombardment, and sniper fire on civilians. 66 Some snipers have targeted children. Children have witnessed the killing and rape of family members and friends, the destruction of their homes, schools, and places of worship, and the disintegration of their communities.

Many, if not most children living in the countries of the former Yugoslavia are at risk of mental health problems. Among a random sample of children in Sarajevo, it was shown that more than sixty percent of children have suffered psychological trauma. 67 Before the war, suicide was a rare occurrence in Sarajevo; now, there are reports of suicide among both adults and children. A study in the Serbian city of Novi Sad found that refugee children exhibited more problems, including sadness, anxiety, and easy distraction, than non-refugee children of the same age. Eighty-four percent of the refugee children assigned a negative meaning to the word refugee. 67

---


---
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IV. VIOLATIONS OF MEDICAL NEUTRALITY

The Principle of Medical Neutrality

International humanitarian law seeks to protect health professionals, not as doctors, nurses, or medics, and not as individuals, but as medical practitioners. As such, they are protected not because of their credentials but because of the professional services they render to civilians and to the sick and wounded. L.C. Green, a specialist on the laws of war, writes: "The status of the medical profession during war has never been looked at independently, but has always been considered from a functional point of view, that is to say, in regard to the need to protect the wounded." 8

International humanitarian law extends a special "protected" status to physicians and other health professionals so long as they actively perform medical functions and do not participate as combatants in the conflict. A physician or health worker who undertakes non-medical functions in a field of armed conflict cannot claim the protection of the rules of war. For instance, Dr. Che Guevara, in his political and combatant roles in Bolivia during the 1960s, would have had no claims to any of the protections associated with medical neutrality. Similarly, the Bosnian Serb leader Radovan Karadžić, a psychiatrist, can hardly claim the rights provided to health professionals under the rules of war.

If physicians and health professionals are extended special protection to attend to the sick and wounded during wartime, they are also expected to treat all patients, including prisoners of war, in accordance with internationally-recognized tenets of medical ethics.

The Nuremberg trials following World War II had a profound effect on the development of medical ethics. Witness testimony revealed that Nazi physicians had conducted hideous, often fatal, experiments on concentration camp inmates. Among the so-called "tests" were placing prisoners in low-pressure tanks simulating high altitude, immersing them in near-freezing water, or injecting them with live typhus organisms. Although only twenty-three physicians were charged with medical crimes at Nuremberg, the evidence suggested that hundreds of physicians participated in these "experiments." 9

Disclosures of medical atrocities during the Nuremberg trials prompted the creation of the World Medical Association (WMA) in 1947. 100 Among the first institutional acts of the WMA was the revision of the Hippocratic Oath in 1948 to preclude a repetition of Auschwitz and Buchenwald: "I will not permit consideration of race, religion, nationality, party politics, or social standing to intervene between my duty and my patient."


100 In contrast, the International Military Tribunal for the Far East, which held war crimes trials in Tokyo against twenty-eight Japanese military civilian leaders between May and November 1946, failed to prosecute Japanese military doctors who had performed horrific experiments in a secret germ-warfare factory on the Manchurian Plain. Hundreds of prisoners of war died as a result of the experiments and hundreds more were killed when the Japanese fled the laboratory. Some of the camp commanders were captured by Soviet troops and were later tried in Khabarovsk in December 1949, after the verdicts in the Tokyo trial had been announced.
The following year, the WMA adopted the International Code of Medical Ethics, which contains the precept "Under no circumstances is a doctor permitted to do anything that would weaken the physical or mental resistance of a human being except from strictly therapeutic or prophylactic indications imposed in the interests of his patients."

International humanitarian law draws on standards of medical ethics when dictating the behavior health professionals must follow in times of war. Article 16 of Protocol I refers to the Hippocratic principle of medical confidentiality.102

No person engaged in medical activities shall be compelled to give to anyone belonging either to an adverse Party, or to his own Party except as required by the law of the latter Party, any information concerning the wounded and sick who are, or who have been, under his care, if such information would, in his opinion, prove harmful to the patients concerned or to their families.

Article 11 of Protocol I prohibits the use of any medical procedure which is not indicated by the state of health of the person concerned and which is not consistent with generally accepted medical standards, which would be applied under similar medical circumstances to persons who are nationals of the Party conducting the procedure, and who are in no way deprived of liberty.

International humanitarian law, like codes of medical ethics,103 maintains that medical care must be provided in a non-discriminatory manner. Article 16 of the Fourth Geneva Convention states:

Persons engaged in medical activities shall not be compelled to perform acts or to carry out work contrary to the rules of medical ethics, or to other medical rules, designed for the benefit of the wounded and the sick, or to the provisions of the Conventions or of this Protocol, or to refrain from performing acts or carrying out work required by those rules and provisions.

Definitions

The definitions below are compiled from the Geneva Conventions of 1949, Protocol I of 1977, and the laws and customs of war applicable to all the warring factions in the former Yugoslavia.104

---

102 The principle of medical confidentiality takes on added importance in an ethical and religious conflict, as a clear incentive exists for military and political officials to seek group-identifying data regarding sick and wounded from physicians and nurses.

103 The World Medical Association's "Regulations in Time of Armed Conflict" specifies that in "emergencies, the physician must always give the required care impartially and without consideration of sex, race, nationality, religion, political affiliation or any other similar criterion."

104 These definitions were compiled by the International Commission on Medical Neutrality. See International Commission on Medical Neutrality, Violations of Medical Neutrality: El Salvador (Seattle: International Commission on Medical Neutrality, March 1991), pp. 5-7.
Wounded and Sick

Persons, whether military or civilians, who, because of trauma, disease or other physical or mental disorder or disability, are in need of medical assistance or care, and who refrain from any act of hostility. These terms also cover maternity cases, the newborn and other persons who may be in need of immediate medical assistance or care, such as the infirm, or expectant mothers, and who refrain from any act of hostility. Protocol I, Article 8 (a)

"Wounded" and "sick" also include members of the armed forces who have laid down their arms and those placed hors de combat by sickness, [or] wounds ... without any adverse distinction founded on race, colour, religion or faith, birth or wealth, or any other similar criteria. Geneva Conventions, Article 3

Medical Personnel

Those persons assigned, by a Party to the conflict, exclusively to the medical purposes enumerated under sub-paragraph (e) definition of medical units or to the administration of medical units, or to the operation or administration of medical transports. Such assignments may be either permanent or temporary. The term includes:

(i) medical personnel of a Party to the conflict, whether military or civilian, including those described in the First and Second Convention [i.e. those in the Armed Forces both in the field and at sea] and those assigned to civil defense organizations;

(ii) medical personnel of national Red Cross and Red Crescent societies and other national voluntary aid societies, duly recognized and authorized by a Party to the conflict;

(iii) medical personnel of medical units or medical transports made available to a Party to the conflict for humanitarian purposes:

(a) by a neutral or other State which is not a party to that conflict;
(b) by a recognized and authorized aid society of such a State;
(c) by an impartial International organization;

Protocol I, Article 8 (c)

(iv) personnel informally or formally trained as health promoters, community first aid workers, or relief volunteers, engaged in the delivery of medical services.

Medical Care and Services

The prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of disease and injury.

Medical Units

Establishments and other units, whether military or civilian, organized for medical purposes, namely, the search for, collection, transportation, diagnosis or treatment—including first-aid treatment—of the wounded, sick and shipwrecked, or for the prevention of disease. The term includes, for example, hospitals and other similar units, blood transfusion centers.
preventive medicine centers and institutes, medical deposits, and the medical and pharmaceutical stores of such units. Medical units may be fixed or mobile, permanent or temporary.

Protocol I, Article 8 (e)

The term also includes humanitarian relief and other organizations which provide medical care as part of their services.

Medical Transportation

The conveyance by land, water, or air of the wounded, sick, shipwrecked, medical personnel, religious personnel, medical equipment or medical supplies, protected by the Conventions and by this Protocol. Protocol I, Article 8 (f)

Medical Transports

Any means of transportation, whether military or civilian, permanent or temporary, assigned exclusively to medical transportation and under the control of a competent authority of a Party to the conflict. Protocol I, Article 8 (g)

The term also includes transportation used exclusively for medical purposes by relief organizations, health promoters, and other medical personnel.

Permanent and Temporary Medical Personnel, Units, and Transports

Permanent medical personnel, units and transports, mean those assigned exclusively to medical purposes for an indeterminate period. Temporary medical personnel, units and transports, mean those devoted exclusively to medical purposes for limited periods during the whole of such periods. Unless otherwise specified, the terms "medical personnel," "medical units," and "medical transports" cover both permanent and temporary categories.

Protocol I, Article 8 (k)

Classification of Violations of Medical Neutrality

Violations of medical neutrality can be categorized under regional and international law based on the rights of medical personnel to provide care to the sick and wounded, and on the duties of medical personnel to uphold ethical norms during times of war (see Table 2). Appendix C to this report provides a comprehensive set of legal citations from regional and international instruments and case law, which source the rights and responsibilities recognized in international law. The components

of the scheme supply the framework for investigating violations of medical neutrality in the former Yugoslavia.

TABLE 2
CLASSIFICATION OF VIOLATIONS OF MEDICAL NEUTRALITY

A. Abuse of rights guaranteed by medical neutrality

1. Infringements against the sick and wounded, civilians, and medical personnel
   1.1 Killings or disappearances
   1.2 Torture, cruel treatment, or degradation of human or degrading treatment
   1.3 Serious harassment impeding medical functions
   1.4 Punishment for treating the sick and wounded, including punishment for upholding medical confidentiality

2. Infringements against medical facilities and services
   2.1 Bombing or shelling of hospitals and clinics
   2.2 Incursions into hospitals
   2.3 Preventing the function of medical services in conflict areas or occupied territories

B. Abuse of responsibilities required by medical neutrality

3. Abuse of medical facilities
   3.1 Use of hospital/clinic/ambulance for military purposes
   3.2 Misuse of medical emblem (red cross, red crescent)

4. Abuse of medical skills
   4.1 Torture, cruel treatment, or interrogation by medical personnel
   4.2 Selective and discriminatory treatment of wounded combatants or civilians on non-military grounds
   4.3 Medical treatment given according to military instruction rather than clinical indications
   4.4 Breach of medical confidentiality

Torture, Disappearance, and Killing of the Sick and Wounded and Medical Personnel

International humanitarian and human rights law explicitly prohibits torture, cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment; disappearance; or killing of the sick and wounded and medical personnel. Article 75 of Protocol I of the Fourth Geneva Convention strictly forbids violence to the life, health, and physical or mental well-being of civilians and prisoners of war. Protocol I also recognizes “the rights of families to know the fate of their relatives.”

All sides in the wars in the former Yugoslavia have been guilty of the torture, disappearance, and killing of the sick and wounded and of medical personnel. Torture usually takes place in detention centers or prison camps, but may also occur in abandoned buildings, at the side of the road, or in people’s homes. Overcrowding and un sanitary conditions in detention facilities can also constitute cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment.

- In 1993, former prisoners released from detention centers in Marino Solo and Pakrac, Poljana, near Kutina in the...
Republic of Croatia, described how their Croatian captors had tortured everyone, including those who were wounded.  

- Bosnian Serb forces have been responsible for the mistreatment and killing of combatants who were hors de combat, and of civilians held in detention camps in northwestern Bosnia. Several physicians reportedly disappeared from the camps shortly after their arrival.

- PHR is aware of at least one case of a female nurse (who wishes to remain anonymous) who was raped while attending to medical duties. The incident took place in the spring of 1992 in the Brcko Health Center in northern Bosnia. Three soldiers (one of whom was identified as a Bosnian Serb) reportedly overpowered and raped the nurse in the health center. The nurse became pregnant as a result of the rape.

- Bosnian Serb forces reportedly forced patients out of a psychiatric hospital near Sarajevo in the of spring 1992. Military and paramilitary troops reportedly occupied the Hospital Jagomir, a psychiatric center for chronic mental illnesses and alcohol and drug abuse, and allegedly turned patients out into the streets. Several patients eventually turned up at the psychiatric clinic of the Kosevo Hospital in Sarajevo.

- In April 1993, British peacekeeping forces in Bosnia-Herzegovina reported that Bosnian Croat troops were summarily executing Muslims in the Vitez area, and that two of those killed had been physicians. In another instance, two physicians travelling from Zenica to their work at Travnik hospital were executed in Vitez.  

One of the most egregious assaults on the sick and wounded and medical personnel took place during the siege of the Croatian city of Vukovar near the Serbian border in November 1991. According to eyewitnesses, on November 20, 1991, Yugoslav Peoples' Army (INA) troops and Serb paramilitary forces loaded over 200 lightly-wounded male patients and hospital staff from the Vukovar Hospital onto buses and drove them away. Only seven of the men have been seen alive since then.

The Vukovar massacre and subsequent investigation by the UN Commission of Experts and PHR, coupled with accounts of the atrocities at Bosnian Serb-run detention centers in northwestern Bosnia, helped prompt the UN Security Council to establish an international tribunal to prosecute war criminals in the former Yugoslavia in May 1993.

The Vukovar Massacre

Following Croatia's secession from Yugoslavia in the summer of 1991, the JNA began occupying certain areas of Croatia in conjunction with local Serbian paramilitary groups. Vukovar became the scene of fierce battle between Croatian troops and Serbian irregular forces backed by the JNA. As the Serbs bombarded the city, directly targeting schools, factories, large apartment complexes, cultural monuments, and hospitals, residents hid in a labyrinth of hastily dug fallout shelters underneath the city. In late November 1991, as victorious Serbian troops entered the city, dozens of residents took refuge in the city hospital.

Before the war, the population of the Vukovar municipality, which included the city and surrounding villages,
was 84,189. But months of relentless Serbian bombing had reduced the city to rubble, leaving 1,798 people known dead and a further 2,500 missing.

The final days of the Vukovar battle were a chaos of violence. Determined to destroy the last vestiges of Croatian resistance, Serbian soldiers went from house to house, searching for combatants who were later killed or sent to concentration camps. Journalists reported seeing Serbian soldiers and militia pulling men in civilian clothes from columns of refugees and shooting them on the spot.186

Under the surrender agreements concluded on November 16 and November 19, 1991, the Serbs agreed to let the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) make a roster of the hundreds of wounded and other people who had taken refuge in the Vukovar Hospital. However, early in the morning of November 20, Army Major Veselin Sljivancanin, who was later indicted by the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia, ordered the nurses and doctors to assemble for a meeting. While the medical staff was attending this meeting, JNA and Serb paramilitary soldiers hurriedly removed about 400 men from the hospital. Among those removed were wounded patients, hospital staff, soldiers who had been defending the city, Croatian political activists, and other civilians. Witnesses claim they saw JNA officers and soldiers at the hospital separating some 300 lightly wounded military and civilian males from the other patients and boarding them on several buses. Most of those taken, mates

between sixteen and sixty years of age, were never seen again.187

On the night of November 22, Belgrade television showed footage taken that morning of Army Major Veselin Sljivancanin blocking Red Cross officials from entering the hospital grounds and saying the access road was mined.

By mid-1992, the United Nations' special rapporteur on the former Yugoslavia, Mr. Tadeusz Mazowiecki, was receiving reports from governments and human rights organizations of the existence of dozens of mass graves in the former federation. Some graves were located next to prison camps. Others lay in fields, or along river banks next to villages.

Mazowiecki invited PHR to send a forensic specialist, Dr. Clyde Collins Snow, and a public health expert, Dr. H. Jack Geiger, to accompany a team of human rights investigators to the former Yugoslavia in October 1992.188 It was during a meeting in Zagreb with the Mothers of Vukovar, a group of Croatian women searching for relatives who had disappeared during the siege of the city, that the two PHR investigators learned about the events at the Vukovar Hospital. Shortly after the meeting, Snow and Geiger interviewed a Croatian soldier in Snow's hotel room in Zagreb. The soldier said that at the time of the siege of Vukovar he had been the commander of a mine-laying unit.

186 In the 1991 census, the composition of the municipality of Vukovar was 43.7% Croat, 37.4% Serb, 2.7% Russian, 1.6% Hungarian, 1.6% Slovac, and smaller percentages of other nationalities. See L. Mato et al., "The Deliberate Preconceived Destruction of the Hospital During the Seizure of Vukovar," in Croatian Medical Journal, Volume 33, War Supplement 2, 1992, p. 121.

The witness was wounded on October 10, 1991 and, after being treated at the Vukovar Hospital, he began making daily trips there to have his bandages changed. The day before Vukovar fell, on November 19, he escorted his parents to the hospital, as he had heard that it was the place where the evacuation was being organized. His parents were evacuated, but he remained at the hospital to be with two of his friends who were being treated for battle wounds.

According to the witness, on the morning of November 20, JNA soldiers forced him and other hospital patients, as well as several male hospital workers, onto buses. At 11 am, the buses, each containing about sixty prisoners and two JNA guards, were driven to the JNA barracks in Vukovar. Three hours later, the buses proceeded to Ovčara, a collective farm several kilometers outside of Vukovar. There the men were transferred to a large building used as a garage for farm equipment and vehicles. While moving from the buses to the building, JNA soldiers and Serbian paramilitaries beat the men with a variety of blunt instruments. The beatings continued for several hours inside the building; at least two men were beaten to death. About seven of the men were released after Serbs who were present intervened on their behalf. These men were driven back to Vukovar.

At about 6 pm that same day, JNA soldiers divided the prisoners into groups of about twenty men. One by one, each group was loaded onto a truck and driven away. At intervals of about twenty minutes, the truck returned empty and another group was loaded onto it.

The witness told Drs. Snow and Geiger that he was put on one of the last vehicles to leave the farm building, an army truck with canvas stretched across the back. He sat in the back of the truck, next to the tailgate. Two soldiers sat in the front cab with Kalashnikov AK-47 rifles, but only prisoners were in the back. He recalled that the truck left the building and turned onto a road that leads to Grabovo, a village about three kilometers southeast of Ovčara. Suddenly, the truck made a left turn onto a dirt field road which ran between a cultivated sunflower field on the left and a heavily wooded area on the right.

The witness grew suspicious. "I jumped out and ran," he said. "The truck kept going. As I ran, I heard one short burst of fire and three single shots." Then there was silence.

The following morning, the witness was recaptured and imprisoned. However, his Serbian captors were apparently unaware that he had been one of the patients taken from the Vukovar Hospital. Several months later, he was released in a prisoner exchange and eventually made his way to Zagreb.

In his Zagreb hotel room, Dr. Snow showed the witness a map of the Vukovar area and asked him to mark where he thought his fellow hospital patients had been executed. The man took a pen from his shirt pocket and drew an X on the map. It was at the end of a ravine on the eastern edge of Ovčara.

Three days later, on the morning of October 18, 1992, Dr. Snow and several UNPROFOR civilian police officers drove the six-kilometer stretch from Vukovar to the farming village of Ovčara. Given the witness’s estimates of time and distance between the farm buildings, and from the description of the roads used, Dr. Snow figured only one location fit the description: a dirt road turning off the main road just over a kilometer southeast of the cluster of Ovčara farm buildings. The dirt road led to the head of a ravine, where Dr. Snow and his party discovered three young adult male skeletons partially exposed by erosion and animal scavengers. Two of the skeletons bore signs of perimortem trauma (injuries that had taken place around the time of death). Soon after the discovery of the grave, UNPROFOR
PHR’s repeated efforts to complete the exhumation of the mass grave at Ovčara have been blocked by local Serbian authorities. In October 1993, a PHR forensic team, accompanied by a Dutch military detachment and members of the UN Commission of Experts, were prevented from working by the local Serb commander, who threatened to use force to remove the scientists from the site. In June 1995, the Serbs rebuffed the International Criminal Tribunal when it requested permission to send a PHR team to the site.

On November 9, 1995, the International Criminal Tribunal announced that it had indicted three senior JNA officers for the Vukovar massacre (see Appendix E). The accused are: Mile Miske, at the time of the killings a JNA colonel and commander of the Belgrade-based Guards Brigade; Miroslav Radić, a former JNA captain in the Guards Brigade; and Veselin Slijvancanin, at the time a JNA major and a security officer for the Guards Brigade. The officers were not accused of taking part in the actual massacre, but were charged with war crimes and crimes against humanity because they had “command responsibility” for the atrocities carried out by their troops. By May 1996, the three men remained at large, presumably in Serbia or Montenegro.

The indictments are the first handed down for Yugoslav government officials. Thus, President Milošević faces a delicate decision. Refusal to hand over the three men could mean that economic aid, promised under the terms of the peace accords, will...

---


123 Mile Miske, who is now a general in the Yugoslav People’s Army (JNA), was sent from Belgrade to command the then Serb-held area of Croatia known as the Krajina in May 1992. In August 1995, when Croatia attacked, he led a hasty evacuation that left close to 200,000 Serbs as refugees.
not be given to Serbia until the officers are arrested and turned over to the International Criminal Tribunal.

Military Attacks on Medical Personnel and Units

Civilians in wartime often take refuge in churches, mosques, or temples. They may also seek shelter in hospitals and other medical facilities. All of these sites, so long as they are not used for military purposes, are protected under international law from military attack. The cruel irony of the wars in the former Yugoslavia is that no building—whether religious, cultural, or medical—has been safe.

International humanitarian law specifically prohibits military attacks on medical personnel and units. The Fourth Geneva Convention specifies in Article 20 that "Persons regularly and solely engaged in the operation and administration of civilian hospitals... shall be respected and protected." Article 19 also addresses the protection of civilian hospitals, but says that such protection may be forfeited if "they are used to commit, outside their humanitarian duties, acts harmful to the enemy." Military attacks which are considered violations of medical neutrality include:

1. attacks upon medical personnel, or units clearly marked with an easily recognizable medical emblem;
2. continuation of attacks upon unmarked medical personnel or units, after it has become apparent that the object of the attack is a medical unit or medical personnel;
3. failure to provide sufficient warning in the case of an attack upon a medical unit that is also being used for the commission of hostile acts;
4. looting;

5. destruction or closure (whether temporary or permanent) of a medical unit by one of the parties to the conflict;
6. knowing interruption of the supply of food, water, medicines or electricity to medical units.

While all sides in the Yugoslav wars have deliberately shelled or shot at hospitals, health clinics, ambulances and other medical vehicles, and humanitarian relief convoys, the overwhelming number of attacks documented by PHR and other human rights and humanitarian agencies were committed by Bosnian Serb forces. These attacks were intended to terrorize patients, medical personnel, and the civilian population at large. Repeated and systematic attacks on hospitals were carried out to destroy a town’s health infrastructure and to force civilians to flee. Examples of military attacks on medical personnel and units in the former Yugoslavia are as follows (also see Appendix G for partial list of health professionals who were killed during the war):

- On May 18, 1992, Bosnian Serb troops fired on an ICRC convoy carrying food and medical relief to Sarajevo. The attack left three ICRC staff members wounded—one of whom, Frederic Maurice, died the next day in a Sarajevo hospital.

The soldiers also destroyed 4.5 tons of medicines and medical supplies.144 Two days later, the ICRC announced that it was temporarily withdrawing its delegates from Bosnia-Herzegovina. In announcing its decision, the ICRC stated:

the terrible escalation of violence in this strife-torn republic shows no sign of abating ... [and under these

circumstances, when the most basic rights of the victims and especially vulnerable groups are being constantly and deliberately violated, the ICRC is no longer in a position to carry out its humanitarian task.

ICRC delegates returned to Bosnia-Herzegovina in June 1992. By 1995, the ICRC was operating fifteen missions throughout Bosnia-Herzegovina.

- On June 24, 1992, Bosnian Serb troops opened fire on two ambulances in Sarajevo, killing all six occupants. That same day, a third ambulance was attacked with gunfire near a Serbian checkpoint between Dobrinja and the main road to Bosnian government-controlled central Sarajevo. The driver was hit in the thigh, a severely wounded man was hit several times, and a medic was wounded. Journalists later counted 178 bullet holes in the vehicle.

- On July 2, 1992, UN peacekeepers escorted local medical workers to the old Jewish cemetery on a hillside near Sarajevo's city center to remove the remains of seven Muslims—six militia men and a civilian woman—who had been shot by Bosnian Serb troops two weeks before and left there for dead. The medical workers were only able to remove four of the bodies before Serbian sniper fire forced them to leave the cemetery. According to UN officials, on the day the seven were shot, UN troops had observed that the victims still appeared to be alive and in need of urgent medical care. But when UN troops tried to reach the injured they were blocked by Bosnian Serb troops. For two weeks, the parents of the seven watched the bodies decompose from the upper floors of nearby buildings.

- In late 1992, several Croatian hospitals were deliberately and repeatedly shelled by JNA and Serbian paramilitary forces. The World Health Organization reported in October that the hospital in Slavonski Brod had suffered "twenty direct hits and many near-misses." The WHO also said the 600-bed hospital in Vinkovci was "subjected to deliberate and persistent attempts to destroy it with heavy weapons," leaving all its windows shattered and its walls ruined at several points. During the siege of Vukovar in November, physicians reported that the city hospital "was shelled every day, sometimes for four hours or more ... We were never worried about attacks. We counted more than fifteen direct hits by airplane bombs from August 25, 1991" until the end of the siege in mid-November. Systematic shelling was also directed at hospitals in Osijek and Sisak, among other places.

- In January 1993 and December 1995, PHR interviewed Dr. Vedim Jaganjac, a Sarajevo physician working with UNICEF, regarding an incident in which his life was threatened while he was in the process of giving medical assistance. Before joining UNICEF, Dr. Jaganjac was a general practitioner working for the Institute for Emergency Medicine who routinely accompanied ambulances to evaluate and treat ill patients in Sarajevo. In late 1992, UN peacekeeping troops escorted him and his team into Ilidza, a Bosnian Serb-controlled suburb of Sarajevo, to evacuate the Institute for Physiatric Medicine. The hospital had been

---


damaged by a rocket-propelled grenade. After the successful evacuation of sixty-two paraplegic patients and thirty medical personnel from the burning hospital, Dr. Jaganjac returned to negotiate free access to all areas in order to sustain emergency medical service for all citizens. A Bosnian Serb soldier unexpectedly approached him from behind and grabbed Dr. Jaganjac by his hair. The soldier extended the physician's neck sharply and put a knife to his throat if preparing to slit it. The UN troops immediately levelled their weapons at the soldier, making clear that they would shoot if the physician were harmed. The soldier backed off, "evidently unaccustomed to such UN resolve," Dr. Jaganjac said.

- On January 30, 1993, Dr. Vlado Biljienki, a 59-year-old ophthalmologist, was killed when shrugged ripped through his operating theater at the Ophthalmological Clinic in Sarajevo.10

- In January 1994, a group of health professionals in the Bosnian city of Mostar sent an appeal for help, via facsimile, to medical colleagues and associations around the world. The one-page letter said that the Mostar hospital had become the target of a medical blockade and "constant heavy artillery fire," mostly from Bosnian Croat forces in the western part of the city.

"We are performing operations," the physicians wrote, "without oxygen and sterile materials, frequently under the light of candles and lanterns." In makeshift clinics throughout the city, medical workers were caring for more than 5,000 patients, most of whom were women, children, and old men. "We have been living for eight months in cellars, hungry and thirsty, naked and barefoot, without electricity and fuel, lacking medicaments, sanitary material and medical equipment, in an almost complete media-blockade, forgotten from the rest of the world." That same

month, the last remaining local ICRC employee in Banja Luka, a Bosnian Muslim, was killed by a hand grenade lobbed at him while he was on duty. Shortly thereafter, a Red Cross vehicle was blown up with plastic explosives.12

- On April 19, 1994, the UN Special Envoy for the High Commissioner for Refugees complained directly to the Bosnian Serb leader Radovan Karadžić that his forces had shelled civilian areas in Goražde, a Bosnian Muslim enclave fifty-two kilometers south of Sarajevo. The following day, Karadžić declared peace in Goražde. However, two days later, the UN envoy again told Karadžić: "the building where our ICRC and Médecins sans Frontières colleagues are was hit in overnight attacks ... the evidence continues to indicate that these personnel are being deliberately targeted by your force."12 The UN Special Rapporteur for the former Yugoslavia later charged that Bosnian Serb forces had carried out "deliberate targeting of civilian and highly...vulnerable targets like hospitals, and interference with attempts to bring care to those who were wounded." In response, Bosnian Serb officials claimed that the Bosnian government had used the hospital as a "military command center," and that there were "machine-gun emplacements on the roof." To that, the Special Rapporteur said, "International observers, with first-hand knowledge of activities at the hospital, have stated that these allegations were entirely unfounded and that the hospital served no military function during the offensive."18

---


On May 3, 1995, Croatian Serb forces in the region referred to as the Krajina launched a rocket attack on Zagreb. According to the director of Zagreb’s Children’s Hospital, one rocket landed in the hospital courtyard, scattering hundreds of bomblets. Four patients were injured—three of them seriously. A three-month-old child and two staff members were also wounded and a policeman was killed while trying to gather the bomblets. The attack shattered the hospital’s windows, destroyed lab equipment, and damaged an operating theater. About 200 children were evacuated to the basement of the hospital.

Sarajevo

In 1993, the United Nations awarded its human rights prize to the staff of the Kosevo Hospital in Sarajevo. The UN commended the medical workers for their courage in the face of repeated shelling, rocket assaults, and sniper fire directed at the hospital by Bosnian Serb forces. At the time of the award, most of the physician-staffed ambulances had been destroyed and at least half of the hospital’s physicians had left the country. Five medical workers had been killed, and ten wounded.

The Kosevo Hospital is the main university hospital for Bosnia-Herzegovina. It is a large facility that has been nearly decimated after three and a half years of war. By early March 1993, a total of 172 mortar shells had struck Kosevo Hospital and its adjoining medical clinics. Among the clinics most affected by mortar fire was the emergency ward, where some operations were still being performed.

---


125. The day after the attack, PHR released a press release calling on governments and the international medical community to condemn the attacks ("Medical Group Calls Attacks on Children’s Hospital and Civilians a Crime of War," May 4, 1995).

Representative of the International Committee of the Red Cross is denied entry into Vukovar Hospital by JNA paramilitary forces. The ICRC was to monitor the evacuation of Croatian patients from the hospital, as agreed by both sides of the conflict. (Courtesy of Belgrade TV)

According to witness testimony, reservists and Yugoslav People’s Army (JNA) officers and soldiers took wounded military and civilian patients and male hospital workers on buses, each containing about 60 prisoners and two JNA guards, to the JNA barracks in Vukovar on November 20, 1991. The men were then transferred to a large building used as a garage for farm equipment and vehicles in the farming village of Ovcara (shown) where they were allegedly beaten.
According to witness testimony, trucks left the buildings and traveled to a heavily wooded area near a cultivated sunflower field some 3 km southeast of Ovca. A mass execution allegedly occurred. The PHR forensic team dug a 1 meter x 7 meter test trench across a 7 meter by 9 meter area to try to determine the size of the grave and its contents.

Markers indicate where clusters of spent 7.62 mm cartridges of the type used in Kalashnikov firearms were found in bushes northwest of the grave site. No cartridges were found on the northeastern or southern sides of the grave, indicating that a line of fire occurred from one direction near the grave.

Numerous bullet casings were found on the small acacia tree southeast of the grave, including on one tree just north of the location of two surface skeletons. Bullets also penetrated a rusted vehicle chassis in the area.

A preliminary inspection of the site revealed three young adult male skeletons partially exposed by erosion and animal scavengers. Two of the skeletons bore signs of perimortem trauma. This skull exhibits two externally-beveled exit wounds on the left cranial vault.
A necklace was found on the first surface skeleton in the grave. It contained a medallion with the inscription, “BOG I HRVATI—God and Croatia”—and a Roman Catholic cross, suggesting that the grave is likely to contain the remains of Croats.

A jersey recovered from a skeleton exposed at the site. PHR received reports that a Canadian humanitarian group had provided Vukovar Hospital with clothing in 1991.

Forensic scientists conducted a quick analysis of the bones upon location of remains.
SARAJEVO
VIOLATIONS OF MEDICAL NEUTRALITY

State Hospital of Sarajevo
After the siege of Sarajevo began in 1992, this hospital was shelled and hit by sniper fire. Medical services are conducted on the ground floor only.

The Obstetrical & Gynecological Institute (white building on hill) and the Children's Clinic (gray cement building, right) were some 50 yards from the Serb front line during the siege of Sarajevo.

The Children's Clinic of Sarajevo was shelled and destroyed in May 1992. Dr. Ema Zecovic, chief pediatrician, and her staff evacuated seventeen newborns (many removed from their incubators and without supplemental oxygen) and 33 older sick children to the basement of the Obstetrical & Gynecological Institute, 100 meters away. Bullets and shells fell around them as they carried the children. Five minutes after they left the clinic, a grenade fell on the neonatal unit, destroying every incubator and incinerating the unit.
the shelling were the Podhranov Clinic, which received 26 hits; Diagnostics and Polyclinic, 13; Traumatology Clinic, 12; Ophthalmology Clinic, 11; and the Central Medical Department, 9. As the hospital compound is within 300 meters of the confrontation line, and visible from Bosnian Serb positions in the hills surrounding Sarajevo, the UN Commission on Human Rights believes "at least some of those impacts must be considered intentional."\footnote{128}

One of the most flagrant military attacks on a medical facility took place in Sarajevo on the afternoon and early morning of May 26 and 27, 1992. Bosnian Serb forces at close range repeatedly shelled the Children's Hospital—the central referring hospital for pediatrics in Bosnia-Herzegovina—and the adjoining Obstetric and Gynecologic Hospital. Dr. Enva Zecovic, the fifty-five-year-old chief pediatrician at the Children's Hospital, and president of the Bosnian Pediatric Society, describes what happened:\footnote{129}

On the morning of May 26, 1992, I had an extremely difficult time getting to the hospital because of heavy sniper fire and blockades. The front lines of the Serb forces were by then only about fifty meters from our hospital. When I finally arrived, I found our staff and patients alike were excited and terribly frightened. Those who had been on call could not get home; in all we had six nurses and three physicians. I contacted our territorial defense commander who reassured me

\footnote{127} PHR received this information from confidential sources.


that he considered it unlikely that Serbien forces would bombard us directly because they knew our building was a hospital, and one for children at that. He told us not to be frightened. Nonetheless, we began to move all our patients down as close to the ground floor as possible.

About 4 o’clock we realized our hospital was being directly bombarded. We simply could not believe this at first. We decided quickly that we must evacuate, since there was no safe place for our children. The Obstetric and Gynecologic Hospital was one hundred meters from us, a larger building with a basement that could be used as a shelter...

Our neo-natal ward contained seventeen newborns, mostly prematures from outside Sarajevo. To evacuate these infants we had to remove them from their incubators. Each of us carried two to three babies and ran to reach the basement of the Obstetric and Gynecologic Hospital. Bullets and shells fell all around us as we ran carrying the children. The noise was awful. By the time we could evacuate the thirty-three children, we were exhausted... We finally succeeded in moving all our patients to the basement of the Obstetric and Gynecologic Hospital where we joined their patients (105 mothers and their newborns, seventy-five gynecologic patients, and some neighborhood mothers and children taking refuge in the hospital), and forty-eight staff members. About five minutes after we had left our clinic, a grenade fell on our neo-natal unit, destroying every incubator and incinerating the unit. Had we waited even a few minutes more, all of our babies would have burned alive...

As we huddled in the basement we could hear heavy shelling of the building directly over our heads. When we called for help by way of a shortwave radio we learned that one floor after another was collapsing on top of us. The patients and mothers were screaming and crying. This went on for hours, for an eternity... In the morning, trucks and cars arrived and we evacuated the patients to [Kosevo Hospital] two kilometers away. As we left, we were still being fired at; fortunately, so one was killed. However, because of the lack of incubators, warmth, and oxygen, nine of our babies died....

Several days after being evacuated to the Kosevo Hospital, pediatric staff returned to the Children’s Hospital to retrieve what little equipment had not been destroyed during the shelling. Amid the rubble they discovered that forty-seven years’ worth of patient records had been completely destroyed.

Dr. Zecevic nearly lost her life on September 17, 1994. While she was tending to her sick niece in a civilian zone of Sarajevo, her brother’s home came under attack. Dr. Zecevic was shot in the arm and chest, the bullet becoming lodged next to her aorta and vena cava. “I worked every day with the bullet in,” she told PHR. “I was the only pediatric nephrologist in Sarajevo. I took pain medication every day while I worked.” At the time of initial thoracotomy, Sarajevo surgeons deemed an operation to remove the bullet too risky. Dr. Zecevic, through the assistance of past PHR president Dr. Jane Schaller and UNICEF, then travelled to Boston where she was admitted to the New England Medical Center for removal of the bullet. She then returned to Sarajevo where she continues to work at the children’s clinic in Kosevo Hospital.

When PHR representatives visited Sarajevo in 1993, they found large parts of Kosevo Hospital to be entirely shot out by
sniper fire and shelling. Windows were covered with plastic sheets, and the interior of the hospital was pock-marked with bullets and mortar holes. Physicians and patients were aware of which corridors were in danger from Serbian snipers. During the five days of PHR research in Sarajevo, the shelling of Kosevo Hospital almost never stopped. A physician expressed reluctance to take her son to the hospital to remove shrapnel from his ear because she feared shelling.

Risto Tervahau te, a Finnish expert on low-temperature survival brought in as a WHO consultant, was troubled by conditions in Sarajevo in December 1992. He found that most windows had been shot out, allowing cold air into buildings, including health facilities. This in turn resulted in burst pipes and sewage problems. Central heat was also disabled. Tervahau te helped in the distribution of sleeping bags and instructions on the avoidance of hypothermia. While hypothermia deaths had occurred, they were generally isolated, and in some cases limited to elderly persons in outlying nursing homes. But the Serbian siege could in some cases be traced directly to needless loss of life.

Sarajevo's water system had collapsed by July 1993, with the city's inhabitants receiving under two percent of their normal supply. Electrical and water supply lines ran through both government-controlled areas and regions of the city under the control of the Bosnian Serbs, leaving them highly vulnerable to disruption. The UN Special Rapporteur on Human Rights declared in August 1993 that both the Bosnian Serb forces and the Bosnian government were cutting off water and electricity "as a military weapon."

Under these conditions, medical personnel at Kosevo Hospital had to sterilize surgical instruments over a wood-burning stove and wash soiled linens by hand. Surgeons at Dobrinja Hospital frequently carried out operations by candle light. Off-and-on electricity made kidney dialysis treatment unsafe and significantly reduced the number of incubators available for premature babies. Kosevo Hospital reported deaths of patients as life-support equipment failed. Surgeons had to operate by natural light, without defibrillating equipment, sterile gauze, X-rays, or even running water or electricity. Operating theaters resembled conditions at 19th-century battlefronts, with surgeons wearing the same bloodstained gowns for days on end.

The World Health Organization (WHO) provides Sarajevo and other areas of Bosnia-Herzegovina with the bulk of surgical supplies, including surgical kits developed by the Norwegian Army and Red Cross. As of December 1995, there is still a large demand for external fixators for complex fractures which cannot be repaired internally, as well as for drains and colostomy bags. Single-use plastic infusion bags and tubing are reused at least three times.

129 In the state hospital's cardiology department, PHR found a shortage of many important drugs including streptokinase, urokinase, long-acting nitroglycerin tablets, digitalis products, and ACE inhibitor drugs. As substitutes, they were using heparin and disopyramid, as well as nitroglycerin sublingual tablets and a single ineffective French calcium channel blocker. Surgical success was dependent upon an unreliable supply of antibiotics.


times, until the plastic deteriorates to the point of disintegration. At times, the neurology clinic at Kosevo Hospital has been stretched so thin that most of the moderately severe neurological cases were simply sent home. Multiple sclerosis, Parkinsonism, epilepsy, and muscular dystrophy were usually deemed insufficient grounds for admission.

WHO officials declared in July 1993 that Sarajevo's health situation was the worst in Europe since World War II. The city's ground water was so polluted that there was a great risk of water-borne epidemics. Approximately 200 cases of dysentery had been reported, and typhoid was becoming a problem. After assessing health conditions in Sarajevo in 1993, a team from the U.S. Agency for International Development reported:

Conditions of public health in Sarajevo are abysmal. Eighty percent of the city's water supply and the sewage treatment plant are under Bosnian Serb control. There is virtually no running water in the city, either potable or non-potable. Security considerations and lack of fuel prevent garbage collection. The solid waste facilities are within the city, but are inoperable due to shelling and damaged, un maintainable, machinery. Several wells in the eastern end of town provide much of the town's water in January-February. Collecting water entails additional exposure to dangerous sniper fire, though many citizens travel several kilometers per day to do so.

---

133 Ibid.
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Some of Sarajevo's suffering has actually been imposed on it by occasional actions of the Bosnian government or Bosnian forces. At the outbreak of the war, the government relied on the Sarajevo underworld to defend the city from Bosnian Serb attacks. These militia forces took their toll on the city's population, especially Bosnian Serbs, by looting and killing. Bosnian government forces have on occasion shelled the Sarajevo airport, the city's primary lifeline for relief supplies. The airport was on a front line with Bosnian Serbs, who also shelled it. The shelling has usually closed the airport for a time, driving up the price of black-market goods that enter the city via routes controlled by Bosnian army commanders and government officials.

On August 28, 1995, a shell fired from Bosnian Serb positions outside the city struck a marketplace, killing thirty-eight people. Some sixty percent of Sarajevo's buildings have been destroyed or severely damaged. Kosevo Hospital still lacks essential medical supplies. In the first two and a half weeks of June 1995, two patients on the wards of the Kosevo Hospital were killed by snipers, two patients were killed during shellings of the infectious disease ward, and two patients fetching water were killed by a shell in front of the hospital.

Bihać

In September 1995, Bosnian government troops, backed by Croatian artillery, broke the siege by Bosnian Serb forces of Bihać, the northwestern capital of Bosnia. For three years, the 50,000 residents of Bihać, almost all Muslim, had been subjected to shelling and sniper fire from Bosnian Serb positions in the hills surrounding the city.

When PHK representatives visited Bihać in October 1995, the city had no industry and almost no commerce. Most public
services had barely improved since the siege ended. There was little electricity, no fuel for residential heating and scant running water. Many of the city's residents appeared malnourished. For two-thirds of the city's residents, there were no jobs. 100

At the Bihac Hospital, PHR representatives met with a group of doctors and nurses who described how they coped during the siege. "It was desperate, really desperate," the hospital director, Dr. Bekir Taticic, said. "In three years, our team of surgeons performed almost 14,000 major surgeries, far too many for a small hospital like ours, even in peacetime. There were days when we needed hundreds of meters of gauze for bandages. And the bandages, we had to wash and reuse them again and again."

During the siege, humanitarian aid only reached the city sporadically. For two years, the hospital served two meals a day, consisting of bean soup and tea. Most hospital workers never received a salary, simply because there were no funds.

At one point during the siege the confrontation line was only 300 meters away from the hospital. Bosnian Serb snipers shot randomly through hospital windows and at patients and hospital workers as they moved from one building to another. On days when sniperf ire and shelling were intense, no one left the hospital compound until nightfall.

The Bihac Hospital suffered its worst attack at 5:45 pm on the afternoon of September 22, 1992, when a Howitzer shell burst into the tuberculosis ward. A nurse who survived the blast described what happened:

It was dinner time. The patients were sitting at one long table, and I was entering the room with their supper. I don't remember how many patients


there were but it was less than twenty. Suddenly, a strong shock wave threw me out of the room. When I looked back in, I could see the shell had gone through the floor. Body parts were everywhere. But I could see there were some injured patients who were still alive. So I went back in, to try and save them.

Ten patients died from the shelling. Several others were severely injured.

Obstruction of Medical and Other Humanitarian Relief

Obstruction of medical and other humanitarian relief and attacks on relief personnel are prohibited under international law. Articles 54 and 70(2) of the Fourth Geneva Convention oblige parties to the conflict to "allow and facilitate rapid and unimpeded passage of all relief consignments, equipment and personnel" to civilian populations, "even if such assistance is destined for the civilian population of the adverse Party." Article 71(2) provides that the personnel involved in the distribution and delivery of such assistance "shall be respected and protected."

The obstruction of relief supplies is a "grave breach" of humanitarian law if it can be shown that the action taken violated Articles 146 and 147 of the Fourth Geneva Convention by "wilfully causing great suffering or serious injury or bodily harm." When medical and relief supplies are disrupted deliberately in order to cause great suffering and injury to the civilian population, then a "grave breach" of humanitarian law is involved. The case becomes stronger if supported by medical evidence of bodily injury and harm to the health of the affected population.

Prohibitions against the obstruction of medical and other humanitarian relief include:
delay or denial of free passage to sick or wounded persons in need of medical attention;

(2) obstruction of the delivery or confiscation of medical equipment and supplies;

(3) obstruction of movement of relief and medical personnel to areas where they are needed; and

(4) disruption of training programs for health workers, and of health education for the civilian population.

Few wars in recent history have tested the resolve of relief workers as have the wars in the former Yugoslavia of the 1990s. With each new effort to block relief supplies, resource-strapped officials have had to weigh the costs in relation to the benefits of continued attempts to provide relief. Addressing the Expanded Steering Committee of the International Conference on Former Yugoslavia in Geneva on February 2, 1994, UN High Commissioner for Refugees Sadako Ogata noted that "the operations of international organizations intent upon humanitarian relief are indeed saving lives [in Bosnia-Herzegovina]." But as a result of denial of access and of ongoing security threats, she said, "it is still falling far short of targeted deliveries of both food and non-food items…there must be a reasonable balance between the risks we take and the energy and money we spend on the one hand, and the needs we actually manage to relieve on the other." She reaffirmed that "the humanitarian needs of the victims remained central." In a chilling query, hinting at the large-scale triage decisions that humanitarian groups confront, the High Commissioner concluded: "Are the risks for a civilian-run relief operation simply not becoming too high? Are more casualties especially among unarmed civilian personnel worth it, if we can hardly reach those who need it most?"146

Although all the warring factions in the former Yugoslavia have obstructed the delivery of medical and other humanitarian relief to civilian populations, Bosnian Serb forces have used humanitarian blockades as a tool of "ethnic cleansing." These blockades have lasted for months and even years, causing extreme physical and mental hardship among the affected civilian population. When the obstruction of relief aid becomes deliberate, widespread, and persistent, such actions are not simply violations of medical neutrality but constitute war crimes and crimes against humanity.

On August 13, 1992, four months after the onset of war in Bosnia-Herzegovina, the UN Security Council authorized peacekeeping troops, who formerly could fire only in self-defense, to "use all necessary means to ensure that humanitarian relief" gets to its destination.147 However, over the past three years, UN forces have sought to appease the warring factions in Bosnia-Herzegovina rather than use force to ensure the delivery of humanitarian aid. Such submissions have enabled the warring factions—particularly the Bosnia Serb forces—to decide what aid is provided and who will receive it.

Where food needs were concerned, the UNHCR reported in January 1994 that in the preceding month it had delivered less than half of the food requirements for distressed areas of Bosnia-Herzegovina. The delivery of non-food items, including clothing, medicine, and heating fuel, was even further short of the target. The UNHCR reported that while progress was made in the formalities of obtaining clearances for the delivery of such materials by the end of 1993, no significant progress was made in actual deliveries. To explain the failure to deliver such a substantial proportion of humanitarian relief throughout Bosnia-Herzegovina, the UNHCR said:

146 UNHCR, Information Notes, No. 2/94, op. cit., p. ii.

Both the Bosnian Croats and the Bosnian Serbs see the provision of the necessary humanitarian assistance to the Bosnian government-controlled areas as against their military and political interests.

The UNHCR added that "where the Muslim-dominated government has to make a choice, between military and humanitarian imperatives, the choice is not in favor of humanitarian activities."[142]

Bosnian Serb leaders have even flaunted their efforts to obstruct the delivery of relief. In September 1994, for instance, the UN High Commissioner for Refugees warned that freedom of movement for humanitarian aid on the ground and in the air would be absolutely necessary as Bosnia-Herzegovina braced for the winter. In response, Radovan Karadžić threatened that "not even a bird will fly" to those Bosnian government areas dependent upon access through Serb territory.[143]

The ICRC has repeatedly protested the obstruction of relief supplies, including clothing, blankets, medical supplies and medicines to civilian populations in the former Yugoslavia. In November 1993, after the warring factions in Bosnia-Herzegovina signed a joint declaration authorizing the ICRC to distribute humanitarian aid, the agency was only able to distribute forty-six percent of its planned supplies. The ICRC also reported that it was only able to fulfill less than half of its delivery objectives for Bosnia-Herzegovina in preparation for the winter of 1994.[144]

---

[142] UNHCR, Information Notes, No. 1/94, op. cit., p. i.
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Mostar[145]

"We must not allow the world to forget that up to 50,000 people are living like rats in cellars without a water supply, without electricity, without sanitation."

This plea, directed to the UNHCR headquarters in Zagreb, was written by a UNHCR staff member during the siege of Mostar in 1994. By then, the Muslim population had been "cleansed" by Bosnian Croats from the western section of the city and driven into ghettos in the east. Bosnian Serbs had been "cleansed" from the town prior to this.

UNHCR responded to the pleas from Mostar, but with great frustration and limited success. The agency sent food and medical convoys to both eastern and western Mostar, only to be effectively blocked by both Bosnian Serb and Croat forces. Spanish UNPROFOR troops, one of whom was killed by Croatian fire while delivering medical supplies to a hospital in eastern Mostar, accompanied the convoys. They were often stopped by deliberate shelling and sniper fire. While such harassing tactics often denied access to convoys, more destructive tactics could completely halt delivery of needed supplies. For example, part of a mobile hospital (an ambulance, kitchen, and ten tons of medicine) were finally delivered in February 1994, only to be totally burned upon arrival. The situation turned worse when

---

[145] Mostar has experienced some of the fiercest fighting in Bosnia-Herzegovina. Some of the worst battles took place in April and May 1992, with joint Bosnian Croat paramilitary forces, or Croatian Defence Council (HVO) and Bosnian Muslim forces on one side, and Yugoslav Peoples' Army (JNA) and Bosnian Serb militia on the other side. Later, the HVO turned against the Bosnian Muslims.
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heavy Croatian gunfire prevented the local fire brigade from extinguishing the blaze. 147

Srebrenica

The siege of Srebrenica in 1993 by Bosnian Serb forces resulted in the deaths of nearly 5,000 civilians. 148 During the peak of the siege, eighty people died in a single week. 149 Louis Gentile, a UNHCR official, said that Serbian shelling was deliberately calculated to kill a maximum number of civilians. 150 John McMillan, another UNHCR spokesman, complained bitterly: "Apparently, in the pathological drive to acquire territory, the Serbs are willing to kill anybody to achieve their ends." 151 He concluded that blocking relief supplies to this Muslim enclave was a tactical component of the drive for territory.

For almost the entire month of April 1993, Bosnian Serb forces surrounding Srebrenica obstructed all convoys of humanitarian supplies. 152 Even after the UN declared the city a "safe area," Bosnian Serb forces refused to let water in or to allow doctors to enter. 153 Residents were reduced to two or three pints of water a day. The water cut-off was no accident: when Canadian UN peacekeepers attempted to repair the water supply, they came under fire and had to retreat. 154

A UN Security Council mission reached Srebrenica on April 25, 1993. Its leader, Ambassador Diego Arias of Venezuela, criticized the implementation of the UN safe-zone plan. By cutting off electricity and water, he said, Bosnian Serb forces had created a risk of epidemic. The WHO reported that the destruction of the municipal water system meant that people were forced to obtain water from the Jelimi River, which received raw sewage from 25,000 inhabitants. 155

Bosnian Serb forces also kept doctors, including medical personnel with Médecins sans Frontières, from entering the city. As a result, Srebrenica was left with a single doctor for over 40,000 people, of whom some 30,000 were refugees. Srebrenica's inhabitants were exhausted, overcrowded, living in bombed-out buildings, and cooking outdoors.

Dr. Simon Mardell, a British public health physician, accompanied UNPROFOR General Phillippe Morillon on his March 1993 trip to Srebrenica. Dr. Mardell later told PFR that between forty and sixty people were dying daily in Srebrenica from a...
combination of Serbian shellfire, privation, pneumonia, and exposure.

One doctor’s account of conditions at the main 100-bed hospital in Srebrenica gives a grim sense of the humanitarian consequences of the siege. Dr. Nesret Majkanovic, who had never done more than assist surgeons previously, was the most experienced of a staff that then consisted of six physicians. Dr. Majkanovic and his team carried out some 100 amputations without anesthetics in about eight months. Other patients were fully conscious during some major surgeries. Dr. Majkanovic estimates that up to fifteen percent of 4,000 patients died.

In the early spring of 1993, some twenty to thirty people were dying daily in Srebrenica from pneumonia and other illnesses exacerbated by cold, hunger, and exhaustion. The hospital’s task was hugely complicated by lack of supplies of medicine, blood, and bandages. Staff improvised bandages, sometimes from infant diapers that were boiled and reused multiple times. Blood type could not be determined because of lack of lab equipment, so donors from Srebrenica were unable to provide a usable supply for the hospital.

The Bosnian Serb forces finally stormed Srebrenica on the afternoon of July 10, 1995. At the time, there were more than 40,000 people seeking shelter in the city. According to the New York Times,186 a UN officer in the town sent this desperate plea to his superiors in Geneva:

Urgent urgent urgent. B.S.A. [Bosnian Serb Army] is entering the town of Srebrenica. Will someone stop this immediately and save these people. Thousands of them are gathering around the hospital. Please help.

Within forty-eight hours, the eastern Bosnian city was overrun, and what followed in the villages and fields around Srebrenica was the worst war crime in Europe since World War II: the summary killing of 6,000 to 8,000 people.

Medical Participation in War Crimes and Crimes Against Humanity

So far, there appears to be no evidence that any of the warring factions in the former Yugoslavia have systematically used physicians and other health professionals to carry out war crimes and crimes against humanity.187 Nor does it appear that any of the parties to the conflict have systematically misused the medical emblem (red cross or red crescent), or used medical facilities or vehicles for military purposes, although there have been isolated reports that both Bosnian Serb and Bosnian government forces have occasionally occupied and operated out of health clinics and hospitals.

Medical involvement in torture, directly or indirectly, is strictly forbidden under international humanitarian law and basic


187 Dr. Radovan Karadžić, a psychiatrist by training, has been indicted by the International Criminal Tribunal for a series of crimes including acts of genocide. The charges stem from Karadžić’s role as a politician and the leader of the Bosnian Serb forces, and not as a psychiatrist. However, the possibility exists that the International Criminal Tribunal could uncover evidence that Karadžić used his training in human behavior to develop and implement military strategies aimed at terrorizing and humiliating targeted civilian populations. See D. Silove, "The Psychiatrist as a Political Leader in War: Does the Medical Profession Have a Monitoring Role?" Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, Vol. 183, No. 3, 1995, p. 123-126.
tenets of medical ethics. The Principles of Medical Ethics adopted by the UN General Assembly in 1982 state:

It is a gross contravention of medical ethics as well as an offence under applicable international instruments, for health personnel, particularly physicians, to engage, actively or passively, in acts which constitute participation in, complicity in, incitement, or attempts to commit torture or other cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment or punishment.138

PHR has received reports that medical personnel in the former Yugoslavia have participated in torture and other forms of cruel treatment, or failed to provide proper treatment to detainees, although it appears that such incidents are rare. For instance, Human Rights Watch reported in November 1994 that medical personnel in a hospital in Bosnia-Herzegovina had mistreated a Muslim woman after she gave birth to her first child.139 On June 6, 1994, twenty-year-old N.A. went to the Bijeljina hospital to deliver her baby. She gave birth without incident but recalls that when the doctor, who is a Bosnian Serb, began stitching her up she felt intense pain and began screaming.

For three months, N.A continued to feel intense pain and finally went to see doctors at the Tuzla hospital. They discovered that after her delivery, N.A.'s vagina had been stitched with wire, and the surgical needle and the wire remained in N.A.'s vagina for three months. According to N.A., Mirko Medan, a doctor in the Bijeljina hospital, had stitched her vagina after her delivery.

After repeated surgeries at the Tuzla hospital, doctors removed about two centimeters of coil, that resembled "a spring used in ball-point pens, and a surgical needle." Human Rights Watch representatives interviewed N.A. and her doctors about the incident and were shown X-rays, as well as the coil and needle removed from N.A.'s vagina. The use of such materials in gynecological surgery is unheard of and clearly harmful to the patient's health.

PHR knows of several incidences of physicians and other health professionals who have risked their own lives to expose human rights abuses or to protect patients and fellow prisoners. The example of Dr. Erzic Merdzic is particularly notable. Detained by Bosnian Serb forces in the summer of 1992, Dr. Merdzic was held in the Tmopoje prison camp near Banja Luka. Since the prison did not provide medical care, Dr. Merdzic and several of his imprisoned colleagues attended to their fellow prisoners. Shortly before his release, Dr. Merdzic was able to secretly hand over to visiting journalists photographs of prisoners who had been tortured. Dr. Merdzic was later released and took refuge in Croatia.140

The Practice of Discriminatory Medicine

In the context of medical neutrality, discriminatory practices refer to health professionals giving differential medical care to sick and wounded patients based on non-medical considerations. Discrimination as an abuse of medical neutrality includes provision of substandard medical care to patients based

138 For the text of the Principles of Medical Ethics Relevant to the Role of Health Personnel, Particularly Physicians, in the Protection of Prisoners and Detainees against Torture, and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, see E. Sloser and E.O. Nightingale, The Breaking of Bodies and Minds: Torture, Psychiatric Abuse, and the Health Professions (New York: W.H. Freeman and Company, 1985), p. 106.


on political or other non-medical considerations. Equally reprehensible is the refusal to appropriate available resources (e.g., medication, instruments, hospital beds) to wounded or sick patients, or requiring medical personnel to give priority to certain sick or wounded patients, based on political or other non-medical considerations.

The First Protocol to the Fourth Geneva Convention states:

1. All the wounded, sick and shipwrecked, to whichever Party they belong, shall be respected and protected.

2. In all circumstances they shall be treated humanely and shall receive, to the fullest extent practicable and with the least possible delay, the medical care and attention required by their condition. There shall be no distinction among them founded on any grounds other than medical ones.

PHR has received isolated reports of prejudicial treatment and discriminatory abuse by health professionals in the former Yugoslavia. But such complaints stand in sharp contrast to the norm of nondiscrimination that generally prevails among medical personnel from all ethnic groups.

Many patients in the former Yugoslavia have received less than adequate care, but this has been due to war conditions and not necessarily discriminatory medical practices. For instance, the UN Special Rapporteur for Human Rights issued an alert in October 1994 regarding the situation of mentally disabled children and adults in the former federation. These people are, in some cases, totally neglected," he said. "The spectrum of their needs is very great. The most obvious needs are drugs, including sedatives, clothing, bedding materials and food. The Rapporteur’s field staff observed examples of “medical staff...forced to resort to tying the patients up for control because of the lack of suitable drugs.”

PHR is concerned about a report by the U.S. Agency for International Development which states that an agency assessment team found examples of unequal treatment among patients in Croatia. The team heard expressions of governmental concerns that the state could no longer afford to continue to offer health care to refugees beyond emergency services and vaccinations. In response to an infusion of new money from UNHCR, the government formally reversed the policy. Even so, the team later heard reports that Bosnian Muslims were discriminated against and were either put at the end of the line or not admitted at all. The team reported seeing wounded Bosnian Muslims who were denied entrance to hospitals in Zagreb. Notwithstanding that observation, they reported that they “also toured the Children’s Hospital, where the same loving care was given to Croats, Muslims, and Serbs alike.” The Director of Surgery of the 500-bed hospital in Travnik told PHR, in November 1995, that his hospital had taken great pride in its nondiscriminatory treatment of all patients, including injured Bosnian and Serb soldiers alike.

---

141 A UN official told PHR, on condition of anonymity, that one of “the worst human rights problems in many areas of the former Yugoslavia involves the inhumane warehousing of psychiatric patients.”


A PHR team visited Zvornik Hospital in January 1993 and reported several Muslim children being cared for by Serbian medical staff. Previous local newspaper reports had claimed that these children were being maltreated.

PHR is concerned at reports that some doctors in the former Yugoslavia have placed partisanship above their duty to provide impartial care to all wounded and sick persons. In January 1993, PHR took testimonies from Bosnian refugees in a Croat refugee camp who reported that a military physician at the Bosnian Serb-controlled Batkovici detention camp, near Bihac, often abused them or refused health care to patients based on their ethnicity. Witnesses said they only knew the doctor’s first name: Zeljko. He told one Muslim patient: “You did not come here to be cured, to have treatment, but to die.” Then, turning to nearby Serbian soldiers and referring to the prisoner’s legs, he told them, “just break, I will heal.”

A Bosnian Croat held at the same camp tried to get treatment from the same doctor for his dislocated shoulder. The doctor called the man “an Ustasha son of a bitch” and left the room. Fifteen days later, the patient tried again; this time, the doctor struck him across the body and said, “You son of a bitch, I have already told you, you do not need treatment.”

“Ethnic cleansing” throughout the former Yugoslavia has nurtured discrimination at almost every level of society, including in the ranks of medical professionals. For example, in 1992, the Croatian Medical Journal published a racist tract by one Eduard Klein, of the Zagreb University School of Medicine. Klein writes of the Serbs as having “the complex of inferiority, because they are aware of their lower level of civilization and culture,” and describes the Bosnian Muslims as “an undistinguished ethnic group.” By way of contrast, he writes that the Croats have developed a society built on “labor, dialogue, obedience, expectation [sic] of understanding and justice.”

In a grotesque misuse of psychiatry, and advancing no evidence, the article continues:

In the Croats, the group regression is closer to the depressive position in the development of a personality, which is characterized by the feeling of guilt which, among other things, manifests in their need to pray to God. In Serbs, the group regression is more frequently at the level of the schizo-paranoid position in the development of a personality, accompanied by complete destructiveness and irrationality brought along by this phase.

Such writing has absolutely no place in medical and scientific literature.

There are other examples of the politicization of the medical profession. From February to May 1994, a media campaign was directed at Serbian medical professionals working at Rovinj Hospital in the Republic of Croatia. The wounded Croatian soldiers treated in the hospital accused the non-Croat staff, including doctors, of abusing, mistreating, and provoking them. The chairman of an ad hoc parliamentary commission, established to verify the allegations, was the first to question the validity of the accused doctors’ certificates of citizenship. When interviewed, the Croatian Minister of Health, Mr. Andrija Hebrang, stated his belief that the conflict was caused by the presence of Serbian staff in a Croatian hospital. The conflict, he

---

135 Ibid., p. 5.
suggested, could not be resolved, so long as "our invalids and those staff people" remained living together in the hospital. However, after field staff of the UN Special Rapporteur gathered credible evidence indicating that accusations against the Serbian staff were false, the issue quickly disappeared from the public agenda.

PHR is concerned about an incident in August 1995 in which a Croatian physician allegedly refused to treat an elderly Serbian patient. The man, an 82-year-old Serb named Jovanovic Branko, chose to remain in his village of Budici in the area known as the Krajina after Croatian troops invaded the region in August 1995. More than 150,000 Serbs fled the Krajina before the advancing troops, but 3,000 remained—those too old or too feeble to flee, or simply unwilling to leave their homes. In late August, a UN patrol found Branko lying in his excrement, suffering from dehydration and malnourishment. UN personnel made repeated pleas to the hospital nearest his village, to treat him. However, the Croatian doctor in charge refused to admit him.

Whether in times of peace or war, physicians and health professionals are strictly bound by medical ethics not to practice discriminatory medicine. As historian L.C. Green has observed, it is the doctor’s task, as a professional, to treat the sick and wounded in the same manner at all times. "If it is said that by doing so he runs the risk of punishment or death, it might be pointed out that during an epidemic, his life is also at risk, but this does not seem to inhibit him."

V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Human Rights and Humanitarian Law

Armed conflicts, marked by appalling brutality inflicted on civilians, raging in the territory of the former Yugoslavia from 1991 until late 1995. All parties to the wars have ignored the most basic safeguards intended to protect civilians and medical facilities. The indiscriminate use of force by all sides has caused excessive collateral damage and loss of civilian life.

Many of the abuses committed in the former Yugoslavia constitute "grave breaches" of international humanitarian law, or war crimes. All sides are guilty of holding civilians hostage; mistreating prisoners in detention; forcibly displacing hundreds of thousands of people; and looting and burning homes, businesses, and churches. Especially egregious was the behavior of Croatian troops during the Croatian army offensive into the area known as the Krajina beginning on August 4, 1995. During that offensive, hundreds of thousands of people were displaced. In implementing a brutal scorched-earth policy, Croatian troops murdered Serb civilians—most of them elderly—systematically burned homes and buildings, and looted property.

While all parties are guilty of violations of human rights and humanitarian law, Bosnian Serb forces have systematically implemented a policy of "ethnic cleansing" throughout Serbian-occupied areas of Bosnia-Herzegovina. This policy has resulted in the summary execution, disappearance, arbitrary detention, deportation, and forced displacement of hundreds of thousands of people, on the basis of their religion or nationality. "Ethnic

166 Interview in Novi List, February 24, 1994.
cleansing" in some areas, particularly in Srebrenica and the Prijedor region in Bosnia, constitute acts of genocide, which is one of the most heinous crimes known to humankind. The international community has a moral and legal duty to prevent genocide and to see that its instigators and those responsible for parallel war crimes and crimes against humanity are brought to justice.\textsuperscript{171}

- Physicians for Human Rights (PHR) calls on the parties to the conflict in the former Yugoslavia to discipline or punish those responsible for violations of human rights and humanitarian law, including violations of medical neutrality. Disciplinary measures taken against individual offenders should be made public. The warring factions should cooperate fully with the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia, which holds criminal jurisdiction over war crimes committed in the former federation since 1991. Moreover, the parties to the Dayton agreement are obliged to honor and not to hinder the objectives of the International Criminal Tribunal as arrests are made of those indicted for war crimes.

- The lifting of sanctions against the Serbian government should be strictly linked to the following: full cooperation with the investigation and extradition of suspected war criminals; release of all prisoners; the closing of all forced labor camps; guarantee of

\textsuperscript{171} Article VIII of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide provides: "Any Contracting Party may call upon the competent organs of the United Nations to take such action under the Charter of the United Nations as they consider appropriate for the prevention and suppression of acts of genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in Article III." See Appendix F.

the right to return for displaced civilians, as well as the right to remain; and full access for humanitarian and human rights groups as outlined in the Dayton accords.

In its maintenance or reimposition of sanctions, the United Nations must ensure that the humanitarian exemptions for food and medicine are upheld. As it has in the past, PHR urges the United Nations to consider imposing sanctions that are specifically targeted to affect directly those most responsible for violations of international agreements.

- The major powers, and particularly the U.S. government, should publically name the senior political and military leaders who have presided over atrocities in the former Yugoslavia. Details of their crimes should be made public and provided to the International Criminal Tribunal. The major powers, and particularly the U.S. government, should also disclose all available information, including intelligence reports, of atrocities committed in the former Yugoslavia.\textsuperscript{172} In addition, the United States and other governments should disclose any information that might implicate the Serbian government in supplying, assisting or directing Bosnian Serb forces.

- Given reports that Bosnian Serb forces may have executed thousands of Muslim men from Srebrenica and Zepa, the United Nations and individual

\textsuperscript{172} On November 9, 1995, Reuters News Agency reported that U.S. Department of State spokesman Nicholas Burns had pledged "100 percent cooperation" in supplying relevant U.S. intelligence data to the International Criminal Tribunal. See "U.S. will supply data to war crimes tribunal," Boston Globe, November 9, 1995.
governments should demand that the Bosnian Serbs give the International Criminal Tribunal and
international humanitarian and human rights
organizations immediate access to all detainees
being held by Bosnian Serb forces in the region.
The International Criminal Tribunal should also
have immediate access to alleged execution sites in
the Srebrenica area, namely Nova Kasaba-Konjevic
Polje (Saldrumica), Kravica, Rasica Gai, Zahrđe,
Karakaj, and Bratunac. The NATO-led
multinational Implementation Force (IFOR) and the
civilian International Police Task Force (IPTF)
should provide security and logistical support to
International Criminal Tribunal staff investigating
alleged war crimes in Bosnia-Herzegovina. This
should include landmine detection and clearance,
as well as round-the-clock guarding of sites
selected by the ICTY for investigation, and
security escort for the equipment needed for the
scientific investigation of mass graves.

- IFOR should use decisive military action to stop
  any future massacres of civilians in Bosnia-
  Herzegovina. In addition, IFOR should use
  military force to prevent interference with the free
  movement of civilians, refugees, and displaced
  persons and to respond appropriately to violence
  against civilians. IFOR should have the authority
to arrest any indicted war criminals it encounters or
who interfere with its mission. Furthermore, no
member of IFOR or other international staff should

have any association with indicted war criminals
other than to arrest them.

International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia

Besides the obvious goal of establishing justice, trials of
war criminals can contribute to the rehabilitation of victims of past
abuses and of society itself. By laying bare the truth about past
abuses and condemning them publicly, prosecutions can deter
future offenders and prepare the public to withstand the temptation
or pressures to comply with, or acquiesce to, state-sponsored
violence. Trials often take place at a time when societies are
examining their basic values and can have a cathartic effect on
victims and society at large. Trials also help foster respect for
democratic institutions by demonstrating that no individual—
whether a foot soldier or high government official—escaped the

law.

Nowhere in the world is the need to reaffirm the rule of
law and restore justice more pressing than in the former
Yugoslavia and Rwanda. In both countries, the cycle of ethnic
violence and retribution is unlikely to end unless, at a minimum,
trials can restore confidence that justice can be achieved in a lawful
form. By establishing individual guilt, trials will help dispel the
notion of collective blame for genocide and war crimes.

In the former Yugoslavia, an international tribunal is
preferable to ad hoc trials held sporadically in whatever countries
within the region the accused happen to surface. Absent a change

174 After a Hutu-led slaughter claimed the lives of hundreds of
thousands of people in Rwanda between April and July 1994, the UN
Security Council established an international tribunal to prosecute war
crimes and acts of genocide in that country. PFR is assisting the
International Criminal Tribunal in its investigation of mass graves
throughout Rwanda.
in regime, the several governments that have carried out atrocities in the former Yugoslavia can hardly be expected to prosecute vigorously those responsible.

Since 1992, the International Criminal Tribunal has been investigating reports of individual criminality associated with war crimes committed since the outbreak of the Yugoslav war in 1991. By May 1996, the International Criminal Tribunal had indicted fifty-seven people, including Radovan Karadžić and General Ratko Mladić, the president and military commander respectively of the Bosnian Serbs.

PFH believes that the creation of the International Criminal Tribunal provides an historic opportunity to demonstrate that genocide, war crimes, and crimes against humanity cannot be committed with impunity. The International Criminal Tribunal promises justice for victims and their families, deterrence against further abuse, and a basis for eventual peace and reconciliation, by establishing individual accountability and therefore avoiding the kind of collective condemnation that only nourishes further ethnic resentment and violence. Moreover, PFH does not believe a peace will ever last in the former Yugoslavia, unless respect for international law and justice is made integral to the negotiation, content, and implementation of a peace agreement.

Since 1992, PFH has provided the UN Commission of Experts, and now the International Criminal Tribunal, with medical, statistical, and forensic expertise. PFH has sent several forensic teams to Croatia and Bosnia to conduct medicolegal investigations of mass graves. These investigations have provided the International Criminal Tribunal with physical evidence of mass killings of civilians and led to the indictment of suspected war criminals.

- The United Nations and individual governments must insist that the International Criminal Tribunal should be free to follow wherever the evidence leads.

- The United Nations should impose or keep in place sanctions and other penalties against any parties to the conflict that refuse to cooperate with the International Criminal Tribunal. In implementing sanctions, the United Nations should make every effort to ensure that the most vulnerable civilians do not suffer undue harm as a result.

- The United Nations and individual governments must recognize that the first step towards lasting peace and reconciliation in the former Yugoslavia is the restoration of justice and the rule of law. To this end, the United Nations and individual governments should increase their financial and diplomatic support of the International Criminal Tribunal.

Violations of Medical Neutrality

In the years after World War II, the former Yugoslavia developed a comprehensive health care system. Its universities and technical schools trained a core of health professionals who generally provided care without regard to religion, ethnicity, or politics. The Yugoslav government became a party to most major international human rights and humanitarian agreements. In 1991, as the former federation began to disintegrate, its five successor states—Croatia, Slovenia, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Serbia, and Montenegro—pledged to abide by the same international standards.

Since the outbreak of war in the former Yugoslavia in 1991, massive human rights abuses have severely eroded respect for medical neutrality in the former Yugoslavia. All sides in the conflict have flagrantly disregarded the rule of proportionality, which holds that civilian casualties and damage to civilian objects should not be out of proportion to the military advantages anticipated. Hospitals and clinics in or near conflict zones have been deliberately and often repeatedly attacked. Patients and
medical staff have been shot by snipers and, in at least one case, forcibly removed from hospital wards and summarily executed. Ambulances and other medical vehicles have been the target of mortar and sniper fire. Relief convoys carrying medical supplies have been shelled or prevented from reaching towns and cities under siege.

In some instances, the warring factions, particularly the Bosnian Serbs, have obstructed the delivery of humanitarian aid to civilians, or have allowed relief supplies to pass through check points only after they have confiscated a percentage of foods and medicines. Bosnian Serb forces have blocked the delivery of relief aid in an effort to starve, and thereby force, the besieged population to flee or surrender. None of these actions have served a military purpose other than to terrorize the civilian population and destroy its medical infrastructure.

PHR is concerned that the mandates of the UN protection and peacekeeping operations in the former Yugoslavia and elsewhere have never contained specific language charging the UN civilian and military personnel with the task of safeguarding medical neutrality.

PHR is concerned that some physicians and their professional associations in the former Yugoslavia have placed partisanship above their duty to provide care to all wounded and sick persons. PHR is particularly appalled by a racist tract which appeared in the Croatian Medical Journal in 1992. The article, which presented no medical or scientific evidence to support its claims, directed racial slurs at Serbs and Muslims.

- The United Nations, as a matter of policy, should include specific language on safeguarding medical neutrality in its protection and peacekeeping mandates. With proper monitoring systems in place, the United Nations and NATO forces could watch large troop deployments and routinely warn commanders of their obligations under international law to protect civilians and medical facilities. When violations of medical neutrality occur, UN officials should issue public condemnations and demand that those responsible be disciplined or prosecuted for war crimes.

• Medical associations in the former Yugoslavia should ensure that their members strictly adhere to the ethical duties and obligations set forth in the "Regulations in Time of Armed Conflict," adopted by the World Medical Association in 1956 (edited and amended in 1957 and 1983). Article 4 provides that "the physician must always give the required care impartially and without consideration of sex, race, nationality, religion, political affiliation or any other similar criterion. Such medical assistance must be continued for as long as necessary and practicable." Medical associations in the region should also prevent the publication in professional journals of articles and commentary that subscribe to or promote ethnic or religious hatred.

International Medical Community

In the past four years, hundreds of foreign health professionals have served as volunteers in besieged hospitals and clinics throughout the former Yugoslavia. Physicians in several countries have provided free medical care to emigres who have required specialized care for war-related injuries. Others have organized events to raise funds to send medicines and supplies to the former federation.

A few medical organizations have sponsored workshops and symposia and invited medical colleagues from the five independent Balkan states. The Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences convened a highly successful
meeting in March 1994.173 The meeting, which was held in Trieste, Italy, brought together nineteen pediatricians from Bosnia-Herzegovina, Croatia, Macedonia, Slovenia, and Serbia, and eleven pediatricians from the rest of Europe and North America. Among the workshop’s recommendations was a call for medical associations to take a more active role in supporting their colleagues who continue to practice medicine during armed conflicts.

PHR has found that many physicians and health professionals misunderstand what is meant by the term “medical neutrality.” Does “neutrality” mean to suggest that military medical personnel should not take sides in a conflict? Such a notion, of course, would be absurd: medical personnel cannot shed their nationalities. However, the term does suggest that medical personnel, like their civilian colleagues, must provide medical care impartially and without discrimination. Similarly, the term implies that medical objects, such as hospitals and ambulances, shall be recognized as neutral, and as such, protected and respected by the belligerents as long as they accommodate the wounded and sick. Neutrality, in other words, means that medical personnel and objects are entitled to respect and protection on the part of belligerents by virtue of their functions, not because of some inherent right.

PHR believes that medical, nursing, and public health associations worldwide, and particularly the World Medical Association (WMA) and the International Council of Nurses (ICN), must find effective ways of responding to the political, ethical, and moral dimensions of war. It is not enough simply to provide humanitarian relief; we must also develop strategies to support beleaguered colleagues and their patients, publicly condemn violations of medical neutrality when they occur, and educate civilian and military officials about the duties and responsibilities of medical personnel and the basic legal safeguards intended to protect civilians and medical facilities in times of war.

- PHR calls on national medical, nursing, and public health associations, to review their procedures for sanctioning health professionals who fail to discharge their responsibilities in conformity with the standards of medical neutrality set forth in international codes of medical ethics and human rights and humanitarian law. National and international medical and health associations should protest vigorously to offending governments when they violate standards of medical neutrality.

- PHR urges international medical, health, and scientific organizations, such as the United Nations Scientific, Educational and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), the World Health Association (WHO), the World Medical Association (WMA), and the International Council of Nurses (ICN) to work with international human rights and humanitarian organizations to develop and implement effective measures for protecting health professionals and their patients in wartime. Such measures should include the creation of standardized complaint procedures174 which would enable individuals and institutions to report violations of medical neutrality when they occur. These international organizations should develop educational and training programs

173 Institute of Medicine and National Research Council, The Impact of War on Child Health in the Countries of the former Yugoslavia, op. cit.

on international humanitarian and human rights law, similar to those developed by the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC).

- In addition to complaint procedures and education programs, international medical, health, and scientific organizations must take a proactive stance to protect colleagues and their patients at the onset of conflicts. These organizations should develop the capacity to conduct rapid assessments at the onset of armed conflicts to pinpoint vulnerable populations and medical facilities. During these assessments, they should meet with military and civilian officials of all parties to the conflict and insist that they respect international humanitarian and human rights law. These organizations should also create a rapid-response network, which will activate national associations worldwide to protest blatant violations of medical neutrality soon after they occur.

- PHR encourages health professionals worldwide to establish "correspondence networks" with their colleagues in the former Yugoslavia and elsewhere. Similar "sister hospital" projects should be established between hospitals and their counterparts in war zones. These networks could provide moral and material support to colleagues and medical facilities at risk, as well as advocate for their protection from military attack.

---

177 A similar network was established by the Federation of American Scientists during the 1989 demonstrations for democracy and human rights in Tiananmen Square.
Appendix A

Rape as a Crime of War
A Medical Perspective

The rape of women and girls has been a hallmark of war. The violence against women in war has increased in recent years, and the physical and psychological trauma of rape has been documented worldwide. This appendix provides an overview of the medical aspects of rape and the impact of war on women's health.

I. The Nature of Rape
Rape is defined as the forced sexual intercourse with a woman or girl by a man or a group of men. Rape is a form of sexual assault that can cause physical and psychological harm to the victim.

II. The Impact of Rape on Women's Health
Rape can have serious medical consequences for the victim, including infections, injuries, and long-term psychological effects. The medical implications of rape can include reproductive health issues, such as STIs and unintended pregnancies.

III. Medical Response to Rape
Medical professionals play a critical role in responding to the medical consequences of rape. They can provide essential medical care to prevent and treat infections, injuries, and other health issues.

IV. Legal Consequences of Rape
Rape is a crime that is punishable under international law. Countries are required to provide legal protection for women who have been raped, and they must ensure that victims have access to medical care and support services.

V. Prevention of Rape
Preventing rape is essential to protecting women's health and safety. This can be achieved through various strategies, such as education, awareness campaigns, and legal and policy changes.

The medical response to rape and the legal consequences of rape are critical to ensuring that women's health is protected.

The role of the medical community in responding to rape

Rape is a crime that can have significant medical implications. Medical professionals are essential in providing care and support to victims of rape.

I. Medical Care
Medical care is critical in addressing the physical and psychological consequences of rape. Medical professionals can provide essential medical care to prevent and treat infections, injuries, and other health issues.

II. Psychological Support
Rape can have long-term psychological effects on the victim. Medical professionals can provide psychological support to help the victim cope with the trauma of rape.

III. Legal Protection
Medical professionals can play a role in ensuring that victims have access to legal protection. They can provide information and support to victims who are seeking legal protection.

IV. Prevention of Rape
Medical professionals can also play a role in preventing rape. They can provide education and awareness campaigns to help prevent rape and promote safety.

Medical professionals are essential in responding to the medical consequences of rape and in providing support to victims.
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Treatment of individual patient

Dental services will not only be the first contact for patients in the primary health care system but also the initial point of contact for many other medical services. It is therefore important to provide accurate and effective services to maintain dental health and prevent future problems.

Dental therapy, although the term is often used interchangeably with dental hygiene, is a specialized field that involves the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diseases of the oral cavity. Dental therapists, also known as dental hygienists, are trained to perform a variety of tasks that are essential for maintaining oral health.

The role of dental therapists is not limited to the removal of plaque and Tartar, but also includes the assessment of oral health, education on oral hygiene, and the administration of therapies to prevent and treat dental diseases.

In conclusion, dental therapy plays a crucial role in promoting oral health and preventing future dental problems. By providing accurate and effective services, dental therapists contribute to the overall well-being of patients and the community as a whole.
LETTTER DATED 24 MAY 1994 FROM THE SECRETARY-GENERAL TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL

S

Security Council

S-10

May 24, 1994

Secretary-General

At its meeting 788 of 1 October 1993, the Security Council requested me to establish a Commission of Experts to monitor and analyse information gathered with a view to providing the Security Council with its guidance on the evidence of gross violations of human rights and other violations of international law committed in the territory of Sudan. Specifically, the Council requested that the Commission be established with a view to assisting the Commission of Inquiry established in 1991 to prepare a Fact-finding Mission. In response, I appointed a Fact-finding Commission, headed by Professor Fritz Kalshoven, and, following the latter’s resignation, Ms. Patricia Gahan to lead the Commission. The Commission of Experts was submitted to the Council on 14 October 1992 (S/22297).

The Commission commenced its activities in November 1993 and concluded its work in July 1994. During this period it has held 12 sessions and conducted a series of visits and on-the-spot investigations, using for this purpose officers of assistance from government and non-governmental organizations. The Commission also established a database designed to provide a comprehensive record of all reported grave violations of human rights in Sudan.

The findings of the Commission are the result of this work and its preliminary reports were submitted to the Security Council on 13 January 1994 (S/22814) and 5 October 1993 (S/22298).

The final report of the Commission includes a survey of the Commission’s work since its inception, the mandate, structure and working of the Commission, the surveys of serious violations of human rights and the underlying context of the conflict in the Darfur region. It concludes with recommendations to the government and the United Nations on initiatives to be taken to ensure the protection and promotion of human rights and Fundamental freedoms in Sudan.

S/16-0064 (E) 100894 22/05/84

1. IMPORTANCE OF CIVILIAN STANDARDS

276. During the period 1992-1993, the Commission became aware of the need to conduct a second round of mass grave investigations in the areas which were surveyed by the Commission in 1991. In 1993 the Commission established a second round of mass grave investigations in the areas which were surveyed by the Commission in 1994.
Appendix C

PREREQUISITES FOR HUMAN RIGHTS

CITATIONS

[Human rights in the citations to follow are enumerated in the table of authorities.]

1. Abuses of rights guaranteed by medical neutrality

2. Infringements against medical personnel wounded and civilians

3. Killings or disappearances

(a) Killings:

Arts. 4 para. 1, 27 2 (g)
Arts. 1 II (g)
Arts. 3, 5 III (g)
Arts. 55 4 IV (g)
Arts. 1, 5 para. 5 II (g)
Arts. 2, 6 IV (f)
Arts. 2, 7 XXII (g)
Arts. 2 para. 1, a, 11 VII (a)
Arts. 1 para. 1, a, 11 VII (g)
Arts. 1 para. 1, a, 14 VII (a)
Arts. 3 para. 1, a, 33 III (g)
Arts. 10 para. 1, 35 para. 2, 28 XX
Arts. 4 para. 2, a, 7 para. 1 XXI (a)

(b) Disappearances:

Arts. 3, 5 III (g)
Arts. 55 4 IV (g)
Arts. 1, 2, 8, 5 XI (g)
Arts. 2, 6, 7 XII (g)
Arts. 3, 5 III (g)
Arts. 55 4 IV (g)
Arts. 1, 2, 6, 7 XII (g)
Arts. 2, 6, 7 XII (g)

Case, Section X, page 153 "crime against humanity"; Section XI, pp. 157-158 "state's duties"; XXX (g)
1.1 Torture or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment

(a) Torture:

- Art. 5 pars. 1, 2, 3, Art. 27 (g)
- Art. 1 7) (g)
- Art. 1 7 III (g)
- Art. 25 II IV (g)
- Art. 1 2 V (g)
- Art. 1 2, 3, 4 X (g)
- Art. 1 2, 3, 18 pars. 2 XXI (g)
- Art. 1 2, 3, 17 XIV (g)

(b) Inhuman or degrading treatment:

- Art. 5 pars. 1, 2, 3, 4 (g)
- Art. 21 III (g)
- Art. 55 2 V (g)
- Art. 1 7, 18 pars. 2 XXI (g)
- Art. 4 XIV (g)

1.3 Serious harassment impeding medical functions:

- Art. 3, 5 III (g)
- Art. 1 5 VI (g)
- Art. 12 VI (a)
- Art. 12 VFI (a)
- Art. 31 IX (a)
- Art. 19 pars. 2, 18 pars. 4, 7 pars. 1 2, 3 XX (a)
- Art. 8 pars. 2, 18 pars. 3, 9 XXI (a)
- Report XVI (a)
- Report XXVI (a)
- Report XVI (a)
- Report XXVI (a)

1.4 Other:

- Art.12 XVI, (g)-highest attainable standard of physical and mental health

2. Infringements against medical facilities and services

2.1 Bombing or shelling of hospitals and clinics

(a) Attacks upon medical personnel or patients:

- Art. 3, 5 XII (g)
- Art. 18 2 IV (g)
- Art. 19, 20, 21, 42 I (a)
- Art. 23, 28 VII (a)
- Art. 11 VIII (a)
- Art. 34 XXI (a)
- Art. 12 para. 1 XXI (a)
- Art. 9 para. 1, 11 para. 1 XXI (a)
- Report XVI, page 13 (a)
- Report XVI, page 31 (a)

(b) Continuation of attacks:

- Art. 3, 5 XII (g)
- Art. 18 2 IV (g)
- Art. 19, 21, 42 I (a)
- Art. 23, 28 VII (a)
- Art. 11 para. 3 XX (a)
- Art. 9 para. 1, 11 para. 1 XXI (a)
- Report XVI, page 13 (a)
(c) Failure to provide sufficient warning:
Art. 2, § III (g)
Art. 55 § IV (g)
Art. 21 VII (a)
Art. 24 VII (a)
Art. 12 para. 1 XI (a)
Arts. 9 para. 1, 13 para. 3 XXI (e)
Report XXI, page 13 (s)

(d) Looting:
Art. 3, § III (g)
Art. 55 § IV (g)
Arts. 19, 30 VI (a)
Arts. 25 - 26 VII (a)
Arts. 9 para. 1, 13 para. 1 XXI (e)

(e) Destruction or closure:
Art. 1, § III (g)
Art. 55 § IV (g)
Arts. 19, 20, 35 VI (a)
Arts. 25 - 26 VII (a)
Arts. 9 para. 1, 13 para. 1 XXI (e)

(f) Knowing interruption of the supply:
Art. 2, § III (g)
Art. 55 § IV (g)
Arts. 25 - 26 VII (a)
Art. 14 XX (e)
Report XXII, page 21 (e)

2.3 Incursions into hospitals
(a) Arrest or detention:
Art. 2, § III (g)
Arts. 1, 11, 25 IX (g)
Art. 2, § III (g)
Art. 95 § IV (g)
Arts. 2, 9 para. 1 XV (g)
Arts. 2, 9 XXVIII (g)

(b) Expulsion or deportation; dismissal from job:
Art. 14 XI (g)
Art. 13 § III (g)
Art. 55 § IV (g)
Art. 24 VII (a)
Arts. 32, 33 VII (a)
Art. 25 VII (a)
Arts. 15 para. 4, 14 para. 1 XX (a)
Arts. 9 para. 1, 15 para. 1 XXI (a)
Report XXIII, page 21

2.3 Preventing the function of medical services in conflict areas or occupied territories:
Arts. 15, 14 IX (g)
Art. 3 § III (g)
Art. 55 § IV (g)
Art. 24, 25 VI (a)
Arts. 32, 33 VII (a)
Art. 31, 38 VII (a)
Arts. 15 para. 4, 14 para. 1 XX (a)
Arts. 9 para. 1, 15 para. 1 XXI (a)

8. Abuse of responsibilities required by medical neutrality

3. Abuse of medical facilities

3.1 Use of hospital/clinic ambulances for military purposes:
Art. 1, § III (g)
Art. 55 § IV (g)
Art. 19 VI (a)
Arts. 13 para. 4, 15 para. 1, 17 C (a)
Using medical personnel or units for military purposes:

Art. 3, 5 VII (g)
Art. 55 c IV (g)
Art. 19 VI (a)
Art. 12 para. 4 XXV (a)

3.2 Abuse of medical emblem (red cross, red crescent):

Art. 3, 5 VII (g)
Art. 55 c IV (g)
Art. 18, 44 VI (a)
Art. 12 XXI (a)

4. Abuse of medical skills

4.1 Torture, cruel treatment, or military interrogation by medical personnel:

Art. 55 c IV (g)
Art. 1, 2 V (g)
Art. 1, 2, 2, 4 X (a)
Art. 1, 3, 12 para. 2 XII (g)
Art. 1, 4, 20 (g)
Art. 2, 7 XV (a)
Art. 2, 5 XXII (a)

Art. 12, 18 para. 3 VI (a)
Art. 32 para. 4, 13 para. 3, 18 para. 3 XX (a)
Art. 2 para. 1, 10 para. 2 XXI (a)
Report XIII (a)
Report XXVII (a)
Report XXVIII (a)
Report XXXII, para. 5, 7, 10 (a)
Principles 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 XX (a)

4.2 Selective and discriminatory treatment of wounded combatants or civilians on non-military grounds:

Art. 1 (g)
Art. 2 II (g)
Art. 3, 5 VII (g)
Art. 55 c IV (g)

4.3 Medical treatment given according to military instruction rather than clinical indications:

Art. 3 para. 1, 12 VI (a)
Art. 3 para. 1, 12, 30 VII (a)
Art. 3 para. 1, 13, 27 XII (a)
Comment XIII, para. 9, 10 (a)
Principles 1, 3 XII (a)
Art. 12 para. 2, 18 para. 3, 79 XX (a)
Art. 2 para. 1, 7, 9 para. 2 XXI (a)
Report XXII, page 22

4.4 Breach of medical confidentiality:

Art. 2, 5 VII (g)
Art. 55 c IV (g)
Art. 26 para. 3 XXX (g)
Art. 18 para. 3, 4 XXI (a)
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<tr>
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<td>I. American Convention on Human Rights, November 20, 1949,</td>
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</tr>
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<td>III. Charter of the Organization of American States, as</td>
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<td>amended by the Protocol of Buenos Aires of 1947, OAS</td>
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</tr>
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<td>Treaty Series No. 26/2, OAS, CH/Doc. A/72, Rev.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Charter of the United Nations, adopted 14 June 1945,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entered into force 24 October 1945, 59 Stat. 1, 1971,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.I.A.S. 7719.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degrading Treatment or Punishment, Annex II, O.A. Res.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Convention for the Amelioration of the Condition of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Wounded and Sick in Armed Forces in the Field (Geneva</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention I), 23 August 1949, 6 U.S.T. 3114, T.I.A.S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750, 39 U.N. T.S. 75.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Convention for the Amelioration of the Condition of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Wounded, Sick and Shipwrecked Members of the Armed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forces at Sea (Geneva Convention II), 22 August 1956, 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War (Geneva Convention III), 23 August 1949, 6 U.S.T.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. Convention Relative to the Protection of Civilian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persons in Time of War (Geneva Convention IV), 23 August</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. Declaration on the Protection of All Persons from</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being Subjected to Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degrading Treatment or Punishment, adopted by Fiftieth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offenders, Toronto, Canada, 8-12 September 1990, U.N.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doc. A/1004.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI. Declaration on the Protection of All Persons from</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced Disappearance, U.N. Res. 47/133, 14 December</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/38/139/13.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII. European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Fundamental Freedoms, adopted 5 November 1950,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entered into force 3 September 1953, Supp. T.S. No. 3,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213 U.N.T.S.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII. General Comment No. 20 of the Human Rights Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on the International Covenant on Civil and Political</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights, adopted 20 May 2001, entered into force 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV. Inter-American Convention to Prevent and Punish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torture, adopted 9 December 1983, entered into force</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XV. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adopted 16 December 1966, entered into force 13 March</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVI. International Covenant on Economic, Social and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Rights, adopted 16 December 1966, entered into</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVII. Ireland v. United Kingdom, 23 Eur. Cl. R.H. (Ser. B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154 (1978).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVIII. PILCROSS vs. PENCE-TRALE, 630 F. 2d 874, (2nd Cir.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIX. Principles of Medical Ethics Relevant to the Role of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Personnel, Particularly Physicians, in the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecution of Prisoners and Detainees Applied against</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punishment, O.A. Res. 397/140 (1982), 14 December 1982, 37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


LETTER DATED 1 DECEMBER 1992 FROM THE SECRETARY-GENERAL ADDRESSED TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL

By resolution 114 (1968) the Security Council requested me to establish a Commission of Experts with a view to providing me with its conclusions on the preliminary investigations of the Special Commissions and other violations of international humanitarian law committed in the territory of the former Yugoslavia. The Commission commenced its work in December 1993 and held its third session in Geneva on 20 and 21 January 1993, following which it transmitted to me a final report, together with a number of subsidiary documents, including a report of a preliminary site exploration of a mass grave near Vukovar.

This report provides a broad view of the Commission's work to date, its preliminary conclusions on the evidence assembled and its views on a number of important legal issues, and describes a plan of work for the next stage of the activities.

I would particularly draw your attention to the following elements of the interim report:

(a) Summary of the findings

the report

(b) Detailed information on the Commission's findings

(c) Recommendations for further action

In respect of the initial investigations, the Commission has indicated that it intends to carry out site visits to the various mass graves and to the alleged sites of the Vukovar massacre in order to determine the extent of systematic sexual assaults.

In respect of the proposed investigations, the Commission has indicated that it intends to carry out site visits to the various mass graves and to the alleged sites of the Vukovar massacre in order to determine the extent of systematic sexual assaults.

UNited Nations Security Council

18 January 1993

Physicians for Human Rights
100 Boylston Street
Suite 702
Boston, Massachusetts 02116
Tel: 617/480-0241
Fax: 617/688-0307
REPORT OF A PRELIMINARY SITE EXPLORATION OF A MASS GRAVE

NARVÁEZ, FORMER VICHYISTA

1. Executive Summary

On 14th December 1992, a team of international forensic experts, accompanied by representatives from the United Nations, visited a site in the outskirts of the city of Narváez, in the territory of Vichyistas, who were the members of the United Nations Organization (UN), in order to conduct an investigation of the mass grave. This activity was coordinated by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), in cooperation with the National Forensic Service (NFS). The site was located near the former Vichyista military camp.

Based on preliminary site examination, the forensic team concluded:

1. A mass execution took place at the grave site.
2. The grave is a mass grave, containing perhaps as many as 100 bodies.
3. The results indicate that the grave contains at least 50 bodies, despite the hot weather conditions.
4. There is no indication that the grave has been disturbed since the time of execution and interment.
5. The grave appears to be consistent with evidence that suggests that the victims were executed by the local authorities and that the bodies were buried in a mass grave.
6. The grave was identified using forensic methods and procedures.

It is possible that the death of these individuals occurred in the context of 1940-1945, during the Second World War. The identification process is ongoing, and a report of findings will be delivered to the United Nations Human Rights Council (UNHCHR) in the near future.

The forensic team proposes to return to Narváez in mid-March to continue its investigation of the site. This phase will entail the complete excavation of the grave and the recovery of all the bodies for medical examination. To complete the investigation, the forensic team will need the full support and cooperation of the United Nations and interested governments to
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The site described referred to as NW1-1, lies on the southwest end of a forested area extending from the southeast through a cultivated field to the west. The site was occupied by a small stream that was crossed by a covered bridge. The drainage area of the site was small, with no significant features observed. The field road and the forest extending NW1-1.

Before we conducted a surface survey of NW1-1, a preliminary surface survey was conducted. The site was located on a slope which contained several large piles of dirt around the southern edge. The site was occupied by a small stream that was crossed by a covered bridge. The drainage area of the site was small, with no significant features observed. The field road and the forest extending NW1-1.

When we examined the surface features of NW1-1, we noted a large pile of dirt around the southern edge. The site was occupied by a small stream that was crossed by a covered bridge. The drainage area of the site was small, with no significant features observed. The field road and the forest extending NW1-1.

NW1-1 was selected for a detailed survey. The site was located on a slope which contained several large piles of dirt around the southern edge. The site was occupied by a small stream that was crossed by a covered bridge. The drainage area of the site was small, with no significant features observed. The field road and the forest extending NW1-1.

Our test trench, discussed below, demonstrated that the edges of the grave were sharply defined. A different feature was noted in the area, where the site was occupied by a small stream that was crossed by a covered bridge. The drainage area of the site was small, with no significant features observed. The field road and the forest extending NW1-1.

The site described referred to as NW1-1, was a small area of dirt around the southern edge. The site was occupied by a small stream that was crossed by a covered bridge. The drainage area of the site was small, with no significant features observed. The field road and the forest extending NW1-1.

December. Previously, this individual was caught up in the kind of the bellicose during the final stage of handling. It is likely that in part of the body we could find a piece of bone that had been split off or cut off. On the top of the spindle pole, a long, braided cord was woven into a braid. There were three small knots on the braid, and the cord was wound around the spindle pole. The spindle pole was made of wood, and the cord was made of hemp. The cord was wound around the spindle pole, and the cord was made of hemp. The cord was wound around the spindle pole, and the cord was made of hemp.
Subsurface Information

The presence of the two skeletons with modern graves and the great carvings did not indicate allometric or identical evidence to indicate that the area had not been disturbed. We excavated a 2-meter-wide by 7-meter test trench across the site. This trench was later extended 1 meter to the west. In order to ensure that the eastern edge of the test trench was not disturbed, the area which was excavated was 1 meter by 2-meter unit that encompassed these unique archeological features of the site. It should be noted, however, that the northern boundary of the grave, which will be the subject of this report, has been revealed only at the surface. The distance is defined from the last that quartz carvings were found on the surface at this distance from the northern edge of the site.

Shovels were used to remove the fill within the test trench. The basin revealed was exposed in one location at the trench. Two axes were found at the grid of axes.

Because an individual was completely uncovered, and the individuals were buried with a consistent orientation, the exact number of individuals was impossible to determine. However, approximately one hundred individuals were exposed in the test trench from Appendix 9, Fig. 2. The challenge of the test trench is that the burial site is located at 250 feet from the road, and the plan of the site is to locate the test trench at the site of the site for excavations. An analysis of the burial sites on test trench was made.

The site was on the surface skeletons, the subsurface burials were quite well preserved. Clothing was not present. More remains were present as additional sites and were present. The deeper burials appeared burned. All burned remains were found at the same level, and correlated with the burned remains recovered from test trenches, the burial of the remains was determined from the burnt remains.

A total of four burials were observed. Some were preserved. One in the site was a child, and three were adults. Three of the carvings, including the cranial, were found below ground surface to the southeast. This burial was similar to the other two burials. The remains were buried in the lower grave containing the remains of adults and children with the skeletons.

Excavations inside the grave proper ceased at about 20 centimeters below ground surface. It did attempt to determine the depth of the grave by exposing a deeper test in the area of the site. It was possible to follow the contour of the fill of the grave as it was defined in time and texture from that of the earth. The test trench was extended across the site and it was apparent that the area was defined by the fill of the fill. We were able to remove the fill and to identify this individual, who absorbed the cadavers.

Century of Finding

Based on the preliminary site survey, the findings of the formal test are as follows:

1. A building was used to cut through the superstructure along the ramping of the site and to dig a grave within the pre-existing fill. The grave was approximately 1.7 meters. The exact boundaries of the grave, however, could not be defined.

2. A grave excavation test was used on Q.W.1. The excavations apparently lined up along the northern boundary of the grave, appearing to be from the field road, and were at their fullest extent. However, it was apparent that the test trench was 0.3 meters east of the test trench.

3. Q.W.1 is a diagnostic grave. The number of individuals within the relatively small "ruler" of the test trench, as well as the directions of the burial, indicate that a more accurate determination can be made. The test trench was extended across the site.

4. There is no indication that Q.W.1 has been disturbed since the time of excavation and interment.

5. Q.W.1 appears to be consistent with historic tradition that proposes that the site is the place of origin and interment of the dunbar from outside Ontario. However, before conclusion can be made with certainty, Q.W.1 will be examined through the use of modern methods. Q.W.1 may be identified using forensic methods and procedures.
IV. Assessment Information

In respect to the forensic task set with doctors who are members of the FACT Investigation of the Alleged Prisoners and Suspects. This concludes, which limit, the methods and efforts that the investigation and evaluation document the following description: The conclusion shows that the medical task has been accomplished. The conclusion also emphasizes the need for the inclusion of the medical records of the deceased who are still missing (see appendix A). The conclusion will continue to gather additional data.

The forensic team is composed of the commission photographers of the medical team in the event and will, as well as a photographer the small figures of a medical force wrapped in gauze as one (1). These photographs should be distributed to relatives of the victims from the local hospital.

V. Recommendations: Operation and Mediation Investigation

The forensic team is now ready to begin the second phase of the investigation of the event. This phase will entail the complete elimination of the scene and the removal of all the bodies for examination at the laboratory's facility. The decontamination process will continue the examination of the bodies, and the medical task will take at least 3 weeks. The medical examination will take 3-4 weeks.

We must note that the investigation of the deceased's scene, the forensic team will employ the full resources and cooperation of the U.S. Secretery General's Office, the U.S. Secretary General's Office, the U.S. Commission of the UNRWA, and the international commissions which were not involved in the events. The cooperation of these bodies will require the following resources. The cooperation of the Commission:

1. The U.S. Commission of the UNRWA should report one of its members to act as a liaison liaison with the forensic team.

2. The U.S. Secretary General of the U.S. Secretary General's Office should include all U.S. commissions of the forensic team in the second phase of the investigation of the Medical Team and other cases.

The medical team must also consider the following:

1. The U.S. Commission of the UNRWA should report one of its members to act as a liaison liaison with the forensic team.

2. The U.S. Secretary General of the U.S. Secretary General's Office should include all U.S. commissions of the forensic team in the second phase of the investigation of the Medical Team and other cases.
the facility is located in Egipto. It will need to be kept under
strategic jurisdiction to maintain security and chain-of-command.
Our first priority would be to conduct the following opposition to
the oddities in the area: support. Second, a green unit would need
operation at its own expense. However, a green unit would need
operation at its own expense. The green unit's mission would be to
support the oddities to be threats later or understand their military and base
or possibly a civilian expert) several in the落实 of the
facilities, as long as it was equipped with the items listed above.

After the personnel have completed the assessment,
the personnel would be located closer to the border
assessment in the border. This border is being proposed by
the University of Egipto Faculty School.
Map 2: GIV.1 Site Map.

Key:
1. Late flint and flintcore at GKR 3.
2. Early stone axe flintcore at GKR 3.
3. Fused flint and associated gravelly boulder to GKR 3.
4. Vehicle chassis with bullet holes.
Appendix C

Palaeo-ecological Interpretation of Prehistoric Site 1

At various sites, the stone tools were found to be associated with faunal remains. The faunal remains included bones of mammals, birds, and fish. The faunal remains were used to determine the age and environmental conditions of the site. The faunal remains were analyzed using a combination of morphological and molecular techniques. The results of the analysis indicated that the site was occupied during the Late Pleistocene period. The site was likely used for hunting and gathering activities.

The faunal remains included bones of large mammals such as whales, elephants, and rhinoceroses. The bones were used to determine the age of the site using a combination of radiocarbon dating and paleomagnetic techniques. The results of the analysis indicated that the site was occupied during the Late Pleistocene period. The site was likely used for hunting and gathering activities.

The site was likely used for hunting and gathering activities. The faunal remains included bones of large mammals such as whales, elephants, and rhinoceroses. The bones were used to determine the age of the site using a combination of radiocarbon dating and paleomagnetic techniques. The results of the analysis indicated that the site was occupied during the Late Pleistocene period. The site was likely used for hunting and gathering activities.

The site was likely used for hunting and gathering activities. The faunal remains included bones of large mammals such as whales, elephants, and rhinoceroses. The bones were used to determine the age of the site using a combination of radiocarbon dating and paleomagnetic techniques. The results of the analysis indicated that the site was occupied during the Late Pleistocene period. The site was likely used for hunting and gathering activities.
morphology. As of the present time, there is no evidence in the record to suggest the presence of any significant lesions or injuries.

Examination:

The body was examined for external injuries. The body was found in a seated position with the hands folded across the abdomen. The face was not visible due to the position of the body. There were no visible marks or bruises on the body.

Internal Examination:

The internal examination revealed no significant abnormalities. The organs were found to be in normal positions and there were no signs of injury or bleeding.

The final diagnosis was death by asphyxia due to strangulation. The cause of death was determined to be consistent with a noose or ligature around the neck. The manner of death was ruled to be suicide.
SURFACE DESESSION (3:04 AM)
This situation was first observed on the initial visit on the Ontario site on October 18, 1987. It was lying in the southeastern part of the mass grave field near an area wooded with small arctic shrubs. It was not visible from the air, and was noted only when mapping the site by foot, which revealed most of the body was buried in the furrow of the path. The area apparently marked the original location of the body. Several long bones, some ribs, as well as some of the teeth, were found several meters from the main concentration—apparently they had been dragged away by small arctic grizzlies, possibly by some other mammals. None of the bones showed any signs of decay orBed. All the bones were in a bit of the path, but turned in a direction away from the site. This may have been done by predators, or by the creature that had made a deposit of soil on the site on October 18, before it had been fully uncovered by the following year.

Development of Site
The site was determined to be made up of a number of smaller archaeological deposits, each displaying the typical moraine features of an arctic tundra site. Some of the deposits were located on top of the moraine, while others were buried under the moraine. One deposit was located on the moraine, while another was buried under the moraine. It was believed that the creature that had made this deposit had been responsible for the discovery of the site.

Examination of Site
In view of the evidence, including the presence of small arctic grizzlies, there were several small bear scavengers. These were found in the site with an age of at least 10 years. A total of 10 bears were found in the site. A flora estimate of 74 to 22 years was used as a guide.

Classification of Site
Cultural features of this site are strongly contemporary. These include the presence of cultural remains, including small arctic grizzlies, and other small bear scavengers. Some of the site features are also anthropogenic.

Amputated Fingers
An amputated finger was found on the site, with a small bone clearly visible. This was not visible from the air, and was noted only when mapping the site. The amputated finger was found on the site with an age of at least 10 years. A total of 10 bears were found in the site. A flora estimate of 74 to 22 years was used as a guide.

Examination of Site
The site was determined to be made up of a number of smaller archaeological deposits, each displaying the typical moraine features of an arctic tundra site. Some of the deposits were located on top of the moraine, while others were buried under the moraine. One deposit was located on the moraine, while another was buried under the moraine. It was believed that the creature that had made this deposit had been responsible for the discovery of the site.
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INDICTMENT

Richard J. Goldstone, Prosecutor of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia, pursuant to his authority under Article 15 of the Statute of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia ("Trials Section"), alleges:

1. The indictment charges persons responsible for the mass killing at Orahovac, near Vlacak, Croatia, of approximately 250 civilians and ten Serb men who had been removed from Vlacak Hospital on 29 November 1991.

2. The city of Vlacak is located in the eastern Slavonian region of Croatia on the banks of the Drava River, which marks the border between the Republic of Serbia and the Republic of Croatia. In 1991, the population of the Vlacak municipality, which included the city and surrounding villages, was 8,390 of whom 3,610 were Croat (42.8%), 3,445 Serb (40.9%), 1,172 Hungarian (13.4%), and 104 Yugoslaw (1.2%).

3. After a 19 May 1991 referendum in the Republic of Croatia regarding Croatia’s future in the Yugoslav Federation, Croatia declared its independence on 25 June 1991. At the urging of the Scorpion’s government, the coalition of independence was proclaimed on 8 October 1991.

4. Soon after the 25 June 1991 declaration of independence, Serb forces in Croatia intensified the armed competition they had begun several months earlier, with which the Croatian authorities attempted to respond. The Federal Yugoslav People’s Army (JNA) intervened in support of the Scorpion’s government.

5. After mounting some of the surrounding villages that were ethnically mixed by non-Serbs, on late August 1991, the JNA had surrounded the city of Vlacak and was laying siege to it. In accordance with the order, the JNA engaged in various activities that caused widespread destruction of the buildings in the city. The JNA and Serb paramilitary forces also harassed civilians and assaulted those who remained in the city. The JNA and Serb paramilitary forces also attacked hospitals and prevented medical staff from attending to patients.

6. The JNA held with primary responsibility for the attack and subsequent summary execution of civilians at Vlacak was the Strijkovac-Chřeščec Brigade, commanded by Colonel Mijo Mladenovic. Subordinated to Colonel Mladenovic was Major Veselin Stojanovic, who had three sub-detachments of JNA forces in the immediate area of the city. Major Stojanovic was the security officer for the Chřeščec Brigade, and he was also understood to be a military police battalion which was part of the brigade. An additional part of the brigade that took an active role in the siege and summary execution of the city was the special forces unit commanded by Captain Milovan Rajic, a close associate of Major Stojanovic.

7. In the last days of the siege, several hundred people sought refuge at Vlacak Hospital, which was near the city center, to the belief that it would be evacuated in the presence of neutral international observers. This evacuation had been agreed upon in August in negotiations between the JNA and the Croatian government on 11 November 1991. In addition to the staff and patients, civilians, residents of the hospital were also refuge at the hospital grounds.

8. On the afternoon of 19 November 1991, JNA units arrived at Vlacak Hospital and took control of it. These JNA units offered no resistance. Early the following morning, Major Stojanovic entered the hospital and detained its medical staff. Shortly thereafter, a JNA soldier in a white shirt appeared in the hospital grounds with his rifle over his shoulder. The medical staff was then instructed to assemble in the hospital grounds. The medical personnel were told to load the bodies onto JNA trucks. Later that morning, the bodies left the hospital compound and proceeded through the streets of Vlacak to the JNA hospitals on the south side of the city. The men were loaded into buses in the hospital for about two hours. During this time, no efforts were made by JNA personnel, about 25 of the men were removed from the buses, apparently because the area was part of the hospital staff or were released to staff members.

9. The remaining men were then driven to a building in the city of Orahovac, about four kilometers southwest of Vlacak. Three JNA and Serb paramilitary soldiers took the men from the buses and thrust them in a room between two rows of soldiers who had been shot earlier. While the men did not resist, the soldiers continued to hold the men for several hours. About two men were released after they were driven to the building and their heads were shot with a rifle. The remaining men were then driven back to Vlacak.

10. The remaining men were then held in the building on Orahovac. The Serb authorities searched the men for weapons and then divided the men again into groups of ten or fewer. The soldiers loaded each group into buses, which left the former building with the group and then waited for a short while later.

11. The buses traveled from the Orahovac farm building on the road leading to Orahovac approximately one and one-half kilometers southwest of the building, etc.
Atlag, Jont (Djib) 31.05.49
Asaad, Abd (Mesa) 09.02.55
Asaad, Abd. Ismail (Are) 10.04.59
Asaad, Khaldi (Kadj) 10.02.48
Asaad, Khaldi (Bela) 01.01.20
Asaad, Khaldi (Abd) 01.06.26
Asaad, Khaldi (Bela) 21.06.56
Asaad, Khaldi (Djib) 31.12.49
Asaad, Khaldi (Gobi) 29.06.63
Asaad, Khaldi (Njeb) 30.06.42
Asaad, Khaldi (Ali) 02.05.44
Asaad, Khaldi (Ali) 06.05.45
Asaad, Khaldi (Njeb) 15.06.67
Asaad, Khaldi (Jum) 23.07.48
Asaad, Khaldi (Ali) 30.07.48
Asaad, Khaldi (Jum) 17.07.52
Asaad, Khaldi (Ali) 06.07.67
Asaad, Khaldi (Ali) 16.06.68
Asaad, Khaldi (Ali) 01.12.67
Asaad, Jum (Ivax) 31.05.49
Asaad, Jum (Djib) 17.04.55
Asaad, Jum (Abd) 30.01.66
Asaad, Jum (Abd) 12.03.47
Asaad, Jum (Abd) 29.10.55
Asaad, Jum (Abd) 29.12.55
Asaad, Jum (Abd) 01.04.63
Asaad, Jum (Abd) 30.05.58
Asaad, Jum (Abd) 15.10.55
Asaad, Djell (Ali) 34.11.70
Asaad, Djell (Ali) 06.04.69
Asaad, Djell (Ali) 30.05.64
Asaad, Djell (Ali) 07.05.65
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naxios, Anthi (Pireas)</td>
<td>03.01.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halkas, Nikos (Pireas)</td>
<td>03.04.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horvath, Iro (Eretria)</td>
<td>22.11.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horvath, Victor (Kosmos)</td>
<td>22.08.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harapli, Vriginika (Daphni)</td>
<td>30.06.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Io., Zografos (Nika)</td>
<td>13.01.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zouboulis, Ivan (Pireas)</td>
<td>12.05.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iera, Zelia (Fokida)</td>
<td>33.13.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beisel, Alexander (Eretria)</td>
<td>05.12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yialidis, Melis (Eretria)</td>
<td>38.16.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averof, Athanasios (Saron)</td>
<td>30.04.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakellarides, Maria (Athen)</td>
<td>01.11.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jannaris, Tessa (Eretria)</td>
<td>03.01.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zavani, Michael (Athen)</td>
<td>30.12.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jory, Brinder (Eretria)</td>
<td>30.09.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katsoulis, Irene (Eretria)</td>
<td>29.11.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zavani, Yerosimos (Viditis)</td>
<td>31.04.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jannaris, Yerosimos (Viditis)</td>
<td>30.11.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Io., Zoulaki (Kosmos)</td>
<td>14.03.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sakellarides, Christos (Athens)</td>
<td>04.03.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zavani, Glykeria (Kosmos)</td>
<td>18.03.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jannaris, Pavlos (Viditis)</td>
<td>12.12.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Io., Zafiri (Kosmos)</td>
<td>30.06.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zavani, Zafiri (Kosmos)</td>
<td>23.04.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jannaris, Pavlos (Viditis)</td>
<td>17.08.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Io., Zafiri (Kosmos)</td>
<td>38.06.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zavani, Zafiri (Kosmos)</td>
<td>20.13.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kafkalos, Ivan (Pireas)</td>
<td>33.06.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasakas, Nikos (Athens)</td>
<td>61.15.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kafkalos, Ivan (Pireas)</td>
<td>17.01.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>10.03.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>19.19.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>25.32.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>03.04.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>22.37.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>33.01.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>25.30.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>26.06.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>15.03.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>34.24.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>18.08.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>20.03.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>35.04.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>43.35.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>44.19.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>04.19.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>44.19.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasar, Dimitris (Pireas)</td>
<td>18.59.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 13.08.39
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 14.12.17
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 28.05.66
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 08.08.27
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 18.08.40
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 19.08.80
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 20.08.20
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 25.04.56
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 28.01.31
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 30.01.71
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 28.08.55
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 25.08.35
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 08.11.66
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 19.05.53
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 08.06.54
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 19.04.61
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 03.05.63
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 25.03.53
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 18.12.65
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 18.02.71
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 18.12.60
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 28.07.13
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 17.08.22
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 18.10.38
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 09.09.41
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 10.07.26
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 33.06.32
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 34.02.57
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 15.03.31
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 11.05.69
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 17.04.31
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 16.05.35
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 22.12.68
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 03.12.60
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 16.11.28
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 06.09.18
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 30.01.69
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 27.02.61
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 17.08.11
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 19.10.13
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 01.07.64
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 01.07.74
Kleinheinz, Konstantinos (Kavala) 19.04.30
Iraklis, Irene (Kavala) 30.01.65
Iraklis, Irene (Kavala) 01.06.15
Iraklis, Irene (Kavala) 18.07.18
Iraklis, Irene (Kavala) 24.01.44
Iraklis, Irene (Kavala) 19.11.82
Iraklis, Irene (Kavala) 38.11.61
Iraklis, Irene (Kavala) 17.03.67
Iraklis, Irene (Kavala) 38.05.65
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petkovic, Jure (Jos)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>05/03</td>
<td>34.05.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pirovski, Ivanc (Ivan)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>14/04</td>
<td>47.14.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronic, Zdenko (Zdenko)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>02/03</td>
<td>43.02.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronic, Ivan (Ivan)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>06/09</td>
<td>42.06.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronic, Jure (Jos)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10/05</td>
<td>41.10.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popic, Davor (Davor)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22/02</td>
<td>40.22.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Miro (Miro)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>04/10</td>
<td>39.04.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Zarko (Zarko)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>19/06</td>
<td>38.19.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Zdenko (Zdenko)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>09.05.53</td>
<td>37.09.05.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Zdenko (Zdenko)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30.12.67</td>
<td>36.30.12.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Zdenko (Zdenko)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25.06.71</td>
<td>35.25.06.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Zdenko (Zdenko)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>19.10.31</td>
<td>34.19.10.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Zdenko (Zdenko)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30.06.67</td>
<td>33.30.06.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Zdenko (Zdenko)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30.06.67</td>
<td>32.30.06.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Zdenko (Zdenko)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30.06.67</td>
<td>31.30.06.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Zdenko (Zdenko)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30.06.67</td>
<td>30.30.06.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Zdenko (Zdenko)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10.10.59</td>
<td>29.10.10.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popovic, Zdenko (Zdenko)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36.10.66</td>
<td>28.36.10.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THE ACTORS**

13. **Vojvoda Mladen (Mladen)**, born on 20 July 1947 near Vlajecevo, Gacki, was a collateral in the JNA and was commander of the Zvornik Brigade—which had primary responsibility for the ascent on Vlajecevo. After the stage of Vlajecevo, he was promoted to general and took up the command of the 75th Division-which was a component of the Zvornik Brigade. He was later promoted to the command of the 75th Division-which was a component of the 3rd Corps in the former Yugoslavia.

14. **Vojvoda Zdenko (Zdenko)**, born in 1936 near Zvornik, Monenegro, was a collateral in the JNA and was commander of the 3rd Corps in the former Yugoslavia. He was promoted to the command of the 75th Division-which was a component of the 3rd Corps in the former Yugoslavia.

**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

15. Unless otherwise stated below, all acts and omissions alleged in this report are based on documents submitted by the Ministry of the Republic of Serbia to the United Nations.
19. As all times relevant to this indictment, a state of international armed conflict existed in the territory of the former Yugoslavia.

20. As all times relevant to this indictment, all persons described in this indictment as victims were protected by the Geneva Conventions of 1949.

21. As all times relevant to this indictment, all persons described in this indictment were required to abide by the laws and customs governing the conduct of war, including the Geneva Conventions of 1949.

22. All acts and omissions charged as crimes against humanity were part of a widespread, systematic and gross violations against the non-Serb residents of the municipality of Vucjak.

23. Each of the accused individually responsible for the crimes alleged against him in this indictment pursuant to Article 7(c) of the Tribunal Statute, provided, established responsibility includes engaging, planning, designing, ordering or otherwise directing and actively preparing or monitoring any crimes referred to in Articles 2 to 5 of the Tribunal Statute.

24. Each of the accused is also or alternatively, jointly responsible as a commander for the acts of his subordinates pursuant to Article 7(d) of the Tribunal Statute. Commander criminal responsibility includes the responsibility of a superior officer for the acts of his subordinates.

25. Each of the accused was in a position to take necessary measures to prevent the commission of such crimes or to punish the perpetrators thereof.

26. The general allegations contained in paragraphs 18 through 24 are not alleged and incorporated into each of the charges as set forth below.

CHARGES

27. On about 30 November 1991, USA and Serbian military authorities under the command of General Mladic, Minister Rasadic and Vaselić, BILJANOVIC received approximately 200 men from Vucjak Hospital and then transferred them to a farm building in OZROVIĆA. At that time all of them were held for forced labor. Afterward, soldiers under the command of General Mladic, Minister Rasadic and Vaselić BILJANOVIC transferred the men in groups of 50 to 200 to a farm between Driljani and Trnovac, where they were killed and buried. By that time and assistance from Mladic, Minister Rasadic and Vaselić, BILJANOVIC were directly responsible for.

Revised:

Count 1: GRAVE BREACHES of the Geneva Conventions of 1949 recognized by Article 3(1) (widespread acts) of the Tribunal Statute.

Count 2: VIOLATIONS OF THE LAWS OR CUSTOMS OF WAR recognized by Article 3(2) (widespread acts) of the Tribunal Statute.

Count 3: VIOLATIONS OF THE LAWS OR CUSTOMS OF WAR recognized by Article 3(3) (widespread acts) of the Tribunal Statute.
Appendix F

GENOCIDE AND PROTECTION OF CIVILIANS

The Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide was in large part a reaction to the Nazi' systematic murder of Jews and members of certain other groups of people.

CONVENTION ON THE PREVENTION AND PUNISHMENT OF THE CRIME OF GENOCIDE


Article I. The Contracting Parties confirm that genocide, whether committed in time of peace or in time of war, is a crime under international law which they undertake to prevent and to punish.

Article II. In the present Convention, genocide means any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such:

(a) Killing members of the group;
(b) Causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group;
(c) Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part;
(d) Imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group;

(e) Forcibly transferring children of the group to another group.

Article III. The following acts shall be punishable:

(a) Genocide;
(b) Conspiracy to commit genocide;
(c) Direct and public incitement to commit genocide;
(d) Attempt to commit genocide;
(e) Complicity in genocide.

Article IV. Persons committing genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in article III shall be punished, whether they are constitutionally responsible rulers, public officials or private individuals.

Article V. The Contracting Parties undertake to enact, in accordance with their respective Constitutions, the necessary legislation to give effect to the provisions of the present Convention and, in particular, to provide effective penalties for persons guilty of genocide or of any of the other acts enumerated in article III.

Article VI. Persons charged with genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in article III shall be tried by a competent tribunal of the State in the territory of which the act was committed, or by such international penal tribunal as may have jurisdiction with respect to those Contracting Parties which shall have accepted its jurisdiction.

Article VII. Genocide and the other acts enumerated in article III shall not be considered as political crimes for the purpose of extradition.

The Contracting Parties pledge themselves in such cases to grant extradition in accordance with their laws and treaties in force.

Article VIII. Any Contracting Party may call upon the competent organs of the United Nations to take such action under the Charter of the United Nations as they consider appropriate for the prevention and suppression of acts of genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in article III.

Article IX. Disputes between the Contracting Parties relating to the interpretation, application or fulfillment of the present Convention, including those relating to the responsibility of a State for genocide or for any of the other acts enumerated in article III, shall be submitted to the International Court of Justice at the request of any of the parties to the dispute.
Appendix G

Courtsey of Institute of Public Health of the Republic and the Federation of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Sarajevo 1996.

5. MEDICINSKO OBOJJE UBIJENO U RATU

On the following pages there are photographs and members of nursing staff of the Sarajevo region killed during the medical aggression against the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Data are incomplete as all information on the killed has not been available for objective reasons.

2. ANTO KOZINA
(2 August 1936 - 24 April 1992)
Medicinska sestra - medical technician

Ratna Zdravka iz Novi Mosta medicinsku poslu vodila a pripadala je Domu za mlade i senioren vijeća u glavnom vodstvu i veljačim vijeću u nagovorenj pojasnjenja.

War of the Institute for Emergency Medical Aid. Killed by a bullet in the ambulances shifted with bullets from the aggressor's machine while accompanying a patient at Oktobarska.

3. Prim. dr SILVA KIZANBEGOVIC
(23 October 1914 - 7 May 1992)
Specijalizirana medicinska - spec. in emergency medicine


In May 1992, a cancer's short head took the life of Dr Silva Kizanbegovic, not the usual, but a significant post. She was against, as a top-notch professional for special people, great expectations. All her achievements were due to her ambition and her human craft. She could not unnerstand that somebody did not want to, precisely, Silva's not tell. Witnesses of her will never tell.
4. Dr Gordana Ćekulić  
(11 January 1964 - 23 May 1990)  
specializing in internal medicine - resident specializing in internal medicine  

I was born in Split in 1964. After completing my postgraduate education in medicine, I specialized in internal medicine. My medical career began at the Split Clinical Hospital, where I worked as a resident in the Department of Internal Medicine. In 1990, I was killed in a tragic accident while on duty. My death was a great loss for the medical profession and for my patients. 

5. Dr Jusuf Ahmedi-Pahić  
(2 October 1962 - 10 June 1990)  
specializing in neurosurgery  

I was born in Split in 1962. After completing my postgraduate education in surgery, I specialized in neurosurgery. My medical career began at the Split Clinical Hospital, where I was a resident in the Department of Neurosurgery. In 1990, I was killed in a tragic accident while on duty. My death was a great loss for the medical profession and for my patients.

6. Dr Gordana Varunek-Vujović  
(25 April 1940 - 26 June 1990)  
specialized in pediatrics  

I was born in Split in 1940. After completing my postgraduate education in medicine, I specialized in pediatrics. My medical career began at the Split Clinical Hospital, where I was a resident in the Department of Pediatrics. In 1990, I was killed in a tragic accident while on duty. My death was a great loss for the medical profession and for my patients.

7. Dr Abdurahman Filipović  
(27 November 1957 - 5 August 1990)  
specialized in primary health care physicians  

I was born in Split in 1957. After completing my postgraduate education in medicine, I specialized in primary health care physicians. My medical career began at the Split Clinical Hospital, where I was a resident in the Department of Primary Health Care. In 1990, I was killed in a tragic accident while on duty. My death was a great loss for the medical profession and for my patients.
8. ARIF ORJANIĆ
(9 July 1945 - 23 August 1992)
medicinski-trevulac - medical technician
menđen u medicinskom laboratoriju za medicinsku biologiju u Sarajevu. U svrhu začušnjavanje stvarnosti, u početku Augusta 1992. godine, bio je izložen robiti u industriju napada na borbenu jedinu i ono što su likovale na širom rasponu, uz razvijanje bolesti.}

9. HARIS MERZIĆ
(19 July 1965 - 7 October 1992)
apoltini medicina - medical degree exam candidate
Također, danas, njegov simpatičan i nezaljubljeni prijatelj, između drugih, za žalost može samo čitati o njegovim činiocih.}

10. DR. MUSTAFA PINTOL
(15 May 1954 - died 1992)
lakir na primarnom zdravstvenom zaposlenju - primary health care physician
Rodjen u Livnici, u bolnici Livnica, u kojoj je radila. Osnovno obrazovanje je obavljeno u Bihacu, a medicinski je obrazovao u Sarajevu.}

11. MUNIRA SULJEVIĆ
(5 December 1992)
maticnica sestra - nurse
Rodila je medicinske sestre u Puhiću, koja je do leta 1992. godine bila na čelu jedne od šest stolja u Sarajevo.

She worked as a nurse at the Psychiatric Clinic. She was killed at her workplace on December 5th, 1992, as another victim of war violence. 
13. Dr VLADO BILJENKI
(9 March 1934 - 30 January 1994)
spec. ophthalmolog - ophthalmologist

Radimir (Biljan) was born in Vabljenska češma in Osor, Croatia. His family was from Beli, a small town in Shkoder. He worked in the health care system in Beli, where he was born. He then moved to Osor, where he worked in the local hospital. He retired from the health care system in the late 1980s and moved to Zadvarje, where he worked as a farmer. He died in Osor.

14. Dr ŠEMIR ARIFHODIĆ
(22 August 1961 - 6 June 1990)
tekar primarne zdravstvene zalihe - primary health care physician

Dr Šemir Arifhodić was born in Beli, Croatia. He graduated from the University of Zagreb in 1985. He worked as a primary care physician in Beli until his death. He was married and had three children. He died in a car accident on 6 June 1990.

15. Prim. dr RAMIZ KOMIJA
(9 March 1936 - 5 August 1992)
spec. ginekolog - obstetrician - gynecologist

Mbach Komija was born in Beli, Croatia. He graduated from the University of Zagreb in 1959. He worked as a gynecologist in Beli until his death. He was married and had three children. He died in a car accident on 5 August 1992.
20. SUADA KUPUS

She was killed at her
workplace as a nurse of
soldiers injured at a
German-Italian battlefield.

21. Dr ELJESAD KLANČEVIĆ

Specijalista otorinolaringologije - Specijalista otorinolaringologije

22. Dr AZIZ TORLAK

He was born in 1930 at the
Lazur village, Raguša,
Highly educated in medicine
after finishing School of
Secondary level at the school
he completed in Split. He
worked as a general
surgeon in Split. After
finishing school, he
worked at surgery in Split.
He was a highly respected
surgeon. He was a great
human being to everyone.

23. Dr ASIM SADIPOVIĆ

Specijalista interivulne medine - Specialist interventional medicine

He was born in 1928 in Split. He
completed High School of
Secondary level in Split. He
was a highly respected
surgeon and one of the
leading surgeons in Split.

Bom se Redon na 30.
August 1930. Dr graduated
from the St Marko (1953).
Passed the exam for
specijalizaciju in
Surgery. He was a
highly respected
surgeon.

20. SUADA KUPUS

She was killed at her
workplace as a nurse of
soldiers injured at a
German-Italian battlefield.

21. Dr ELJESAD KLANČEVIĆ

Specijalista otorinolaringologije - Specijalista otorinolaringologije

22. Dr AZIZ TORLAK

He was born in 1930 at the
Lazur village, Raguša,
Highly educated in medicine
after finishing School of
Secondary level at the school
he completed in Split. He
worked as a general
surgeon in Split. After
finishing school, he
worked at surgery in Split.
He was a highly respected
surgeon. He was a great
human being to everyone.

23. Dr ASIM SADIPOVIĆ

Specijalista interivulne medine - Specialist interventional medicine

He was born in 1928 in Split. He
completed High School of
Secondary level in Split. He
was a highly respected
surgeon and one of the
leading surgeons in Split.

Bom se Redon na 30.
August 1930. Dr graduated
from the St Marko (1953).
Passed the exam for
specijalizaciju in
Surgery. He was a
highly respected
surgeon.
24. DR. JUSININ BALEHOVIĆ
(18 January 1893 - 5 May 1957)
Specialist pedijatara, Profesor

Ko je 03.01.1958 godine
ukončio timu sa školom
u Sarajevu. Diplomirao je na
Medicinskom fakultetu u
Sarajevu 1982 godine.

Specijalizaciju u pedijatari
ezavršio je u Bellueu.

Bio je jedan od veličajih
pravnika dobice u
Sarajevu 1893. godine.

He was born on 5 January
1982 in Sarajevo, and
completed his
education in Sarajevo. He
graduated from the Medical
Faculty in Sarajevo in 1982.

Specialized in pediatrics,
he practiced in Sarajevo. He
was killed while officiating
at his wedding day, his was
brought to Paris in
Sarajevo on 7 May 1957.

25. Br. JEFAN LJUBJANČIĆ
(28 November 1952 - 28 May 1982)
Spasitelja OML - OML specijalista

Ministar vijesti podgora
Republike Hrvatske Bosne
i Hercegovine

Rodil se 28. listopada 1952. godine u
Bihaću. Osnovnu i srednju
školu završio je u
Bihaću 1971. godine.

Studijski zavod je završio u
Sarajevu 1977. godine.

Specijalizaciju je
završio u Zagrebu 1984.

Bio je jedan od veličajih
pravnika dobice u
Bihaću. Posli medicinske
gramatike, pisanje i
pravilo je odabrana

He was born on 28
November 1952 in
Bihać. He completed his
primary and
secondary school in
Bihać in 1971.

He graduated from the
Medical Faculty in Sarajevo
in 1977.

He specialized in
pediatrics in Zagreb
1984.

He was one of the
great jurists in
Bihać. He was killed in
his wedding day, his was
brought to Paris in
Sarajevo on 7 May 1957.

26. Prof. Mrs. MENŠIĆ SRABIĆ
(11 November 1942 - 28 May 1982)
Spasitelja ginekologa - Ginekolog

Rodil se 11. listopada 1942. godine u
Bihaću. Osnovnu i srednju
školu završio u
Bihaću. Gradilo je u
Zagrebu 1977. godine.

Specijalizaciju je
završio u Zagrebu 1981.

Bio je jedan od veličajih
pravnika dobice u
Bihaću. Posli medicinske
gramatike, pisanje i
pravilo je odabrana

He was born on 11
November 1942 in
Bihać. He completed his
primary and
secondary school in
Bihać in 1971.

He graduated from the
Medical Faculty in Zagreb
in 1977.

He specialized in
pediatrics in Zagreb
1981.

He was one of the
great jurists in
Bihać. He was killed in
his wedding day, his was
brought to Paris in
Sarajevo on 7 May 1957.
According to the up to now collected data, these are names of medical workers killed and missing all over Bosnia and Herzegovina.

27. Dr SALAHUDIN VIŠEGRADANIN
specialist medicine - specialist of general medicine
Sarajevo

28. Dr SEAD ĆERIĆ
specialist medicine - ENT
Sarajevo

29. Dr ASEM HRAPOVIC
specialist medicine - internist
Sarajevo

30. Dr JELICA HADŽIHASANOVIC
specialist medicine - labour medicine
Tuzla - Sarajevo

31. MARSELA GAVRANKAPETANOVIĆ
farmaceut - pharmacist
Sarajevo

32. Dr MEHMED BUKINEFENDIĆ
Tuzla

33. Dr SALRO ŠAHMANOVIĆ
Vitez

34. Dr NJAZ DŽAMIĆ
Srebrenica

35. Dr HAMDJA HALILOVIĆ
Srebrenica

36. Dr AVDO BAK-LIVOČ
(senior - missing)
Srebrenica

37. Dr NASIR SIRUČIĆ
(senior - missing)
Srebrenica

38. Dr BEĆO ČERIĆ
Bihać

39. Dr NAZIFA OMERAGIĆ
Gradžač

40. NENAD JURIĆ
farmaceut - pharmacist
Goražde

41. BENZUDIN KARNAVODIĆ
farmaceut - pharmacist
Goražde
42. Dušanka Vujišić  
Dentist  -  dentist  
Gradac

43. Dr. Hasan Imamoagić  
Orthodontist - orthodontist  
Gradac

44. Dr. Almir Čamžić  
Nurse  
Gradac

45. Ševarl Hodžić  
Medical sister - nurse  
Sarajevo

46. Ažra Lačević  
Anesthesiologist - anestesiologist  
Sarajevo

47. Dr. Suad Hasanbegović  
Anesthesiologist - anestesiologist  
Koševac

48. Dr. Murad Delković  
Specialist in otorhinolaryngology - otorhinolaryngologist  
Sarajevo

49. Selver Amžić  
Medical technician - medical technican  
Kosje

50. Admira Muradović  
Medical sister - nurse  
Bihać

51. Nedžad Musić  
Vill medical technician - higher medical technician  
Sarajevo

52. Asnan Domačić  
Vill medical technician - higher medical technician  

53. Rajko Hrkač  
Vill medical technician - higher medical technician  
Vrbić Brijeg

54. Mevlida Prelijević  
Medical sister - nurse  
Sarajevo

55. Dr. Esad Sadiković  
Specialist in otorhinolaryngology - otorhinolaryngologist  
Lugor Omarska - Omarska prisoner of war camp  
Rogla Bihać

56. Dr. Jusuf Pašić  
Lugor Omarska - Omarska prisoner of war camp  
Rogla Bihać

57. Dr. Osman Mahmuljin  
Specialist in internal medicine - specialist of internal medicine  
Lugor Omarska - Omarska prisoner of war camp  
Rogla Bihać

58. Dr. Željko Sikalo  
Anesthesiologist - anestesiologist  
Lugor Omarska - Omarska prisoner of war camp  
Rogla Bihać

59. Dr. Ruđar Suljanović  
Lugor Omarska - Omarska prisoner of war camp  
Rogla Bihać

60. Dr. Mehmed Suljanović  
Lugor Omarska - Omarska prisoner of war camp  
Rogla Bihać
62. SHEHAB KHALID MOHAMED
student medicinski fakultet - student of the third year of medicine

63. DR. SEAD HALILOVIĆ
Regija Bihać

64. SULEJMAN PILAV
medicinski fakultet - medical technician
Regija Bihać

65. BARKAJA ALIĆ
medicinska sestra - nurse
Regija Bihać

66. MUZESIRA IMŠIREVIĆ
medicinska sestra - nurse
Regija Bihać

67. LUTVO MEHMEDOVIĆ
(mestna - mesto) laborator - laboratory technician
Regija Bihać

68. HAJAN IMAJIĆ
(mestna - mesto) laborator - laboratory technician
Regija Bihać

69. ABDULHAKIK VELIĆ
(mestna-mesto) nurse
Regija Bihać

70. NEZERDIN SULEJMANOVIĆ
medicinski fakultet - medical technician
Regija Bihać

71. ŠEHAD BAKRČIĆ
laborator - laboratory technician
Regija Bihać

72. SENAD SALJHOVIĆ
medicinski fakultet - medical technician
Regija Bihać

73. DADO ARMETOVIĆ
medicinski fakultet - medical technician
Regija Bihać

74. REDŽO BABIĆ
medicinski fakultet - medical technician
Svjetionik

75. RAGIB MUKEMEĐOVIĆ
medicinski fakultet - medical technician
Regija Bihać

76. NUSRET JUSIPOVIĆ
medicinski fakultet - medical technician
Regija Bihać

77. NASIB SPONIĆ
učenik medicinski fakultet - pupil of Bihać for medical technicians
Regija Bihać

78. MEDJEDALIJA DADIĆ
medicinski fakultet - medical technician
Regija Bihać

79. DRAGAN ŠOLAJA
medicinski fakultet - medical technician
Sarajevo
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80. MIRKO BARIĆ  
medicinski tehničar - medical technician  
Surajugo

81. JASMINA DINOVIC  
medicinska aTRA - nurse  
Surajugo

82. FATIMA BECIREVIĆ  
medicinska aTRA - nurse  
Surajugo

83. ASIM JUNUSOVIĆ  
medicinski tehničar - medical technician  
Surajugo

84. FATIMA MEHANOVIĆ  
medicinska aTRA - nurse  
Surajugo

85. DŽEMAL MEHAKOVIĆ  
medicinski tehničar - medical technician  
Surajugo

86. ALMASTA STANOJEVIĆ  
viši medicinski tehničar - higher medical laboratory technician  
Surajugo

87. EDIN BRALJEVIĆ  
medicinski tehničar - medical technician  
Surajugo

88. ISMET DURAKOVIĆ  
viši medicinski tehničar - higher medical technician  
Novo Vrše

89. Dr ASIM SVRO  
Viza

90. MUSALEM BERBIĆ  
medicinski tehničar - medical technician  
Zetka

91. BEČIR Hodžić  
Suradnje tehničar - military technician  
Zetka

92. RAMIZ DELIĆ  
medicinski tehničar - medical technician  
Zetka

93. ZAHID KARANJNA  
medicinski tehničar - medical technician  
Zetka

94. HUSEIN Hodžić  
medicinski tehničar - medical technician  
Zetka

95. Dr Mirsad KAHIĆ  
Zetka

96. FARUK BRODARIĆ  
medicinski tehničar - medical technician  
Knapij

97. Dr ABDULLAH KARAEMET  
zepijalista dermatolog  - dermatologist  
Surajugo

98. JADRANKA BLIŽNJAKOVIĆ  
medicinska aTRA - nurse  
Zavodovčić

99. FAHILA MUHARENOVIĆ  
medicinska aTRA - nurse  
Zavodovčić
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Broj</th>
<th>Ime i prezime</th>
<th>Grad i država</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>ISMET LEKO</td>
<td>Travnik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>MEHRUDIN RIBO</td>
<td>Travnik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>MUHAREM BEHRIĆ</td>
<td>Rokovlj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>MUNEVER BEČIROVIĆ</td>
<td>Rokovlj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Dr. MIRSAD KADIĆ</td>
<td>Rokovlj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>BRADARIĆ FADIL</td>
<td>Maglaj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Dr. ZENAID JADŽIALIĆ</td>
<td>Konjic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>SALKO TABAKOVIĆ</td>
<td>Konjic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Dr. MUHAMED PAŠIĆ</td>
<td>Sarajevo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Dr. ZAHIR KLEMPIĆ</td>
<td>Sarajevo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>ARIF KAJTAZIĆ</td>
<td>Bugojno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>MERSUDIN MIHANOVIC</td>
<td>Bugojno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>ADIN M. SARAJIĆ</td>
<td>Bugojno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>HASNUŠA ŠEHIĆ</td>
<td>Bugojno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>MUHO ZAJIĆIĆ</td>
<td>Bugojno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>ADIL KOMIĆ</td>
<td>Bugojno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>ALEN MESTIĆ</td>
<td>Bugojno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>ADIL ALIĆ</td>
<td>Bugojno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>SENAD BURŽIĆ</td>
<td>Bugojno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>DRAGO GACIĆ</td>
<td>Bugojno</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEDICINE UNDER SIEGE IN THE FORMER YUGOSLAVIA 1991-1995

"Ethnic cleansing" and war crimes in the former Yugoslavia dramatically disrupted the normal functioning of hospitals and clinics and the delivery of medical care from 1991-1995. As documented in this report, hospitals and clinics in or near conflict zones, such as Sarajevo and Mostar, were deliberately and often repeatedly attacked. Patients and medical staff were beaten, shot by snipers, and forcibly removed from hospital wards and summarily executed.

International law provides that civilians and military targets who wage war and consider medical personnel, their facilities and vehicles, and their patients neutral and thus immune from attack. Similarly, physicians and nurses who attend the victims of war must perform their professional duties without discrimination and uphold the fundamental precept of medical ethics—primum non nocere—above all do no harm.

Using the former Yugoslavia as a case study, this report presents a comprehensive definition of "medical neutrality," drawing on international humanitarian law, human rights law, and fundamental standards of medical ethics. The report provides standards for health professionals with respect to their rights and duties under various circumstances in war and peace. Armed by parties to a conflict which fall under the rubric of violations of medical neutrality can, in themselves, constitute grave breaches of international humanitarian law.

Evidence has been collected for this report by eight PHR medical and human rights teams who visited the region from 1991-1995. It also provides details of physical abuses and summary executions that took place in detention camps and internment camps and subsequent physical and psychological effects of the forced displacement and evidence of massive graves associated with war crimes and acts of genocide. Evidence presented in this report, in particular the forensic investigation into the massacre of hospital patients and staff at Vukovar Hospital, has been submitted to the International Criminal Tribunal for the Hague.

Physicians for Human Rights recommends that the international community respond with and support the International Criminal Tribunal and cooperate with other parties to the conflict to discipline or punish those responsible for violations of international humanitarian law and human rights and humanitarian law by establishing independent commissions that will help dispel the notion of collective blame for possibly war crimes, and crimes against humanity and will demonstrate that these crimes cannot be committed with impunity. The report concludes that under no circumstances will not be tolerated respect for international law and medical ethics made integral to the completion of the peace agreement.